#### 12-1-99

#### 2<sup>nd</sup> MEETING OF THE ALMA JOINT RECEIVER DESIGN GROUP (JRDG)

#### IRAM, Grenoble

#### Thursday, 2 December 1999, 9:00 - 18:00, Open session

Chair - John Payne

09:00 Introduction (J. Payne)

09:10 Framework for the conceptual design studies (W. Wild)

09:30 Photonic development in Japan (M. Ishiguro)

09:40 Japanese receiver plans (Y. Sekimoto)

Presentation of conceptual design studies and discussion:

09:50 NRAO: Previous receiver design including evaluation receivers (G. Moorey)

10:30 Coffee Break

- 10:45 OVRO (J. Lamb)
- 11:45 IRAM (M. Carter, B. Lazareff)
- 12:45 Lunch

Chair - Wolfgang Wild

- 14:00 OSO (V. Belitsky)
- 15:00 RAL/ATC (R. Wade)

16:00 Coffee break

16:15 General discussion of presented receiver concepts. Some important issues could be: pro and cons of the different designs, optical layout, number of dewar windows, options for LO coupling, overall receiver system layout: mechanical configuration, size and location of LO and electronics modules, modular design: ease of exchanging modules, size and location of modules and components inside the dewar, required interfaces, LO coupling possibilities, thermal calculation, ease of maintenance and refurbishing of receivers, weight estimate of the receiver system.

18:00 Adjourn

20:00 Dinner

## 2<sup>nd</sup> MEETING OF THE ALMA JOINT RECEIVER DESIGN GROUP (JRDG)

IRAM, Grenoble

#### Friday, 3 December 1999, 9:00 - 17:00, JRDG session

Chair – J. Payne

09:00		Science requirements and receivers (W. Wild, S. Guilloteau)		
10:30	Coffee break			
10:45		Evaluation of conceptual design studies, conclusions (all)		
12:00	Lunch			
		Chair – W. Wild		
The Joint receiver work program				
13:00		Reactions from the AEC and ACC (R. Kurz, R. Brown)		
		Duplication of development work (all)		
		Refining the work program: milestones, deliverables, WBS (R. Brown)		
		General discussion		
16:00	Coffee	break		
16:30		Next steps, action points, unresolved questions		
17:00		Adjourn		

JRDG meeting - Grenoble

Payne: today, presentation of concepts - tomorrow, discussion /resolution Wild: conceptual design contout: Optics, bands, LO coupling, modes, cryogenius, modular design, mechanical - all fairly general Ishiguro: photonic developments in Japan NTT photodiode expect I m W at 200, 100 per at 6006 the (go 21) 1.55 pm ) ISAS photomiker Dilph @8006th 0.85pm 2 Continuing to work with NTT- contract for faster photodiode Hove not tested with highly stable larers, but plan to Se himsto: receiver plans, Mt. Fuj; 600 k DSB @8106 th, 300 K SSB @492, 120 K DCB @ 350 Quessi-optical sidebul sep at 5006th, IF 2.0-2.56th (expect 4-8 will development) now need 200 pet at 10 - hope to improve 797-828 GHz 600-650K - strong the of T Some redesign for 10m in Chile - mstall late 2001 Drikin GM with He pot IW@4K, 20W@ 40K (7KW) MTBF~ 10 yn OT ~ 30mk st 4K ALMA-packaged design - looking at new possibilities Moorey: NRAD status, Reviewed MMA development plans. (ontentions: bandwidth; switch time within band What is time scale for warm-up? - BREAK -Lamb: preliminary study Assumed OMT and waveguide LO to 3706H Concentrates on optics, Optimize for each band. Dominated by low frequency considerations.

Lanb, cont. Details of fundamentals considered. Concidered : 1. horn, 2. horn + could lons 3. horn + subject mirrors 1. find minimu length R = 2T Wo2/2 = 12 Wo It &=4.5mm, how is ( In long, 84mm dismeter ! 2. Wours about uniformity - need large undows also 99% efficient at 5 Wo e.g. 67-40 => 133 mm (Meno 213) for <1% loss Reduces window zrez V about X7 But: losses, distortion, polorization X, moching, alignment Optimized for each band separately Vacuum windows: material: puzzta, rigid plastic, thin sheats Myler: could use 2 loyers separated by 214 IR block : solid, multilayer, for sharption Reflection: black costing; blazed grating (cold stops?) micro-corner-cubes would be truly retro Interference fitter? Could be big development. Si substrate could work but high & requires etching could get 2:1 freq. ratio passed Corrugated horn of SS with thermal gradient? Layout: Simbient rotating mirror for bandsclottion, different ellipsordal mirrors for each band for high frequencies, 2 additional internal mirrors WVR in center, noom toup lens + prism (hotztable for nersurement of zuonie (our veficetion) Have to change focus with band

Loub, cont. Dewar dismeter ~ 600 mm, top (!) Calibration? Tilt rotator? Is there noom for the receiver inserts? IRAM concepts; Bernand Lazarett Modules induce mixers, LO mjection, cold IF, etc. serviced from top vlike NRAO design Contol: IZC bus - Philips and > 10 other suppliers 4 wires drily-chained, 100 fette clock (400 ktk soon), >10m length Functions & interfores widely available IRAM has specific modules: bias (done), others indevelopment use opto-isolston and buffer to I2C bus I may rejection MPT or backshort: con it do 4-12 GHz? MPI: Coloulate loss < 1% within ±1 GHz of center freq Evenje loss 5.2% over 8 Gl/2 (Tsys = 7.18 = t 4 12) Plambeck: what if cold load is hotter than sky? Aljustable backshart for image rejection: lose 13% for full 8 GHz - but little in conter of band Carter: new receivers for PdB 85-115, 130-160, 200-275, 275-365 SSB hot/cold czl LO by waveguide dual pol, single frag

JF 3.8-4,8

12-2-10-99 (4

Carter, cont. 3 imm system 1 610mm deway, Ozikin refuz 20 dB waveguele couplers in series - works OK up to 3 SIS mixers pol. split by grids . Big IF sups. 200-275: pol mirror + grid , box is ~80 mm cube series 4-port couplers. Backshort tuning for SSB. Offict feeds from optical axis 150m = 5'are Disk notator out side dewar for hot /cold load cal For ALMA receivers: OMT design adapted from FIRST (U. Florence) Split orms out - put OSB on each with right path lengths to get SSB - but how to inject LO? Officet on disjonal, sidewall completes to do 900 LOphone shift Think this could work to ~500 61/2 (-10 dB) Hyber freqs: MPI, lots of pieces, dump mize to 4k plate may need only one actuator Put rovers on 170mm ring, notator for hotherd cal Somm square for high bands WVR been in center, pick-off mirror to off-shis feed, 3'arc from other beams Payne: can you keep 2/4 with sidewall complexe over the whole band? Reply - no worse than Kerr's Brekshort reliability? In ~ 1/2 height waveguide, 10 per layer of Teflon-like costing - no sticking in byears of operation of 18 revus. Drivers are another quartion. Normal failures are the IF amplifiers.

12-2-09/5

Wild: problems at 30-m. Reply: old cryostat hal poorly designed backshort drivers. Have had motor tailures ontrole dewar at PdB. Lamb: cold motor for driver? Looking in to it. Ediubugh using steppers in role dewar. I I'm beck: reproducibility of backshort tuning? OK but must compensate for backlash. Payne: What is the LO? Haven't worked on it in detail. Would prefer multipliers outside dewar for mointainsbility. Hove been using whilter contracted multipliers,

- L WN CH-

DSO: Belitsky: work with Torchinsky Central mirror, optical pol. sep. and LO injection Modularity is not by frequency, but by function ; optics separated from SIS mixers separated from LO, IF etc. Flat + 2 elliptical mirrors, LO complex: Requires dewar diameter of 1.5m - can be compressed some Also requires [argo windows - would mask unused windows

RAL: Wade: Will show ~10% of their design work Edinborough Secry O stepper reliable but large Overall design uses only current technology Critical role of WUR 3' from others Guillotean: 3' is very conservative - could be much wider

Wiede, cont. - Ellison: Separate 30 6th rour - separate devar. Use 6 windows total; 5 beans conterend around WVR 363 zre concident, 466 etc. with MPIs at 774 Use wolkband, single-ended SLS mixers in pairs Multiple double relay / refocus mirrors outride dewar Windows a 45mm. No IR blocking filters. Frig. multiplexity-different lobes of MPI Requirer > lot of LO power Adjusted free, bands to accommodate free. multiplexing Assuming PTFE and Myter windows Colculated losses from all the mirrors etc. A added noise R.J. + 22k for 850 GHz at brud edge from everything SIS mixers single ended, fixed tured backshort, how integrated LNA 20 muttipliers HBVs or varzibors @ 77K, injected via diclectric bezmsplitter WVR: suggest could subharmonia Schottky mixer - suggest it is reliable and goes in the main dewar Hot/cold col not fully worked out Works SSB for narrow band, DSB for continuum (ture MPI) Bradshaw: Cryogenics Have done J-T for spacecraft - fixed-orificeion top of GM Demonstrator 4K for Planck using Stirling cycle refrig Careful attention to contamination issues Might use 2 julie Lube - come in it 2 450 mgle Hot reactive gas proger - hot vanadium wire Modules mount from the bottom. Dewer 800 mm, tegot cooker

Bradshaw, cont. Service MPIs from top Thermal contact to modules: inylow ring compresses Be-Cu fingers Expect S.7W lord at 70k 20 layers of superinsulation 15K CFRP between 15K/70K 2.8 0,1 4K 0.1

## General Discussion

Windows: no one wonts 2 single wideband channel General dissatisfaction with Mylar windows Specs on Rx temps, SSB, 30 GHz? No zyreed specs, SSB mixers - at sok and 86Hz, = backshort at 40 k and 46Hz LO power & tuning range Discussion of merits of MMIC SSB vs. MPI Discussion of modularity - double channel design makes it harder Belitsky: mistake to separate by freq. rather than function - End 6 pm

JRDG meeting - day 2

Guillobera: requirements - first, spectroscopy SSB, low noise - mostly relevant up to 350 GHz do what you can > 350 GHz 2nd priority : continuum sensitivity -> largest reasonable bundle, dth => 2.10 dB image rejection \$ 6 the Tree at V < 370 GHz over most of band 4 GHz /polarization (more if possible) Forward efficiency > 0.95 Operation constraints; cold load (match Tsur) - may not need hot load 100 6th - always operating? permanent LO? WVR: acceptable angular distance of X) discussion, conclusion ~ 3' st~300 GHz should be OK { that more work and can something be made to fit? ) some flexibility needed Returning: 1.5 sec between bands - just to 90 GH2? 5-10 sec within bend - is this the right number? Reliability: MTBF / year + 5 days cooling => always >1 automae out of service avoid devices at subreflector if possible locate single point failures outside dewar Experience: main failure modes ? IRAM: amplifiers NRAO, BIMA: connectors IRAM: motors outside dewar cold switcher, cold motors? Construction time; sliphment? modularity?

I raisel: saturation? how accurately calibratable? need a spec - affects Sun (with attm.) + planets stability for total power? need more data

Payne: quertions raised in Theson at last JRDG meeting
1. Circular pol? Spot freps? Needs more study to tern specific science requirements into engineering requirements
→ D'Addavio + Crutcher (delegated by Payne & Emerson)
2. Freq. bands (RAL adjusted) - specific questions to be generated (Wild)
3. 30-45 GHz? Need written memo. → Brown to organize
4. Initial bands - 90, 230,650 or 90,345,650? → Guillotean
5. 183 GHz WVR → Hills (Wade to press)
6. Total power stability. JRAM gets 2x10<sup>4</sup> in one minute (SIS)
1×10<sup>7</sup> at 230 GHz would not be goal enough
Should be communicated to scientists. Needs study to determine HFET/SIS for <116 GHz.</li>
7. Nutators? Have to wait for prototype antennas.

Pzyne: where do we go from here?

Juggestions: external optics for band selection, window sizes MMIC SSB mixers to 2756th, MPIS above do 86, 230,345,650 as baselike plan one cartridge / freq band by 15 Jan → Payne: NMAO does 86, 230; 050 does 345; SRON does 650 Lamb's optics, RAL dewar concept → LO range restriction initially? Gets rid of one MPI? Get numbers from Neal Erickson

- LUNCH-Organization of work: Change work packages / work program to reflect reality? There is no work package for diplexers used for sideband sep. Discussion of how designs of different pieces interrelate. Boundary of SSB MPI vs. other? Belitsky's SSB plan will take about 2 years. Will do 100 GHz first. Could lay out DSB/MPI version to test for tit. Role of IRAM? Proposal: NAAO: 90 & 230 module layouts Lamb: warm optics Ellison: Whole prokage (collaborate with Law b) (Inan Meral?) OSO: 345 6th module layout SRON: 650 GHz module layout IRAM : diplexers? need to have a role! Guillotean: noise performance at 100 GHzis very important. carefully consider SIS rather than HFET-use Belitsky development at 100? Who does widgets? ( 142 plates, so lar atten) Issue of hot/cold loads, cal in subreflector? - BREAK-Brown: Eval rown: CDR soon; de liver 2001-5, 2001-12 Ellison: move up Proto revr: CODR 2000-03; POR 2001-01; CDK 2001-07 de (iver 2002-1) ALMA revr: design mode 2002-11 -> 2003-03 pre-production review 2003-03 release for fab 2003-04 De liver Kx #1 2004-10 Total ALMA cost - ECC end of 2000-03

Brown, cont. Would like to see more deliverables - e.g. devices with dotes ottoched (ACC to track progress) If you run into trouble: change schedule? Plaw shead with what's available? Plow ahead! Deliver revrs! Wild: revise Joint Work Program to reflect reality, including specifics on tasks & milestones Wade: review assignments & dates for immediate work Plambeck & others: proposed refrigerator is underpowered would like to see IW capacity at 4K station. Need better estimate of thermal loads. This is part of Bradshaw's work package. > Date Aplace for next meeting? Charlottesville March 20-21

## Minutes of the second Joint Receiver Design Group (JRDG) Meeting

Meeting dates: 2 December 1999, 9:00 - 18:00, and 3 December 1999, 9:00 - 17:00Meeting place: IRAM Grenoble

The Joint Receiver Design Group: Present: John Payne (NRAO) - chair Wolfgang Wild (NOVA/SRON) - chair Victor Belitsky (OSO) Charles Cunningham (HIA) Brian Ellison (RAL) James Lamb (OVRO) Bernard Lazareff (IRAM) Dick Plambeck (BIMA) John Webber (NRAO) Peter van der Wal (MPIfR, representing Rolf Guesten) **Richard Kurz (ESO)** Stephane Guilloteau (ESO) Jaap Baars (ESO) Bob Brown (NRAO) Darrel Emerson (NRAO) Graham Moorey (NRAO) Richard Wade (RAL) Masato Ishiguro (NRO) Yutaro Sekimoto (NRO) Ryohei Kawabe (NRO)

Minutes : Wolfgang Wild and John Payne

Takashi Noguchi (NRO)

Agenda: (see Appendix)

The "Joint Receiver Design Group" (JRDG) met for two days to discuss conceptual designs for ALMA receivers. These minutes will not reproduce all the discussions, but rather summarize the results and decisions.

additional attendees during the first day from IRAM, RAL, MPIfR, and OSO.

The first day opened with an outline of the framework for the conceptual design studies. This presentation was a shortened version of the document "ALMA Receiver Conceptual Design: Guidelines for Receiver Configuration Meeting" (available at the ESO shared workspace ALMA – receivers) which was sent to the groups working on ALMA conceptual receiver designs. The following two talks by Masato Ishiguro and Yutaro Sekimoto informed about photonic development in Japan and Japanese receiver plans. The main part of the day was dedicated to presentations of design ideas and concepts by NRAO, OVRO, IRAM, OSO, RAL/ATC, and BIMA and discussions. This was an open session and was attended by approximately 35 engineers and scientists from 11 institutions.

On the second day the JRDG discussed the science requirements and its implications on receiver design, evaluated the presented conceptual design studies, identified the next steps, and discussed the Joint US/European receiver work program.

S. Guilloteau presented his view of the ALMA science requirements and specifications (an agreed set of scientific requirements and receiver specifications between the U.S. and European partners is pending). The contents of his viewgraphs is given here (with comments in square brackets):

Main goal

- Optimal spectral line sensitivity:  $\rightarrow$  Single sideband tuning
  - $\rightarrow 4 \text{ hv/k}$
- Band priority for sensitivity: 80 115 GHz, 220 280 GHz, ~ 350 GHz (continuum)

[The U.S. and European SAC earlier recommended 3 mm, 1.2 mm, 650 GHz, and 3 mm, 350 GHz, 650 GHz, respectively. The JRDG favours the initial installation of four receiver bands: 89 to 116 GHz, 211 to 275 GHz, 275 to 370 GHz, and 602 to 720 GHz.]

• Good continuum sensitivity:  $\rightarrow$  largest reasonable bandwidth

#### Specifications

- $\geq 10 \text{ dB}$  sideband rejection
- $\leq 6 \text{ hv/k}$  T<sub>rec</sub> below 370 GHz (over <u>most</u> of the band)
- 4 GHz per polarization (more if possible) [discussion revealed that 4 GHz per sideband was meant]
- Forward efficiency > 0.95 [difficult to achieve at higher frequencies]

#### Operation constraints

Calibration scheme

- cold load required (match  $T_{sky}$ )
- permanent operation of the 100 GHz channel  $\rightarrow$  permanent LO on that channel ?

#### WVR

- Acceptable angular distance  $\propto \lambda$  [not so clear after discussion]
- $\approx 3' / 300 \text{ GHz}$ ? Do we have a concept? Can that fit into the main dewar?
- [The JRDG considered 3' as maximum angular distance between observing channel and wvr as adequate for working on conceptual receiver designs.]

#### Retuning

- Switching between # bands: 1.5 sec [this refers to switching between pretuned frequencies in two different bands, not to tuning time]
- Within a band: 5 sec, perhaps 10 sec, ?? discuss [some discussion about implications].

#### Reliability:

• MTBF 1 year + 5 days cooling  $\Leftrightarrow$  always more than 1 receiver out of order

[Some misunderstanding here. The guideline for the conceptual receiver design was a *maximum* of 5 days *cycle* time, i.e. warming up, opening, working inside dewar, closing, pumping, cooling down. There was some discussion and concern about a 5 day cycle time. The actual cycle time can be assessed once the overall receiver design is more advanced, and may be much shorter.]

- Avoid devices in subreflector if possible (difficult access)
- Locate single point failures out of dewar

Experience: main failure modes - amplifiers (IRAM)

- connectors (NRAO – BIMA)
- motors outside dewar (IRAM)

Cold switches ? Cold motors ?

[end of S. Guilloteau's viewgraphs]

The questions from the JRDG to the Science & System group formulated by the JRDG during its 30 September meeting (see minutes of that meeting) were discussed.

During the evaluation of the conceptual design presented the previous day, the JRDG came to the following opinions and conclusions:

- Agreed scientific requirements and their translation into engineering specs are needed. This includes issues like specs for the water vapour radiometer, supported observing modes, calibration accuracy, polarization requirements, confirmation of frequency bands, the 30 40 GHz channel, and others.
- One module pre frequency channel is preferred.
- One RF cryostat window per frequency channel is preferred.
- A maximum angular separation of 3' between any frequency channel and the 183 GHz water vapout monitor was considered adequate for working on conceptual receiver designs. More detailed specs for the wvr are needed (see action points).
- The JRDG favours the initial equipment of ALMA with four frequency channels (if resources are available): 89 to 116 GHz, 211 to 275 GHz, 275 to 370 GHz, and 602 to 720 GHz.
- Given the rather short schedule for ALMA, sideband separating mixers may be the choice up to 370 GHz. Above 370 GHz, single-ended mixers with a SSB filter (Martin-Puplett diplexer) may be the choice.
- It is most likely that the last frequency multiplier for each channel needs to be cooled.
- The JRDG should have monthly telecons (see action points).

The following decisions on action points, the next meeting and next steps were taken.

Action points:

- S. Guilloteau will contact R. Hills to get more detailed specs on the 183 GHz water vapour radiometer. In particular, required sensitivity and stability, max. angular separation of the wvr to the observing channels, calibration requirements, and backend requirements are important.
- J. Payne, D. Emerson and L. D'Addario will look into requirements for polarization measurements. They will also contact D. Crutcher.
- R. Brown will get a scientific justification for the 30 to 40 GHz channel.
- W. Wild will formulate and circulate detailed questions about the ALMA frequency bands.
- J. Payne and D. Emerson will look into the required amplitude stability for ALMA receivers.
- J. Payne and W. Wild will set up monthly telecons for the JRDG. Details will be e-mailed.

Next meeting:

• The next JRDG meeting and a "Conceptual design review" for the ALMA overall receiver design will be held on March 20 and 21, 2000, at NRAO Charlottesville.

Next steps:

The following table indicates the next steps before the conceptual design review.

What	By whom	When available
Overall optics layout/design	Carter, Lamb, Ellison (coordinated by IRAM)	1 Feb 2000
Diplexer specs and design	IRAM (D. Maier)	1 Feb 2000
Cryostat design incl cooler and thermal model	RAL/ATC	15 Feb 2000

Receiver channels (outline drawings)

.

3 mm / 1.2 mm	NRAO	15 Jan 2000
350 GHz	OSO (Belitsky)	15 Jan 2000
650 GHz	SRON (Wild)	15 Jan 2000
Polarimetry analysis	Emerson/Payne/D'Addario	15 Jan 2000

As part of a discussion about the Joint US/European receiver work program (starting later than foreseen due to extended discussions about the receiver design and next steps), R. Brown presented the schedule for ALMA receivers. In summary:

•	Evaluation receivers:	CDR soon ! deliver rx #1 by May 2001 deliver rx #2 by Dec 2001	
•	Prototype receivers:	Conceptual design review (CoDR):	Mar 2000
		PDR	Jan 2001
		CDR	Jul 2001
		deliver prototype receiver	Nov 2002
		design modifications	Nov 2001 to Mar 2003
		pre-production review	Apr 2003
		deliver ALMA receiver #1	Oct 2004

After a general discussion about milestones, deliverables, parallel development, and the joint work program, the meeting concluded at 17:00.

#### Appendix: Meeting agenda

,

.

#### Thursday, 2 December 1999, 9:00 [] 18:00, Open session

Chair ¥ John Payne

09:00		Introduction (J. Payne)		
09:10		Framework for the conceptual design studies (W. Wild)		
09:30		Photonic development in Japan (M. Ishiguro)		
09:40		Japanese receiver plans (Y. Sekimoto)		
Presentation of conceptual design studies and discussion:				
09:50		NRAO: Previous receiver design including evaluation receivers (G. Moorey)		
10:30	Coffee .	Break		
10:45		OVRO (J. Lamb)		
11:45		IRAM (M. Carter, B. Lazareff)		
12:45	Lunch			
		Chair ¥ Wolfgang Wild		
14:00		OSO (V. Belitsky)		

- 15:00 RAL/ATC (R. Wade)
- 16:00 *Coffee break*
- 16:15 General discussion of presented receiver concepts. Some important issues could be: pro and cons of the different designs, optical layout, number of dewar windows, options for LO coupling, overall receiver system layout: mechanical configuration, size and location of LO and electronics modules, modular design: ease of exchanging modules, size and location of modules and components inside the dewar, required interfaces, LO coupling possibilities, thermal calculation, ease of maintenance and refurbishing of receivers, weight estimate of the receiver system.
- 18:00 Adjourn
- 20:00 Dinner

#### Friday, 3 December 1999, 9:00 - 17:00, JRDG session

Chair – J. Payne

09:00 Science requirements and receivers (W. Wild, S. Guilloteau)

10:30 *Coffee break* 

10:45 Evaluation of conceptual design studies, conclusions (all)

12:00 Lunch

,

Chair – W. Wild

The Joint receiver work program

13:00 Reactions from the AEC and ACC (R. Kurz, R. Brown)

Duplication of development work (all)

Refining the work program: milestones, deliverables, WBS (R. Brown)

General discussion

- 16:00 *Coffee break*
- 16:30 Next steps, action points, unresolved questions
- 17:00 Adjourn

## Victor Belitsky<sup>†</sup> and Steve Torchinsky<sup>‡</sup>

<sup>†</sup>Onsala Space Observatory, Chalmers University of Technology, Sweden, belitsky@oso.chalmers.se <sup>‡</sup>Dept. of Physics and Astronomy, University of Calgary, Canada, sat@iras.ucalgary.ca

The ALMA conceptual design we present here is based on ideas earlier outlined in ALMA Memo 242, by V. Belitsky. Compare to the version presented in Memo 242 the optical layout was revised, as well we added 183 GHz water vapor monitor (WVM) channel. We also present 3D Gaussian beam tracing for two channels, channel #2 lowest frequency, the center frequency 79 GHz, and channel #10 highest frequency, the center frequency 869 GHz.

#### **Objectives**

ş

- **Optical layout:** Simple, with minimum number of optical components, using only mirrors:
  - Individual optics for each channel => avoiding aberrations due to different curvature of mirrors required for different frequencies;
  - Using optical switch with central beam at the axis of the telescope focal plane => no offset aberrations and polarization distortion;
  - Gaussian Beam Telescope (GBT) as re-imaging tool => frequency independent waist positions and the telescope beam coupling;
  - o Grids as polarization splitter in front of the mixers;
  - o 183 GHz WVM beam @ 3 arc min from any of the mixer beams;
  - One LO feed for both polarization mixers.

#### • <u>Modular and integrated design:</u> 5 subsystems

- Input optics, including the switch;
- o 183 GHz WVM with its associated optic and cryogenic;
- Dewar with SIS mixer modules (8/9 channels) with their respective optics and LO injection interface; The dewar has integrated cold calibration load; Dewar has mechanical interface to cryocooler;
- LO subsystem mounted onto the dewar bottom plate, consisting of multiplier modules, photonic reference source, etc.; IF, DC bias and control integrated onto LO subsystem platform with interface to the dewar from the bottom;
- Cryocooler with mechanical interface to the dewar;

#### • <u>Miscellanies</u>

- EMI problem with many openings in the dewar wall => idle windows should be blinded; The window blinding improves thermal isolation;
- Cooling power to be oversized => lower ultimate temperature + reserves in the lift power due to future cryogenic multipliers and photonic LOs;
- Flexibility of the entire system with enough room for upgrades and/or alternatives.

# Victor Belitsky <sup>†</sup> and Steve Torchinsky <sup>‡</sup>

<sup>†</sup>Onsala Space Observatory, Chalmers University of Technology, Sweden, belitsky@oso.chalmers.se <sup>‡</sup>Dept. of Physics and Astronomy, University of Calgary, Canada, sat@iras.ucalgary.ca



\*

2

### Victor Belitsky<sup>†</sup> and Steve Torchinsky<sup>‡</sup>

<sup>†</sup>Onsala Space Observatory, Chalmers University of Technology, Sweden, belitsky@oso.chalmers.se <sup>‡</sup>Dept. of Physics and Astronomy, University of Calgary, Canada, sat@iras.ucalgary.ca



÷

# Victor Belitsky <sup>†</sup> and Steve Torchinsky <sup>‡</sup>

<sup>†</sup>Onsala Space Observatory, Chalmers University of Technology, Sweden, belitsky@oso.chalmers.se <sup>‡</sup>Dept. of Physics and Astronomy, University of Calgary, Canada, sat@iras.ucalgary.ca

#### Mixer Assembly LO Injection Waveguide



# Victor Belitsky <sup>†</sup> and Steve Torchinsky <sup>‡</sup>

<sup>†</sup>Onsala Space Observatory, Chalmers University of Technology, Sweden, belitsky@oso.chalmers.se <sup>‡</sup>Dept. of Physics and Astronomy, University of Calgary, Canada, sat@iras.ucalgary.ca

# Flipping Mirror M1: Gaussian Beam RF in Sky/Cold Load Telescope Mirror M2 RF in Polarisation splitter (grid) F8 => F4 LO in 45 degree polarisation Gaussian Beam Gaussian Beam Telescope Telescope Mirror M3-2 Mirror M3-1 0 • Mixer2 Mixer 1

## Mixer Assembly LO Injection Quasioptical

# Victor Belitsky <sup>†</sup> and Steve Torchinsky <sup>‡</sup>

<sup>†</sup>Onsala Space Observatory, Chalmers University of Technology, Sweden, belitsky@oso.chalmers.se <sup>‡</sup>Dept. of Physics and Astronomy, University of Calgary, Canada, sat@iras.ucalgary.ca



Top View: 3-D Gaussian Beam tracing: 79 GHz, 869 GHz and 183 GHz beams

# Victor Belitsky <sup>†</sup> and Steve Torchinsky <sup>‡</sup>

<sup>†</sup>Onsala Space Observatory, Chalmers University of Technology, Sweden, belitsky@oso.chalmers.se <sup>‡</sup>Dept. of Physics and Astronomy, University of Calgary, Canada, sat@iras.ucalgary.ca



#### 3-D Gaussian Beam tracing: 79 GHz and 183 GHz beams

V. Belitsky, JRDG Meeting, IRAM, Grenoble, December 2,3 1999

# Victor Belitsky <sup>†</sup> and Steve Torchinsky <sup>‡</sup>

<sup>†</sup>Onsala Space Observatory, Chalmers University of Technology, Sweden, belitsky@oso.chalmers.se <sup>‡</sup>Dept. of Physics and Astronomy, University of Calgary, Canada, sat@iras.ucalgary.ca



Top View: 3-D Gaussian Beam tracing: 79 GHz, 869 GHz and 183 GHz beams

# Victor Belitsky <sup>†</sup> and Steve Torchinsky <sup>‡</sup>

<sup>†</sup>Onsala Space Observatory, Chalmers University of Technology, Sweden, belitsky@oso.chalmers.se <sup>‡</sup>Dept. of Physics and Astronomy, University of Calgary, Canada, sat@iras.ucalgary.ca

**Inside Dewar View: 3-D** Gaussian Beam tracing: 79 GHz and 669 **GHz Mixer Assemblies** 

# Victor Belitsky $^{\dagger}$ and Steve Torchinsky $^{\ddagger}$

<sup>†</sup>Onsala Space Observatory, Chalmers University of Technology, Sweden, belitsky@oso.chalmers.se <sup>‡</sup>Dept. of Physics and Astronomy, University of Calgary, Canada, sat@iras.ucalgary.ca



3-D Gaussian Beam tracing: 79 GHz Mixer Assembly

183 GHz WVM

# Victor Belitsky <sup>†</sup> and Steve Torchinsky <sup>‡</sup>

<sup>†</sup>Onsala Space Observatory, Chalmers University of Technology, Sweden, belitsky@oso.chalmers.se <sup>‡</sup>Dept. of Physics and Astronomy, University of Calgary, Canada, sat@iras.ucalgary.ca

3-D Gaussian Beam tracing: 869 GHz Mixer Assembly

183 GHz WVM beam



## Victor Belitsky <sup>†</sup> and Steve Torchinsky <sup>‡</sup>

<sup>†</sup>Onsala Space Observatory, Chalmers University of Technology, Sweden, belitsky@oso.chalmers.se <sup>‡</sup>Dept. of Physics and Astronomy, University of Calgary, Canada, sat@iras.ucalgary.ca



a.

# ALMA Receiver Configuration Study: Preliminary Results

**James Lamb** 

Owens Valley Radio Observatory California institute of technology



12-202-98

# **Starting Point**

## **Assumptions**

- Band 1 (30 GHz) in separate dewar
  - Not considered further here
- Only one frequency to be observed at a time
- Circular polarization capability required
- May require scanning WVR for anomalous refraction
- All bands above 67 GHz may be SIS
- Corrugated horns, orthomode transducers, waveguide LO to at least 370 GHz
- Planar antennas or horns above 370 GHz
- Optical SSB, LO Injection above 370 GHz

#### **Design drivers**

- Minimize optics losses
- Minimize dewar dimensions
- Minimize moving parts
- Keep observing beams close to WVR beam

# **Optics Issues**

# **Functionality**

- How much functionality required?
  - Calibration
  - Polarization
  - Receiver selection

# Effects on sensitivity

- Spillover
  - Warm, cold
- Dielectric and ohmic losses
  - Warm, cold
- Aberrations
- Cross-polarization
- Distortion

## <u>Cost</u>

• 1% efficiency ≈ \$2M!

# **General Approach**

## Minimize optics

- System temperatures expected to be very low -- go for raw sensitivity
- Allow calibration devices (choppers, grids) which may be removed during observations

## **Optimize for each band**

- Beam sizes, receiver temperatures, atmosphere different
- Difficult to make extremely broadband vacuum windows
- Trade-off window thickness and diameter
- May use corrugated horns in low bands, quasioptical feeds in high bands
## **Driven By Low Frequencies**

## **System temperatures**

- Receiver noise limit ~ hf/kt
- Ohmic losses ∝ √f
- Dielectric losses (tan  $\delta$ ) may increase with f
- Atmosphere worse at higher frequencies

#### <u>Beam sizes</u>

- Airy spot width  $\propto 1/f$
- Optimum optics will give images of primary which are smaller at high frequencies

## **System Degradation Due To Optical Components**

Degradation of a 4hv/k Receiver by Optical Losses With Atmospheric Losses at 1 mm PWV, 1 Air Mass



1.1

## **Optics Fundamentals**

## **Imaging System**

- Assume all lenses, etc are ideal phase transformers
- Feed may be placed:
  - at an image of primary aperture
  - at an image secondary focus (Airy disc)
  - at an intermediate point

#### **Gaussian Beams**

- Fundamental mode not sufficient for analysis
  - Does not give correct efficiency
  - Does not give truncation levels
- Propagation equations apply to all modes
  - Can use Gaussian beams as a basis for analysis
  - Use many modes or, alternative analysis

ALMA Receiver Configuration

## **Comparison of Horn At Secondary and Tertiary Focus**



۰.

~

11

1.

# **Three Options**

• Single Band



٠.

ALMA Receiver Configuration

• ..

# **First Option**

• Single Band

...,

11



-

# **Optimum Gain Horn Concept**



- Gaussian beam uniquely defined for given edge taper at secondary
- Optimum Gain Horn: Minimum length
- Minimum radius of curvature, *R*, when aperture at confocal distance from waist.

$$R = 2\frac{\pi w_0^2}{\lambda} \qquad \qquad a = \sqrt{2}w_0$$

ALMA Receiver Configuration

## **"Minimum Design" Trade-offs**

## Feed at Secondary Focus

1.1

- Advantages
  - No lens, reflector, spillover losses
  - Simple
- Disadvantages
  - Frequency dependent
  - Sub-optimal efficiency
  - Large

#### <u>Size</u>

- Optimum gain horn
  - Aperture at confocal point of Gaussian beam
  - 1 m long, 84 mm diameter @  $\lambda$  = 4.5 mm

# **Second Option**

• Single Band



2-3 December 1999

ALMA Receiver Configuration

## Horn + Lens

## **Advantages**

• Simple

11

- High efficiency
- Optical axis is not folded

## **Disadvantages**

- Large window required
- Dielectric losses
- Anti-reflection coating needed
- Material issues: Uniformity, cooling stresses
- Doubts about performance at 1 % level (scattering, edge effects, ...)

## **Required Window Size**

- Loss in aperture efficiency from truncation at secondary focus
- First Airy null -> 95%
- Second null -> >99.5%
- Second null: ~6w
- 99% at ~5w



Truncation radius normalized to Gaussian beam radius

## **Window Sizes to Accommodate Beam at Secondary Focus**

Band	Lowest frequency	Highest Frequency	Window Size
	GHz	GHz	mm
2	67	90	133
3	89	116	100
4	125	163	71
5	163	211	55
6	211	275	42
7	275	370	32
8	385	500	23
9	602	720	15
10	787	950	11

MMA Memo 213

۰.

1.1

11

- Window is sized for < 1 % loss at lowest frequency in band
- Large windows

- IR Loading a problem

-

16

۰.

۰.

## **Third Option**

Single Band

11



ALMA Receiver Configuration

## <u>Horn + Mirrors</u>

#### **Advantages**

11

- "Clean" design
- Reduce size of window into dewar: area reduced by factor of ~7
- No need for anti-reflection treatment, low VSWR
- More flexibility in positioning elements in dewar

#### **Disadvantages**

- Ambient temperature losses
- Need two mirrors to get low angle of incidence
  - X-pol, distortion
- Mirror machining accuracy needs to be better than for lenses
- Alignment may be tricky
  - Elements inside and outside dewar

۰.

۰.

## **Single Band Design: Low-Frequency**

• Use optimum gain horn

1.1

- Minimize size
- Frequency-independent optics
- Minimize offset angle
  - Amplitude distortion + X-pol < 1 %
- Mirror shape is different for each band
  - <0.1% aberration loss



ALMA Receiver Configuration

## **Band Selection in Dewar**

- Single window
- Cold optics



# **Vacuum Windows (1)**

## **Material**

- Losses
- Dielectric constant
  - Reflection loss
  - Antireflection coatings

...

- Bandwidth
- Water permeability
- Strength

2-3 December 1999

# Vacuum Windows (2)

## **Rigid solid**

14

- Quartz/Crystalline quartz
- High dielectric constant

Need multilayer matching Bandwidth?

Losses

## Semi-rigid solids

• Plastics (HDPE, LDPE, PTFE)

- Lower dielectric constant
- Machine matching grooves
  - triangular

rectangular

- Losses OK

#### **Thin Sheets**

۰.

- Mylar, Melinex
  - Low losses
  - Permeability issues

2-3 December 1999

#### ALMA Receiver Configuration

22

.

# **Mylar Window**

## Two layers separated by quarter wavelength



. .

1

11

Frequency [GHz]

• .

# **IR Blocking (1)**

#### **Dielectric**

11

- Solid (PTFE, quartz) attached to cold station
  - Load transferred to refrigerator
  - Thermal gradient through radius
- Multilayer dielectric

1.1

- Load on refrigerator reduced
- Foams
  - Essentially multilayer dielectric

#### Pros/Cons

• Pro

•

- Known technology
- Con
  - Dielectric loss
  - Scattering loss

24

. .

· .

# IR Blocking (2)

#### **Reflector**

- IR Black coating
  - Load transferred to refrigerator / floating
- Reflection
  - Separate IR and mm/sub-mm by diffraction
  - Blazed grating
  - Micro-corner-cubes

## Pros/Cons

- Pros
  - Potentially low loss in mm/sub-mm
- Cons
  - Difficult to avoid stray light
    - cold stops
  - May be difficult to fabricate diffraction structures

# **Blazed Grating**

## **Principle**

1.1

**Problem** 



\*

ALMA Receiver Configuration

26

· .

## **Interference filter**

## **Construction**



#### <u>Notes</u>

- No loading on refrigerator
- Thin
- Hard to get more than octave wavelength rejection
  - May use more than one filter
  - May use absorbing layers also
- Not fully investigated
  - Uniformity of silicon etch?
  - Silicon filling factor

2-3 December 1999

#### ALMA Receiver Configuration

## **Corrugated Horn as IR Filter**



2-3 December 1999

 $\langle \hat{\gamma} \rangle_{i}$ 

٠,

ALMA Receiver Configuration



2-3 December 1999

۰.

11

ALMA Receiver Configuration

29

.

# **<u>High-Frequency Bands</u>**



 $\mathbf{I}_{i} \geq \mathbf{I}_{i}$ 

11

٠.,

ALMA Receiver Configuration

# **Top View of Dewar**



# **Side View of Dewar**



2-3 December 1999

4.9

## ALMA Receiver Configuration

## **Design Features**

#### **Optics**

- Optimized for each band
- Corrugated feeds to 370 GHz
- Planar antennas possible above 370 GHz
- High efficiency
  - Truncation losses < 1%
  - Aberrations < 1%
  - X-Pol < 1%
  - Distortion < 1%
- Low loss windows
- Frequency independent within band
- Circular polarization at any frequency
- Beams close to WVR beam ( < 6 arcmin)
- $\pm$  200 mm refocus required (5  $\mu$ m surface rms)
- Thin IR filters

#### Water Vapor Radiometer

- On optical axis
- Can have scanning for anomalous refraction correction

#### **Dewar**

- Small window area
- Compact
- Strong design
- Easy access

#### **Dimensions**

- Dewar
  - Diameter: 600 mm
  - Height: 450 mmPlus cryocooler: 500 mm
- Optics
  - Diameter: 750 mm
  - Height above dewar: 400 mm

ALMA Receiver Configuration

## **Conclusions/Questions**

- Driven by low frequency bands
- Need separate windows for each band
- Avoid dielectrics if possible

- Use one plane and one focusing reflector per band
- Use optimum gain horns
- High-frequency bands may have re-imaging optics, LO injection, etc., inside dewar
- IR blocking options to be investigated
- What other components required in the beam (choppers, etc.)





# Cryogenics Section Current Projects Atlas Common cryogenics - Aim is to provide a common cryogenic system for both the End Cap Toroids and Barrel toroid. Includes transfer lines, valve boxes, pumps and ancillary equipment. Helium flow 1.5kg/s !..

H





-9

ROE / ATC cryomechanisms heritage	external motors
1985 UKT14 photometer (300mK bolometer) filter wheel (4K)	and insulating drive shafts
1987 IRCAMs (64x56 InSb array) filter wheels (77K) focus mechanism (77K)	
1990 CGS4 (1-5um spectrograph) image rotator (80K) filter wheels (80K) slit rotation (80K) grating tilt (80K) array focus (80K) array translation (80K)	
1990 ISOC	AM optical test facility entrance wheel (4K) filter wheel (4K) ocus mechanism (4K)
internal stepping motors	1996 SCUBA (100mK bolometer array) filter drum (4K)
modified for cryogenic use	1998 UF II (IH camera 1020x1028 HgCa1e) filter wheels (60K) shutter (60K)
Rutherford Appleton Laboratory	UK Astronomy Technology Centre



5.

ace:	Life tests:	
Launch June '91 run until June '96	ESA 80K - 8.1 years, report available	
April '95 - still running	(RAL prototype cooler)	
Launch Sep '91 15,500 hrs each	-springs 1 x 10 <sup>10</sup> cycles !	
Sea?		
Spring '97 No failures	RAL 80K - 6.7 years, still going	
MMS have manufactured and sold 40 coolers Being space		
	<b>ace:</b> Launch June '91 run until June '96 still OK. April '95 - still running Launch Sep '91 15,500 hrs each Sea ? Spring '97 No failures MMS have manufactured and sold 40 coolers Being space	

# RAL Space Science and Technology Department - Millimetre Technology Group -

#### **Specific Role:**

• Develop heterodyne receiver technology, including key components (mixers, local oscillators etc.) and complete systems, over the frequency range 100 to 3000 GHz (mm and sub-mm wavelength range).

## Programme of Work:

- Development of appropriate device and system technology for use in ground, air and spaceborne astronomical and atmospheric remote sounding experiments. Work performed in support of UK Research Councils (e.g., PPARC and NERC) and HEI's.
- Provide support to UK industries and other national and international organisations e.g., UK Met. Office, ESA, EU, JPL, SAO, KIST(Korea), Academia Sinica (Taiwan) ....





n

. ÷

## MMT Group Facilities and Expertise

The MMT Group posses a range of facilities and expertise that support the development of heterodyne detection systems. These facilities include:

- World class high precision manufacturing.
- Component electroforming.
- Photolithgraphic and thin film deposition.
- Micro-machining.
- Substantial microwave/mm wave CAD design and test equipment.
- Cryogenic and vacuum.
- Clean room assembly areas.

Rutherford Appleton Laboratory



Grenoble : 2 Dec 99



÷ .
# **Precision Manufacturing Facility**

- Temperature controlled
- Two mini jig bores
- Micro-machining mill
- Three precision lathes
- Non-contact measuring
- Electroforming facility
- Grid winding facility
- Micro-machining facility





Grenoble : 2 Dec 99



 $\dot{a}$ 

2 2

#### **Precision Waveguide Fabrication**

#### 2.5 THz Feedhorn mandrel and antenna pattern



Rutherford Appleton Laboratory

Grenoble : 2 Dec 99



•

# In-house Electroforming Facility



Rutherford Appleton Laboratory



Grenoble : 2 Dec 99



4

# Grid Winding Capability



- Gold plated Tungsten wire
- 25 20 15 10 micron diameter
- Space Flight Q.A.



Fine Wire Interferometer Grid Winder

Rutherford Appleton Laboratory



Grenoble : 2 Dec 99



÷ .

#### Subharmonic Schottky Diode Mixers



- Humidity Sounder Brazil.
- 150 & 183 GHz mixers.
- 2 prototypes 4 flight
- Space Fight Q.A.
- State of the art performance.

Rutherford Appleton Laboratory



Grenoble : 2 Dec 99



CLRC

Terahertz Mixer Technology



2.5 THz Space Qualified Mixers Developed for the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, USA

Rutherford Appleton Laboratory



Grenoble : 2 Dec 99



۰.

### SIS Mixer Technology



EMCOR 230 GHz SIS waveguide mixer



#### 700 GHz SIS waveguide mixer

Rutherford Appleton Laboratory



Grenoble : 2 Dec 99



2 2

1.

**RAL Schottky Based Systems** 



183 GHz water vapour monitor front-end unit



330 GHz atmospheric monitor front-end

Rutherford Appleton Laboratory





# RAL Hybrid LHe Cryogenic Systems



Rutherford Appleton Laboratory



Grenoble : 2 Dec 99



4.

#### **RAL Ground Based SIS Receivers**



31

٩

2.2

#### **RAL Airborne SIS Receivers**



500 GHz airborne SIS receiver

Rutherford Appleton Laboratory



Grenoble : 2 Dec 99



.1.

**RAL Cloud Radar System** 

**D** -10-0



78 GHz Prototype Cloud Radar



Typical cloud image (zenith sounding)

Rutherford Appleton Laboratory



Grenoble : 2 Dec 99



÷ .

٦,



÷







÷.







1	Known Technology	We are baselining what is available now. Have to build 70
2	Modularity	To enable manufacture of the instruments at different sites.
3	Water Varour monitor	This takes the central position in the dewar
+	Servicibility	The dewar components need to be demountable and easily changed.
5	3 Are minute specification	Constraint on the use of 3arc nun (85mm radius) for optics from the water vapour monitor.
6	Availability of window materials of the right bandwidth	This determines the number of windows in the dewar.
7	Temperature	Mounting face 4K stability 10mK 4.5K before changeout.
8	Single dewar for all the main bands	The 35Ghz channel 1 will be serviced by an external flip mirror.
9	Cycle time - pump and cool - down < 5 days	Stainless steel clean design & possible LN2 kop for quick cookdown.
10	Size, Mass	Minimum (Maximum ?) cabin door size is 1 m wide by 1.6 m in height. Max mass 550kg.
11	Manufacturability	Design needs to be compliant with large scale manufacture.
12	Channels	9 observing channels considered plus water vapour monitor.

ê

- Prototype and production receivers must be developed on a time scale consistent with deployment of the production antennas.
- Receiver system design should give consideration to issues associated with construction, operation, reliability and maintenance.
- Cryogenic system should be able to accommodate alternative receiver RF designs.
- Baseline system should ideally accommodate science goals. However, this may need reevaluation as both instrument development and time scales evolve. It may also require the imposition of some technical constraints. For example:
  - $\Rightarrow$  Where possible, use should be made of existing technology with demonstrated heritage.
  - ⇒ Reliance on the development of 'new' technology for the early receivers (RF, cryogenics etc.) should be treated with great caution and preferably avoided. This is crucial in relation to 'critical path' components.
  - ⇒ However, allowance should be made in overall system design for future component upgrade.

Rutherford Appleton Laboratory



Grenoble : 2 Dec 99



. .

্য

### Beam Waist and Window Aperture Sizes for Pre-ALMA Channels

Channel	Low Freq (GHz)	Centre Freq (GHz)	High Freq (GHz)	Beam Waist Rad. at Low Freq. (mm)	Window Dia. at –35 dB (mm)
1	30	35	40	63.4	253.7
2	67	79	90	28.4	113.6
3	89	103	116	21.4	85.5
4	125	144	163	15.2	60.8
5	163	187	211	11.7	46.7
6	211	243	275	9.0	36.0
7	275	323	370	6.9	27.7
8	385	442	500	4.9	19.8
9	602	660	720	3.2	12.6
10	787	869	950	2.4	9.7

#### Cryostat window apertures corresponding to pre-ALMA channels

• Beam waist radius calculated at focus of Cassegrain antenna with F ratio of 8, and edge illumination of -13dB.

• Suggest a maximum window diameter of ~ 45mm is used and re-image channel 2 to 5.

#### Rutherford Appleton Laboratory



Grenoble : 2 Dec 99



÷ ;

**,** ,

#### roposec <u>u</u>stem pproach

- Single vacuum vessel containing RF observation channels 2 to 10 and 183 GHz WVM -Channel 1 located in separate cryostat.
- Total of 6 separate RF vacuum windows accommodate Channels 2 to 10 and WVM.
- Total of 5 beams are incident on the sky simultaneously and clustered around 6th and central WVM beam. [Note: Channel 1 is accessed via a flip mirror.]
- Four main beams on the sky accommodate a pair of frequency channels. For example, 3 & 5 and 4 & 6 etc. are coincident on the sky.
- Martin-Puplett polarising interferometers (MPI's) are used to provide simultaneous polarisation and frequency discrimination and IR filtering.
- MPI's mounted within cryostat at 77K on a rigid optical reference plate.
- Wide IF band, single ended SIS mixers proposed for Channels 3 to 10.
- SIS mixers and LNA's (at 4K) and LO's (at 77K) are located on removable cartridges in polarisation and frequency pairs.
- Autonomous system operation e.g., bias, housekeeping etc. under local μP control.

**Rutherford Appleton Laboratory** 





### Proposed System Advantages - 1

- Beam locations on sky are within 3 arc min of WVM (Channel 1 excluded).
- Close proximity of beams on sky and channel frequency pairing provides high speed frequency switching.
- SSB and DSB operation accommodated.
- Potential for future dual frequency operation with paired channels.
- Frequency extension provides redundancy at core line frequencies, e.g. 230 GHz.
- Use of individual LO's (one/mixer) provides additional channel redundancy.
- WVM channel accommodated on central axis and in separate removable cartridge.
- Number of RF windows reduced from 10 to 5 (excluding cooled WVM).

Rutherford Appleton Laboratory





#### Proposed System Advantages - 2

- Window apertures reduced to ~ 45mm in order to minimise thermal load on cryogenic system and enhance vacuum integrity.
- Channel 1 placed in separate cryostat access to telescope focal plane achieved via a flip mirror. {Note: Channel 1 and WVM can be used simultaneously.}
- Cryogenic system is versatile and, we believe, can accommodate other system designs.
- Cartridge design allows relatively easy maintenance of critical system components.
- IR blocking filters not required.
- Receiver calibration system included.
- Proposed receiver does not require development of critical technologies.





### **Proposed System Disadvantages**

- Use of flip mirror for Channel 1 excludes simultaneous use of Channel 2.
- Two room temperature mirrors required per channel pair.
- Use of MPI's limits SSB IF instantaneous bandwidth to ~4 GHz for ~ 4% band edge loss for IF centred at 8GHz. {Note: that system can be set to DSB mode to achieve 8GHz bandwidth requirement).
- Use of MPI's increases optical complexity.
- Four cryogenic motors and positioning systems required. {Note: Ganging MPI moveable mirrors together reduces total number of motors and sensors required to 4.}
- LO injection scheme via dielectric membrane is inefficient (~2% LO used). [Note: This is also true for waveguide couplers.]
- Calibration system requires use of 4 external (room temp.) motors. Potential truncation of cold load beam will raise effective cold load to a level above 77K.

Rutherford Appleton Laboratory



Grenoble : 2 Dec 99

UK Astronomy Technology Centre

, ?

#### 183 GHz Water Vapour Meter Channel

If sensitivity permits, recommend the use of Schottky diode sub-harmonic mixer technology.

#### Advantages:

- Proven technology devices have been used extensively room temp. and cryogenic applications.
- Highly reliable devices have been space qualified [e.g. vibration, thermal cycling etc..]
- Compact and simple fixed tuned, wideband operation with waveguide LO input and integrated LNA if required.
- If necessary, dual polarisation operation can be accommodated via two systems separated by a wire grid.

Due to their past heritage and proven reliability we do not consider integration of a Schottky based WVM within the main receiver cryostat to present a reliability issue.

However, if integration is perceived to be undesirable our design also allows for room temperature or separate cryostat operation.

Rutherford Appleton Laboratory







Rutherford Appleton Laboratory



Grenoble : 2 Dec 99

1

.



•

# RF System Schematic



#### Approximate schematic. Not all optical components shown

Rutherford Appleton Laboratory



Grenoble : 2 Dec 99



.

٠

### Proposed Readjusted ALMA Channels

Channel	Low Freq (GHz)	Centre Freq (GHz)	High Freq (GHz)	Beam Waist Rad. at Low Freq. (mm)	Window Dia. at –35 dB (mm)
1	30	35	40	63.4	253.7
2	64	80	96	29.7	118.9
3	76	96	116	25.0	100
4	115	140	165	16.5	66.1
5	152	192	232	12.5	50.0
6	215	280	345	8.9	35.4
7	275	325	375	6.9	27.7
8	380	435	510	5.0	20.0
9	580	650	720	3.3	13.1
10	785	870	955	2.4	9.7

#### **Readjusted ALMA Frequency Channels**

Rutherford Appleton Laboratory



Grenoble : 2 Dec 99

20



÷ .

### Suggested Channel Window Configuration

Channel	Low Freq (GHz)	Centre Freq (GHz)	High Freq (GHz)	Window Dia. (mm)	
WVM	173	183	183	45	
2	64	79	90	45	
3	76	96	116	45	
5	152	192	232		
4	115	140	165	45	
6	215	280	345	5	
7	275	325	375	40	
9	580	650	720		
8	380	435	510	30	
10	785	870	955		

#### Channel and Cryostat Window Configuration

Rutherford Appleton Laboratory



Grenoble : 2 Dec 99



•

### Channel 3/5 MPI Response in SSB Mode





۰.

# Channel 3/5 MPI Response in DSB Single Freq. Mode



Plot of Channel 3/5 MPI Transmission Response in Single Frequency DSB Mode



Rutherford Appleton Laboratory



Grenoble : 2 Dec 99

UK Astronomy Technology Centre

. .

Ν 2 de -99/13

### Possible LO Configuration

Channel	Low Freq (GHz)	Centre Freq (GHz)	High Freq (GHz)	Multipication order
1	30	35	40	Direct (2 <sup>nd</sup> LO)
2	64	80	96	Direct (2 <sup>nd</sup> LO)
3	76	96	116	Direct (1 <sup>st</sup> LO)
4	115	140	165	Direct or x2*
5	152	192	232	x2
6	215	280	345	x3
7	275	325	375	x3
8	380	435	510	x5
9	580	650	720	x2x3
10	785	870	955	x3x3

#### LO Multiplier Configuration

Nominal fundamental tuning range = 74.3 to 122 GHz (8 GHz IF band centre)

\* x2 fundamental range = 61.5 to 78.5 GHz (8 GHz IF band centre)

Rutherford Appleton Laboratory



Grenoble : 2 Dec 99



÷ ;

# Cryostat RF Vacuum Window Materials

Channel	Centre Freq (GHz)	Window Material	Window Thickness mm	Ref. Loss at Band Edge dB	Abs. Loss dB
WVM	183	PTFE/TPX	0.57	~0.1@163	~-0.02
2	80	PTFE	1.33	-0.10	<-0.02
3	96	Mylar	0.025	-0.01	<-0.01
5	192			-0.07	<-0.01
4	140	Mylar	0.025	-0.015	<-0.01
6	280			-0.14	<-0.01
7	325	PTFE/TPX	0.322	-0.15	-0.01
9	650			-0.20	-0.03
8	435	PTFE/TPX	0.244	<-0.20	-0.02
10	870			-0.20	-0.04

#### Possible window materials and properties

WVM window could be made thicker and blazed

Rutherford Appleton Laboratory



Grenoble : 2 Dec 99



2 2

y ar x 



Rutherford Appleton Laboratory





### PTFE RF Window Transmission Loss Channel 7/9



Rutherford Appleton Laboratory



Grenoble : 2 Dec 99



•


Free Standing W Grid Example

RAL wire grid example.



Grid produced using high resolution computer controlled positioning system Wire type: Gold plated Tungsten. Wire diameter =  $15\mu m$ . Wire Pitch =  $50 \mu m$ .

Rutherford Appleton Laboratory



Grenoble : 2 Dec 99



# Free Standing Wire Grid IR Reflection Estimate



٠

Free Standing Wire Grid Reflection vs Wavelength

# Mirror Losses - Aluminium Alloy

Channel	Centre Freq (GHz)	Mirror (300K) Al(6061) (dB)	Mirror (77K) Al(6061) (dB)	Mirror (20K) Al(6061) (dB)
1	35	-0.007	-0.004	-0.004
2	80	-0.010	-0.007	-0.006
3	96	-0.011	-0.007	-0.007
4	140	-0.014	-0.009	-0.008
5	192	-0.016	-0.010	-0.010
6	280	-0.019	-0.012	-0.011
7	325	-0.021	-0.013	-0.012
8	435	-0.024	-0.016	-0.014
9	650	-0.029	-0.019	-0.017
10	870	-0.034	-0.022	-0.020

#### Single reflection mirror absorption loss at ALMA band centre frequencies – Al 6061

• RMS surface finish assumed <  $\lambda/50$  (6  $\mu$ m at 1THz). Obtained by conventional machining. Higher quality surface achieved via diamond machining.

• Bulk dc conductivity values reduced by factor of 2.





Grenoble : 2 Dec 99



### Mirror Losses - Pure Gold

Channel	Centre Freq (GHz)	Mirror (300K) Au (dB)	Mirror (77K) Au (dB)	Mirror (20K) Au (dB)
1	35	-0.005	-0.002	-0.0006
2	80	-0.008	-0.004	-0.0010
3	96	-0.009	-0.004	-0.0010
4	140	-0.010	-0.005	-0.0013
5	192	-0.012	-0.006	-0.0015
6	280	-0.015	-0.007	-0.0018
7	325	-0.016	-0.007	-0.0020
8	435	-0.018	-0.008	-0.0023
9	650	-0.022	-0.010	-0.0028
10	870	-0.026	-0.012	-0.0032

#### Single reflection mirror absorption loss at ALMA band centre frequencies – Au

• RMS surface finish assumed <  $\lambda$ /50 (6  $\mu$ m at 1THz). Obtained by conventional machining. Higher quality surface achieved via diamond machining.

• Bulk dc conductivity values reduced by factor of 2.





Grenoble : 2 Dec 99



### Mirror Losses - OFHC Copper

Channel	Centre Freq (GHz)	Mirror (300K) Cu (dB)	Mirror (77K) Cu (dB)	Mirror (20K) Cu (dB)
1	35	-0.005	-0.002	-0.0005
2	80	-0.007	-0.002	-0.0007
3	96	-0.008	-0.003	-0.0007
4	140	-0.009	-0.003	-0.0009
5	192	-0.011	-0.004	-0.0010
6	280	-0.013	-0.005	-0.0013
7	325	-0.014	-0.005	-0.0014
8	435	-0.016	-0.006	-0.0016
9	650	-0.019	-0.007	-0.0019
10	870	-0.022	-0.008	-0.0022

Single reflection mirror absorption loss at ALMA band centre frequencies – OFHC

• RMS surface finish assumed <  $\lambda$ /50 (6 µm at 1THz). Obtained by conventional machining. Higher quality surface achieved via diamond machining.

• Bulk dc conductivity values reduced by factor of 2.





Grenoble : 2 Dec 99



÷ ,

### Wire Grid Reflection and Absorption Loss

Channel	Centre Freq (GHz)	Reflection Loss (dB)	Grid (300K) (dB)	Grid (77K) (dB)	Grid (20K) (dB)
1	35	-		-	-
2	80	-	- 1	-	-
3	96	0.004	0.017	0.008	0.002
4	140	0.004	0.020	0.010	0.003
5	192	0.004	0.024	0.011	0.003
6	280	0.009	0.029	0.013	0.004
7	325	0.004	0.031	0.014	0.004
8	435	0.004	0.036	0.017	0.005
9	650	0.020	0.044	0.021	0.006
10	870	0.030	0.051	0.024	0.007

#### Single grid reflection & absorption loss at ALMA channel centre frequencies

Gold plated tungsten wires used. Bands 3/5 and 4/6 use  $25\mu m$  dia. wire on  $100\mu m$  pitch. Bands 7/9 and 8/10 use  $15\mu m$  dia. wire on  $60\mu m$  pitch. Calculated values are for normal incidence.

Rutherford Appleton Laboratory



÷.

Grenoble : 2 Dec 99



÷ .

# Accumulated Optics Reflection and Absorption Loss

	Accumulated Channel Loss (dB) - All apertures truncated to –35dB level (max).									
Component	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Al Mirror 300K (x2)	0.014	0.02	0.022	0.028	0.032	0.038	0.042	0.048	0.058	0.068
RF Window	~0.1	0.12	0.020	0.025	0.080	0.024	0.16	0.22	0.23	0.24
Grid 77K Abs. (x2)	-	-	0.016	0.020	0.022	0.026	0.028	0.034	0.042	0.048
Grid 77K Ref. (x2)	-	-	0.008	0.008	0.008	0.018	0.008	0.008	0.040	0.060
Au Mirror 77K (x2)	-	-	0.008	0.010	0.012	0.014	0.014	0.016	0.020	0.024
MPI Coupling Loss <sup>†</sup>	-	-	0.004	0.002	0.001	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.
Au Mirror 20K (x1)	-	-	0.001	0.001	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.003	0.003
Grid 20K Abs. (x1)	-	-	0.002	0.003	0.003	0.004	0.004	0.005	0.006	0.007
Grid 20K Ref. (x1)	-	-	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.009	0.004	0.004	0.020	0.030
Sub Total	0.11	0.14	0.09	0.10	0.16	0.14	0.26	0.34	0.42	0.48
LO Beamsplitter 20K	-	-	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.13	0.13	0.22
MPI Band Edge Loss <sup>4</sup>	-	-	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10
Total Loss	0.11	0.14	0.29	0.30	0.36	0.34	0.46	0.57	0.65	0.80

\* Combined reflection and absorption loss extending to band edge.

† Diplexer coupler loss evaluated for mirror at SSB position, 8GHz IF centre frequency  $\omega o = 12.5$ mm.

Assumes SSB 3.0 GHz ΔIF centred at 8 GHz. Bandwidth can be increased to 8GHz with typical loss of 0.01dB when in DSB mode and MPI set to short path difference.



Grenoble : 2 Dec 99



•

Astronomy Technology Centre

### Accumulated Optics Noise Contribution

	Accumulated Channel Noise (K) - All apertures truncated to -35dB level (max).									
Component	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Al Mirror 300K (x2)	1.0	1.4	1.5	1.9	2.2	2.6	2.9	3.3	4.0	4.7
RF Window	3.0?	3.1	0.9	1.0	1.9	3.1	3.3	4.8	5.5	6.2
Grid 77K (x2)	-	-	0.28	0.35	0.39	0.46	0.50	0.60	0.74	0.85
Au Mirror 77K (x2)	-	-	0.14	0.18	0.21	0.25	0.25	0.28	0.35	0.42
Au Mirror 20K (x1)	-	-	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01
Grid 20K (x1)	-	-	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.04	0.02	0.02	0.09	0.14
Sub Total	4.0	4.5	2.85	3.46	4.73	6.46	6.98	9.01	10.69	12.32
LO Beamsplitter 20K	-	-	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.8	2.3	2.3	3.8
MPI Band Edge Loss <sup>4</sup>	-	-	1.75	1.75	1.75	1.75	1.75	1.75	1.75	1.75
Total Noise	4.0	4.5	6.4	7.0	8.3	10.0	10.5	13.1	14.7 ′	17.9

Grid and mirror noise contribution derived using lossy attenuator model.

- \* Combined reflection and absorption loss extending to band edge. Absorption loss assumed to radiate 300 K. Reflection loss assumed to reflect ~ 77 K.
- \*\* LO port assumed to be a 77K black body.
- <sup>†</sup> Unused sideband terminated in 77K load. Contribution stated for 2% MPI band edge. Reduce by cooling load to 20K





Grenoble : 2 Dec 99



: :

# Front-End Optics Inseruon Loss Contribution



Insertion Loss Contribution from RF Optical Components in Selected ALMA Channels

Rutherford Appleton Laboratory



Grenoble : 2 Dec 99



2 5



Rutherford Appleton Laboratory



Grenoble : 2 Dec 99



•

# System Noise Contribution



Rutherford Appleton Laboratory



Grenoble : 2 Dec 99



# Visualisation of System Layout - 1



Rutherford Appleton Laboratory



Grenoble : 2 Dec 99



÷

# Visualisation of System Layout - 2



Rutherford Appleton Laboratory



Grenoble : 2 Dec 99



:

### MPI's at 77K



# Channel 3 -10 Mixer and LO Cartridge System



Rutherford Appleton Laboratory



Grenoble : 2 Dec 99



:

# Channel 2 LNA Cartridge System



Rutherford Appleton Laboratory



Grenoble : 2 Dec 99



### SIS Mixers



2

#### SIS mixers have following key features:

- Use single tunnel junctions mounted in waveguide embedding structure.
- Corrugated feedhorn used to couple mixer waveguide to free-space.
- Fixed tuned backshort.
- Separate LNA is attached directly to mixer block, but not integrated inside mixer. Allows LNA to be easily separated from mixer for either mixer or LNA replacement/repair.
- Instantaneous IF bandwidth is 8GHz centred at 8 GHz.
- Internal protection bias resistors,
- Internal IF matching circuit if required.
- Magnetic field supplied by superconducting coil in close proximity to mixer.







# Local Oscillator System



Local oscillator system has following key features:

- One LO system required per mixer annoying!
- Fixed tuned frequency multiplier operation.
- Integrated with SIS mixer cartridge.
- HEB's or varactors (x2, x3, x5) cooled to 77 K.
- Mixer injection via dielectric beamsplitter mounted at 15K.
- Optical path length minimised to reduce baseline modulation when cryostat tipped.
- Fundamental pump frequency provided via waveguide to external source (Option II photonic solution). Standard waveguide vacuum seal used.
- Flexible system that can accommodate future upgrade to Option III full photonic LO.





Grenoble : 2 Dec 99



### Accelver Cherenge mer ace

Interface	No. of Leads	Туре	Heat Load	Comments
RF input to mixer	-	-	<7.5mW	Per pair of mixers.
LO Input to mixer	-	-	< 1mW	Radiative loading.
Mixer bias	4	0.125µm dia. BeCu	~0.01mW	15 to 4K only.
Magnet bias	2	0.125µm dia. Cu	~2mW	Assume max mag I ~ 100mA
LNA at 4K	7	0.125µm dia. BeCu	15mW/~10µW	On/Quiescent.
IF (4 – 15K, 15 – 77K, 77 – 300K)	1	SS-SS 0.141 Coax	~ 2mW	Requires Cu coax at some point for flexure.
Bias leads for x2 LO	2	0.125µm dia. BeCu	~ 3mW	300 to 77K.
Bias leads for x3 LO	2	0.125μm dia. BeCu	~ 3mW	Not required for HEB's
Waveguide input for multiplier	1	tbd	tbd	Also applicable for direct LO, e.g. channels 2 & 3.
Temp. sensor 4K	4	LakeShore Diode	~0.01mW	0.125µm dia. BeCu leads.
Temp. sensor 15K	4	LakeShore Diode	~ 1mW	0.125µm dia. BeCu leads.
Temp. sensor 77K	4	LakeShore Diode	~ 4mW	0.125µm dia. BeCu leads.
Stage heater (4K)	4 (paired)	0.125µm dia. BeCu	tbd/~10μW	On/Quiescent.

Cartridge Interface for single mixer polarisation.

Multiply leads and heat loads (except RF window) by 4 for total cartridge (Channels 3 to 10).

Rutherford Appleton Laboratory



Grenoble : 2 Dec 99



:

# **Receiver Cartridge Connector Interface**

Interface	Min. No of Pins	Connector Type
RF input to mixer	-	<b>-</b>
LO Input to mixer	-	-
Mixer bias	16	Amp/Bendix 26 Way
Magnet bias	8	Amp/Bendix 26 Way
LNA at 4K	28	Amp/Bendix 26 Way
IF (4 – 15K, 15 – 77K, 77 – 300K)	1	SMA Hermetic
Bias leads for LO	16	Amp/Bendix 26 Way
Waveguide input for multiplier	4	WR10 vacuum sealed waveguide flange.
Temp. sensor 4K	16	
Temp. sensor 15K	4	Amp/Bendix 32 Way
Temp. sensor 77K	4	1
Stage heater (4K)	16 (paired)	Amp/Bendix 26 Way

**Cartridge Connector Interface Encompassing All Channels** 

All DC electrical leads should be filtered for EMI.

Rutherford Appleton Laboratory



Grenoble : 2 Dec 99



•



4K Demonstrator for Planck Explorer - An ESA mission to study the Cosmic Microwave background. Joint undertaking with UK, France, Italy, US, Sweden, Germany...



Rutherford Appleton Labor























1163-11

 $\gamma^{\dagger}$  (













	Cooler se	lection	
	Cycle Options		
	Gifford - McMahon	Moving displacer	
		Large compressors – water or air cooled, may be oil free	
		Sensitive to orientation	
	Pulse Tube	No moving displacer Compressor similar to GM Sensitive to orientation	
	Stirling cycle	Moving displacer Valveless compressor May be oil free	
Rutherfo	ord Appleton Laboratory	UK Astronomy Technoo	



.

	Advantages	Disadvantages
Cool directly to 4K	Simple system One Compressor Commercially available	Vibration Single point cooling Limited cooled stages (40-50 K) 4K temperature very sensitive to cooler performance
Precool to 10-15 K, use Л to achieve 4 K	Distributed cooling at 4 K Some vibration isolation 4 K temperature less dependent on precooler performance Shield cooling at 80 K and 15 K Good temperature stability Precoolers commercially available	Second compressor required More complex plumbing Custom designed JT system required
Use 4 K cooler to reliquefy helium	Distributed cooling at 4 K Some vibration isolation Commercially available	More complex system Cooling loops required

#### Optimum system

15 K precooler with 4 K JT -

distributed cooling, vibration isolation, better temperature stability

#### Optimum cycle

Pulse tube -

No vibration, most reliable

#### Commercial availability

15 K GM machines available from several manufacturers

4 K pulse tubes available - 15 K simple development

UK

Astron

Rutherford Appleton Laboratory

۰.









# System Compliance Matrix - 1

Item	Requirement	Achieved	Compliant	Comments
Frequency coverage	30 to 1000GHz	30 to 1000GHz	1	Could be provided be existing technology.
SSB operation	>10dB SB rejection	>15dB	1	For 4 GHz IF.
Dual polarisation	>-25dB non- orthogonality	>-25dB DSB >17dB SSB	1	At band edges.
Circular pol.	tbd	Needs additional optics	X	May be possible.
Mixer noise	<10fh/k	tbd	tbd	
IF bandwidth	4GHz min. (Goal 8GHz)	4GHz SSB 8GHz DSB	1	Only for band edge loss $\sim 2\%$ .
IF interface.	4 - 12GHz	4 -12GHz	1	IF 4 – 12 GHz.
Beam separation on sky rel. to WVM	3 arc min.	3 arc min.	1	Some channels well within requirement.
WVM operation	Simultaneous with channels	Simultaneous with channels	1	Within same cryostat as RF channels.
Frequency change - slow	1.5sec.	~ 1 sec.	1	MPI motort limited on dual freq. Channels.

•





# System Compliance Matrix - 2

Item	Requirement	Achieved	Compliant	Comments
Frequency change -fast	10msec.	10msec.	1	For no change in MPI mirror.
Cryogenic LO	77K	77K	1	
Mixer ambient temp.	4.2K max. 4.0K typ.	4.0K	1	
Additional cooling stage temperatures	15K and 77K	15K and 77K	1	
Stage temp. stability	tbd	< 20mK	tbd	
Heat lift (4K)	750mW max.	> 750mW	1	
Cryostat mass	550 kg	420 kg	1	Includes 20% margin.
Cryostat size	1m dia. 1.6 ht max.	0.95m x 1.5m	1	Will fit through door!
System pwr requirement	tbd	<4kW	tbd	
System cycle time	≤ 5 days	4	1	
Component packaging	Removable assembly	Cartridge system	~	2 pol's and 2 freqs/ cartridge for channels 3 – 10.
Solar observing	No comp. dmge	Window concern	X	Needs further thought.
Rx system stability	tbd	tdb	tbd	

Rutherford Appleton Laboratory



Grenoble : 2 Dec 99



2 2
## Summary

We have proposed a receiver design for the ALMA project that fulfils a majority of the basic system requirements as outlined in the CODC guidelines.

The essential element of our design are:

- An alternative method of distributing the RF beams on the sky.
- Close co-alignment of channel beams with the 183 GHz WVM.
- Reduced number and size of vacuum windows.
- Versatile cryogenic system capable of accepting a variety of RF layouts.
- Ease of maintenance and construction.
- Use of existing technology for core components e.g., mixers and cooling mechanism.



Grenoble : 2 Dec 99



C. S. J. L.