NATIONAL RADIO ASTRONOMY OBSERVATORY CHARLOTTESVILLE, VIRGINIA

ECTRONICS DIVISION INTERNAL REPORT NO.

A METHOD FOR MEASURING AN EQUIVALENT CIRCUIT OF WAVEGUIDE— MOUNTED DIODES

M. Pospieszalski and S. Weinreb

October 1979

NUMBER OF COPIES: 150

A METHOD FOR MEASURING AN EQUIVALENT CIRCUIT OF WAVEGUIDE - MOUNTED DIODES

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Introduction	1
II.	Diode Mount as a Three Port Junction	3
III.	Schottkey Barrier Diode as a Variable Load and Square Law	
	Detector	7
IV.	Determination of Mount and Diode Equivalent Circuits	9
v.	Example of 2 mm Mixer Analysis	15
	A. Evaluation of a Diode Embedding Circuit.	15
	B. Embedding Network and Mixer Performance	24
VI.	Errors	24
	A. Measurement Errors	26
	B. Validity of Assumptions	26
	C. Computation Convergence Error	27
	D. Error Multiplication Effecting [y] Parameters	
	and Element Values	27
	E. Error Multiplication Effecting Element Values Only	29
Refer	ences	30

APPENDICES

31
31
31
32
40
40
58

Page

FIGURES

			Page
Figure	1	Example of DC current variation as a function of backshort	
		position for a 110.5 GHz mixer and five different	,
		values of bias current	4
Figure	2	Schematic cross-section of a typical millimeter-wave mixer	-
		mount	5
Figure	3(a)	General representation of a lossless three port	6
	3(b)	Equivalent circuit of a symmetric mixer mount	6
Figure	4	Equivalent circuits of a diode mount with generator and	6
	-	backshort connected.	6
Figure	5	The measurement setup	10
Figure	6	Electron microscope photographs of the 2 mm mixer mounts	10
Figure	7	The geometry of the Schottkey barrier diodes	18
Figure	8	The dependence of the diode capacitance C on the diode	10
		bias voltage V_0	18
Figure	9	The dependence of $\frac{1}{2}$ on the diode bias voltage V	22
U		c_d^2	
Figure	10	The curves of $\frac{\Delta I}{\Delta I} = f(Y_{BS} \times Z_G)$ for mixer A computed	
		using values of circuit elements from Table I	23
Figure	11	The total loss ΔL and loss due to the reflection ΔL_R	
		caused by the embedding circuits of mixers A and B	
		versus resistance R_{pr} presented to the circuit by	
		the numped diade	25
Figuro	12	Measured diode capacitance for a 2 mm mixer mount as a	25
riguie	12	function of bias voltage	28
Figure	۸ 1	D C characteristics of the 2P11 and 2P8-600 diodes	34
Figure	Δ 2	Dependence of the diode differential resistance on the	
rigure	A• 2 •	inverse of the hiss current	35
Figure	<u>۸</u> 3	The conversion loss and noise temperature measurement	
TEULE	л. J.	setup used at 152 8 GHz (a) and 201.3 GHz (b)	38
		$\operatorname{Setup} \operatorname{ubcu} \operatorname{ut} \operatorname{152.00} \operatorname{On2} \operatorname{(u)} \operatorname{unu} \operatorname{201.00} \operatorname{On2} \operatorname{(b)} $	

TABLES

Table l	The measured parameters of the diode and its embedding	_
	circuits	20
Table II	The SSB performance of mixers	25
Table III	Element values for 2 mm mixer mount	28
Table A.1.	Summary of backshort measurements on mixer A at 152.8	
	and 200.3 GHz	36
Table A.2.	Summary of backshort measurements on mixer B at 152.8	
	and 200.3 GHz	37
Table A.3.	The dependence of the signal level needed to produce	
	ΔΙ	
	$\frac{\max}{\pi}$ = 0.1 at 152.8 GHz	39
	L _B	
Table A.4.	Summary of 4.75 GHz IF SSB mixer performance with pump	
	at 152.8 and 200.3 GHz	39

A Method for Measuring an Equivalent Circuit of Waveguide - Mounted Diodes

M. Pospieszalski* and S. Weinreb**

Abstract - A method of measurement of the embedding circuit and diode parasitics of a semiconductor diode mounted in a waveguide is described. The method is unique in that no instrumentation is required other than an RF signal source, wide-range DC milliammeter, VSWR meter and a sliding short (usually built into the mount) with a linear position scale. Thus the method is applicable at millimeter wavelengths and can be performed upon a mixer which is mounted in a system or is at cryogenic temperatures. The basic technique is to apply a small microwave signal to the mount and measure the diode current as a function of sliding short position for several different values of d-c bias voltage. The method is demonstrated by analysis of two 140-220 GHz mixer mounts.

I. INTRODUCTION

A common problem in present day microwave engineering is the characterization of the coupling network and parasitics of a diode mounted in waveguide. Tools for attacking this problem are

^{*} National Radio Astronomy Observatory, Charlottesville, Va, on leave of absence from Warsaw Technical University, Institute of Electronic Fundamentals, Warsaw, Poland.

^{**} National Radio Astronomy Observatory, operated by Associated Universities Inc., under contract to National Science Foundation.

theoretical analyses of the waveguide-to-gap coupling network given by Eisenhart and Kahn [1] and Joshi and Cornic [2], microwave measurement methods of diode parameters, such as described by DeLoach [3], large scale model measurements, such as those applied to millimeter wave mixers by Held and Kerr [4,5], and a recently published reflectometer technique by Hagström and Kollberg [6].

In our work concerning millimeter wave mixer development, all of the above techniques are of some usefulness. However, we desired a diagnostic technique which can be applied to a completed mixer mount, including diode, and give detailed information as to why the mount was particularly good or bad. The technique should answer questions such as "What impedance is presented to diode by the embedding circuit?," "Are the losses due to the diode parasitic elements too large?,"

During tests of various mixers, it was noted that curves of rectified diode current versus backshort position varied in appearance according to the frequency and diode. The analysis of these curves for a diode with sufficient LO power for good mixer performance is a quite complex non-linear problem [4]. However, it was recognized that diode current vs. backshort position curves could be measured for LO currents small compared to DC bias current and analyzed by conventional linear network methods, plus square-law detector theory as outlined in Sections II and III of this paper. Furthermore, by measurin this curve for several values of DC bias current much information about the diode and coupling network could be obtained.

- 2 -

A typical set of these curves made under the small LO condition, and for five different DC bias voltages is shown in Fig. 1. (All measurements made in this paper are at constant DC bias voltage, but are described by the DC current for zero LO power.) It will be shown in Section IV that each curve is proportional to $1/|Y_{IN} + Y_g + Y_{BS}|^2$ where $Y_{IN} + Y_{BS}$ is the input admittance of the waveguide port, Y_g is the generator source admittance, and Y_{BS} is the backshort admittance. The latter two quantities are known, and thus, Y_{IN} is determined by measuring the peak and width of each curve. It will also be shown that a mount equivalent circuit can be determined by measuring Y_{IN} for five different values of DC bias current.

II. DIODE MOUNT AS A THREE PORT JUNCTION

A cross section of a typical mixer mount is shown in Fig. 2. This mount can be considered as a microwave network having three ports connected to: 1) generator, 2) backshort, and 3) diode. Any lossless, reciprocal three port junction can be represented at a given frequency by the equivalent circuit shown in Fig. 3a [8,9]. This circuit consists of 7 independent elements, although it is obvious that one transformer may be assigned an arbitrary turn ratio. It is most convenient to set $n_3 = 1$. As lengths l_1 and l_2 may be set equal to zero by a proper choice of reference planes in the generator and backshort arms, only 4 elements n_1 , n_2 , Y, Z_s need to be determined. It is also obvious that for reference planes close to the diode, so that the three port excludes the waveguide-height transformer, the mount is symmetrical and

- 3 -



4

IO X IO IO THE CENTIMETER

le bias current.



Fig. 2. Schematic cross-section of a typical millimeter-wave mimount.





Fig. 3. (a) General representation of a lossless three-port. (b) Equivalent circuit of a symmetric mixer mount.

 $n_1 = n_2$. This requires the assumption that any deviations from symmetry in the diode mounting structure are small compared to a wavelength.

With this symmetry assumption and some simple transformations, the circuit can be changed to that of Fig. 3(b) which contains 5 independent elements, i.e.: l_1 , l_2 , n, B_p , and X_s .

Again, only three elements-- n, B_p , and X_s need to be determined. It is also clear that the validity of the equivalent circuit is not affected by the choice of the particular definition of the waveguide characteristic impedance Z_G , as it can be changed by a change of the value of n. However, following previous works on waveguide mounts, the power-voltage definition of Schelkunoff is chosen throughout this paper; that is,

$$Z_{G} = \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{\epsilon}} \cdot \frac{2b}{a} \cdot \frac{\lambda g}{\lambda}$$
(1)

where all the symbols have their conventional meaning.

III. SCHOTTKY BARRIER DIODE AS A VARIABLE LOAD AND SQUARE LAW DETECTOR.

The equivalent circuit of a Schottky barrier diode is also shown in Fig. 3(b). The i - v characteristic of the ideal-diode portion of the model can be very well determined by dc and low frequency measurements, and should be equally valid at millimeter wavelengths. This characteristic is described by:

$$i = I_{s} (e^{\alpha U} - 1)$$
 (2)

and
$$\alpha = \frac{q}{\eta \kappa T}$$
 (3)

where: $I_{s} = diode saturation current$ q = electronic charge $\kappa = Boltzmann's constant$ T = absolute temperature $\eta = junction ideality factor$

For small RF signals [10] the diode biased at a certain operating point ($I_{\rm R}$, $V_{\rm R}$) can be considered as a linear conductance,

$$g_{do} = \alpha I_{B}$$
(4)

and a square law detector having small signal current responsivity,

$$\beta_{0} = \frac{\Delta I}{P} = \frac{\alpha}{2}$$
(5)

where: P = RF power absorbed by the diode,

$$\Delta I$$
 = increase in dc current of the diode due to the presence of RF signal.

The knowledge of the i-v characteristic of the diode allows both the computation of the value of the resistance terminating the network of Fig. 3(b), and also the measurement, through ΔI , of the amount of power absorbed by this load. Equations (4) and (5) are valid for small signals applied to the diode. To evaluate how small the signal must be a given error, we use the following relations [6]:

$$\frac{\Delta I}{I_B} = I_0(\alpha V_p) - 1 = \frac{(\alpha V_p)^2}{2^2(1!)^2} + \frac{(\alpha V_p)^4}{2^4(2!)^2} + \dots$$
(7)

where: V - the amplitude of a sinusoidal voltage applied to the diode, p

g_d - diode conductance defined as a ratio of the fundamental frequency current and voltage amplitudes,

 I_0 , I_1 - the modified Bessel junction of the first kin.

Under small signal approximations, (6) and (7) can be combined to give

$$\frac{g_d}{g_{do}} \stackrel{\sim}{=} 1 + \frac{\Delta I}{2I_B}$$
(8)

Equation (8) can be used either to correct values of g_0 needed in the analysis, or to set an apper limit upon $\Delta I | I_B$ to allow using g_{do} in place of g_0 .

IV. DETERMINATION OF MOUNT AND DIODE EQUIVALENT CIRCUITS

The diode can be represented as an ideal diode as described above coupled to a voltage-dependent diode capacitance, C_D , and series resistance R_S as shown in Fig. 3(b). We wish to determine these two quantities, and the coupling network parameters.

Connecting generator and backshort to the circuit of Fig. 3(b) results in the equivalent circuit shown in Fig. 4(a). Since no microwave power is coupled to the diode (i.e., $\Delta I = 0$) for positions of the backshort where $Y_{BS} = \infty$, a reference plane for measurement of backshort position is established and Y_{BS} is given by:

$$Y_{BS} = -j Y_{G} \cot \frac{2\pi 1}{\lambda g}$$
(9)

- 9 -



Fig. 4. Equivalent circuits of a diode mount with generator and backshort connected.



Fig. 5. The measurement setup.

where 1 is the distance between the backshort position and the next position towards the diode where ΔI is a null, λ_g is the guide wavelength, and $Y_G = Z_G^{-1}$.

If the mount is symmetric and the generator is well matched, $Y_g = Y_G$ and only three parameters of coupling network: n, B, and X_s , need to be determined. It is convenient to assign a post capacitance $C_p \equiv B_p/\omega$ and a whisker inductance $L_s \equiv X_s/\omega$ to replace B_p and X_s , although it is not known in advance whether C_p and L_s will be found to be positive and independent of frequency. (In analyses we have performed, C_p is usually positive, small, and varies with frequency; L_s is always positive and usually increases with frequency.)

If the mount is not symmetric and/or the signal source is not well matched, the generator impedance Y_g is complex. However, its imaginary part cannot be distinguished from post reactance, and can be treated as part of it. The real part of Y_g then constitutes a fourth unknown of the coupling network.

It is sometimes convenient to think of the network of Fig. 4(a) as a two port described by matrix [y], shown in Fig. 4(b). This two port is determined by six real numbers (real and imaginary parts of [y] matrix elements), as is the equivalent circuit of Fig. 4(a) ($C_p + n^2 ImY_g$, R_s , L_s , C_d , n, ReY_g). The following relations exist:

$$g_{11} = ReY_g + \frac{Rs}{n^2 \{(R_s^2 + (\omega L_s)^2\}}$$

$$b_{11} = \frac{1}{n^2} (\omega C_p - \frac{\omega L_s}{(\omega L_s)^2 + R_s^2}) + I m Yg$$

- 11 -

$$g_{12} = \frac{-R_{s}}{n\{R_{s}^{2} + (\omega L_{s})^{2}\}}$$

$$b_{12} = \frac{\omega L_{s}}{n\{R_{s}^{2} + (\omega L_{s})^{2}\}}$$

$$g_{22} = -g_{12}n$$

$$b_{22} = \omega C_{d} - \frac{\omega L_{s}}{R_{s}^{2} + (\omega L_{s})^{2}}$$
(10)

The experimental procedure consists of measuring the DC current change, ΔI , due to RF signal as a function of backshort position, 1, and for a fixed DC bias voltage, V_B , which gives DC bias current I_B for zero RF signal. For acceptable accuracy without correction through (8) $\Delta I/I_B$ must be kept ≤ 0.1 . Since I_B is temperature dependent, drifts of $\Delta I/I_B$ of the order of .005 are typical and the modulated RF source system shown in Fig. 5 is convenient and more accurate; maximum $\Delta I/I_B$ of .01 can be used and the temperature drift of I_R has negligible effect.

The relation of a ΔI vs. 1 curve to the circuit element values can be easily found* by noting that ΔI is proportional to the square of the magnitude of the voltage across g_d (i.e., the power absorbed by g_d). Since all voltages in the network are proportional, ΔI is also proportional to the square of the magnitude of the input voltage at plane A - A of Fig. 4. Thus, we may write:

$$\Delta I = \frac{c |i_G|^2}{|Y_{IN} + Y_g + Y_{BS}|^2}$$

^{*}We thank John Granlund for his contribution to the solution of this problem.

where i_{G} and Y_{IN} are defined in Fig. 4, and c is an arbitrary constant. Denoting jB_{O} as the value of Y_{BS} when ΔI is a maximum, and $j(B_{O} \pm \Delta B)$ as the values of Y_{BS} which give 1/2 the maximum ΔI , it is easily shown that:

$$Y_{IN} + Y_{g} = \Delta B - jBo$$
(12)

Thus measuring two points on the ΔI vs. backshort position curve is equivalent to measuring the complex input admittance, Y_{IN} , which is related to the 6 unknowns (n, $C_p + n^2 ImY_g$, R_eY_g , R_s , L_s and C_d) and known diode conductance, g_d , by

$$Y_{in} = n^{-2} \left[j \omega C_{p} + \frac{j \omega C_{d} + g_{d}}{1 + (j \omega C_{d} + g_{d}) (R_{s} + j \omega L_{s})} \right] - Y_{g}$$
(13)

Referring to Fig. 4(b), the same relation can be written as:

$$\Delta B - jB_{0} = y_{11} - \frac{(y_{12})^{2}}{y_{22} + g_{d}}$$
(14)

where $y_{mn} = g_{mn} + jb_{mn}$. The relation between the [y] matrix elements and circuit parameters are then given by (10).

The diode conductance, g_d , can be varied over a wide range by varying the bias current, I_B , and thus, Y_{IN} can be determined (producing 2 real equations) for many values of g_d . However, each time I_B is changed, the diode capacitance, C_d , also changes, introducing one new variable. This is equivalent to changing the imaginary part of y_{22} every time a measurement is made. Thus, the measurement at each diode bias can be described by the equation:

$$\Delta B^{(k)} - j B_0^{(k)} = y_{11} - \frac{(y_{12})^2}{j b_{22}^{(k)} + g_{22} + g_d^{(k)}}$$
(15)

This equation can be rewritten in the form:

$$g_{d}^{(k)} + jB_{22}^{(k)} + g_{22} = \frac{(y_{12})^{2}}{y_{11} - \Delta B^{(k)} + jB_{0}^{(k)}}$$
 (16)

Equating real and imaginary part of both sides, we get:

$$g_{d}^{(k)} = \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{(y_{12})^{2}}{y_{11} - \Delta B^{(k)} + jB_{0}^{(k)}} \right\} - g_{22}^{(17)}$$

$$b_{22}^{(k)} = \operatorname{Im} \left\{ \frac{(y_{12})^2}{y_{11} - \Delta B^{(k)} + jB_0^{(k)}} \right\}$$
(18)

Equation (17) has 5 unknowns $(g_{11}, b_{11}, g_{12}, b_{12}, g_{22})$, therefore, at least 5 measurements must be made to determine them. Then unknown values of $b_{22}^{(k)}$, for every diode bias, can be found explicitly from (18). The circuit element values can then be found thru (10).

The solution of 5 or more (for reason of accuracy) real equations o the form (17), or 5 or more complex equations of the form (16) is not a simple task, since the equations are non-linear. It can be performed by utilizing numerical techniques on a digital computer, or thru an optimization program such as Compact [11]. An error sensitivity analysis could also be performed with either of these methods.

- 14 -

Our present approach has been not to solve for the ten unknowns directly, but to use other information and special characteristics of the equations to simplify the problem. An example of this approach will be given in the next section. Some of the possible simplifications are listed below:

1) For $I_B^{(1)} \sim 5 \text{ mA}$, $g_d^{(1)} >> \omega C^{(1)}$ for most practical cases; $C_D^{(1)}$ has negligible effect upon $Y_{TN}^{(1)}$, and need not be determined.

2) The functional form of C_d , as a function of I_B (or V_B), may be known, and thus, all $C_d^{(k)}$ may be replaced by 2 unknowns, such as zero bias capacitance and barrier potential.

3) R_s may be known or predictable from low frequency measurements.

4) L_s , C_p , or n may be known from measurements on another diode at the same frequency.

5) $C_{D}^{(k)}$ may be known from measurements on the same diode at another frequency.

6) The mount may be assumed to be symmetric, and the signal source perfectly matched, then $Y_{g} = Z_{G}^{-1}$.

7) The obstacles (chip and whisker post) within the waveguide are small enough so C_{p} is negligibly small.

V. EXAMPLE OF 2-MM MIXER ANALYSIS

A. Evaluation Of A Diode Embedding Circuit

Two 140-220 GHz mixer mounts, designated A and B, were constructed using the design described by Kerr, et al, [9] and were equipped with diodes supplied by R. J. Mattauch of the University of Virginia. Scanning electron microscope photographs of the mounts are shown in Fig. 6(a), and 6(b), respectively. The diode chips have an array of platinum-gold

- 15 -





Fig. 6. Electron microscope photographs of the 2-mm mixer mounts: top is mixer A; bottom is mixer B.

- 16 -

anodes, 2 μ m in diameter, fabricated by electroplating on lightly doped $(N_D \stackrel{\sim}{=} 4.5 \times 10^{16} \text{ cm}^{-3})$ epitaxial GaAs. Although the chips for mixers A and B came from the same wafer, they have undergone different processes of thinning of the epitaxial layer, resulting in slightly different diode geometry. The schematic cross section (not to scale) of the diodes in mount A and B is shown in Fig. 7.

These mounts were measured with the apparatus shown in Fig. 5 at a frequency of 152.8 GHz, and for the following diode bias currents: 5 mA, 1 mA, 500 μ A, 200 μ A, 50 μ A, 20 μ A, 5 μ A.

The values of $\Delta B^{(k)}$ and $B_0^{(k)}$ for every current were determined as mean values of several analyses of the ΔI curve at different levels (typically 1, 2, 3 dB below peak).

The numerical procedure of finding circuit elements was based on the assumption that the mount is symmetric, and the source is well matched to the waveguide. Also, the high current approximation mentioned in the previous section was employed. That is, for $I_B^{(1)} = 5 \text{ mA}$, $g_d^{(1)} >> \omega C_d$, and (12) and (13) reduce to:

$$B_{o}^{(1)} = n^{-2} \left\{ \frac{\omega L_{s}}{(\omega L_{s})^{2} + R_{T}^{2}} - \omega C_{p} \right\}$$
(19)

$$\Delta B_{o}^{(1)} = n^{-2} \left\{ \frac{R_{T}}{(\omega L_{s})^{2} + R_{T}^{2}} \right\} - Y_{G}$$
(20)

where $R_{T} = R_{S} + \frac{1}{g_{d}}$.

Equations (19) and (20), together with 2 equations of the form given by (17), can be solved for n, L_s , C_p , and R_s . However, it was recognized



that the value of the transformer turn ratio, n, is primarily determined by (20). This led to the following algorithm:

1) For the assumed value of n (usually $n \sim 1$), equation (19), together with 2 equations of the form (17) taken for 2 different bias currents, $I_o^{(2)}$ and $I_o^{(3)}$, was solved for L_s , C_p , and R_s . Capacitances $C_d^{(2)}$ and $C_d^{(3)}$ could then be found from (18). This was done for all fifteen pairs of possible $I_B^{(2)}$ and $I_B^{(3)}$ selected from the 6 remaining measurements. The solution of these equations was performed numerically on a Hewlett Packard 9830A desk-top computer, using the Newton-Raphson method [12]; typical computation time for one solution was fifteen seconds.

2) The mean values of L and R, from the fifteen solutions, were computed and used to find a new value of n from (20).

 Steps 1 and 2 were repeated until 2 digit agreement for the valu of n had been achieved in 2 consecutive iterations.

The results of this procedure are summarized in Table I for both mounts A and B. The mean values and standard deviation of C_p , L_s , R_s , and $C_d^{(k)}$ are given (as evident from the algorithm just described, the measurement resulted in fifteen values of C_p , L_s , R_s and 5 values of every $C_d^{(k)}$). For comparison, the results of some dc and low frequency measurements are also included.

A comparison of the results for mounts A and B shows different results for L_s , C_p , and n, as would be expected for the different geometry shown in Fig. 6. The whisker inductance has changed only a small amount, as the length of whisker wire was the same in both mounts (it was only bent differently).

- 19 -

					-
		ŗ	0.90	0.82	
	ζ_{B}	[fr]	6.6 (0,2)	2.0 (0.2)	
S GUZ	۲,	[H _d]	0.110 (5002)	0,129 (1004)	
152. 4	R	[22]	24.9 (2.2)	25.1 (1.2)	TEXT)
イイ	۴J	Aml	14.5 (0.6)	18.3 (0.4)	(SEr 7
IRED	(*) [Fi	EODUA	10.2 (0.5)	14.0 (0.2)	JNOILUI
MEASC	ICE Ca	200,41	8. 0 (0.3)	11.2 (0.4)	1RD OUV
	ACITAN	50 μ1	6.2 (0.1)	9.8 (0.3)	ONCIS
	E CAP	20, uA	5.9 (.0.1)	9.1 (0.4)	Jav ri
	DIOD	5 44	5.3 (0.17)	8.4 (0.3)	RDACK
P,	47	10 MVC	17.0	12.0	EN IN
Å	47	[bC]	14.7	10.1	LUFS GIV
DIODE DEALTY FACTOR		1.15	1.15	E: VA	
mount			4	Ъ	lro <u>i</u>

The measured parameters of the diode and its embedding circuit. Table I.

- 20 -

The dependence of the diode capacitance C_d versus bias voltage V_B is shown in Fig. 8. The diode in mount A has considerably less capacitance than the diode in mount B. To check the consistency of the results, the curves $\frac{1}{C_c}^2 = f(V_B)$ have been plotted in Fig. 9. These curves can be approximated by straight lines with slopes corresponding to doping concentrations of $N_D^A = 6.8 \times 10^{16} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ and $N_D^B = 1.9 \times 10^{17} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ for diodes A and B, respectively. In these computations the diameter of the diodes was assumed to be 2 µm and fringing effects were neglected. The epitaxial doping density measured by the manufacturer was 4.5 $\times 10^{16} \text{ cm}^{-3}$. Higher doping is to be expected for diode B, since its epitaxial layer has been thinned more than diode A, and the depletion layer is closer to the highly doped ($N_D = 2 \times 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-3}$) buffer layer.

The value of series resistance R_s is considerably higher than that expected from the diode chip alone. The skin effect at 150 GHz in the diode chip should add only about 3Ω to the diode resistance measured at 10 MHz [4][5]. This falls several ohms short of the measured values of R_s . The discrepancy is probably due to losses in the mount, choke, and whisker. However, it should be remembered that the mount equivalent circuit is for a lossless mount, and representation of mount losses as an effective increase in R_s is only an approximation.

Fig. 10 shows the $\Delta I = f(Y_{BS})$ curves for mixer A computed using values of circuit elements from Table I. Experimental points for each curve are also shown. As the agreement is excellent, it shows that the computational procedure adopted here was adequate.

- 21 -



Fig. 9. The dependence of $\frac{1}{C_d^2}$ on the diode bias voltage V_o.

то X то то тне селтиметел та х је би



- 23 -

2

B. Embedding Network and Mixer Performance

The mixers were measured using a 4.75 GHz IF radiometer/reflectometer apparatus described by Weinreb and Kerr [13]. Table II summarizes the SSB performance of the room temperature mixers at 2 operating points corresponding to the best noise temperature T_{MXR} and best conversion loss L.

To explain the difference in the conversion loss of both mixers, the equivalent circuits determined previously were used to compute minimum loss, Δl , with respect to the backshort position, caused by the diode embedding circuit for different values of small signal resistances $R_{\rm RF}$ presented by the pumped diode (the capacitances for $V_{\rm B}$ = 0.7V were assumed). The results of computations are presented in Fig. 11. The loss component due to reflection $\Delta L_{\rm R}$ has been extracted from the total loss ΔL and is also plotted in Fig. 11.

As the resistance presented by the pumped diode at IF frequency R_{IF} was approxiantely 200 Ω for both mixers, R_{RF} should be in the range 100 to 600 Ω [14]. A particular value of R_{RF} , which would account for the 0.5 - 0.6 dB difference in the conversion loss of mixers A and B (compare Table II), is approximately 135 Ω . This is in agreement with the common belief that a Y-type mixer [16] for which $R_{RF} \cong \frac{R_{IF}}{2}$ most closely describes the performance of millimeter-wave mixers.

VI. ERRORS

The previous example shows that very reasonable results can be obtained by the described method. However, application of this same method to the same mixer measured at 200 GHz gives poor results in that the iteration

- 24 -



Fig. 11. The total loss ΔL and loss due to the reflection ΔL_R caused by the embedding circuits of mixers A and B versus resistance R_{RF} presented to the circuit by the pumped diode.

	BEST NOLLE TEMPERATURE				BEST CONVERSION LOSS			
MIXER	ي [v]	I. D [m~]	T _{mxx} [*k]	Г [ав]	V [v]	ID [mA]	T _{ma} r [°k]	L [dB]
A	0.70	0.70	850	6,75	0.3	2.0	1240	6.00
B	0.74	1.10	990	7, 30	0,3	3.0	1560	6.65

Table II. The SSB performance of mixers (f = 152.8 GHz, f = 4.75 GHz.) procedure does not converge, and unreasonable element values are computed. This problem is being investigated further. Our present belief is that some of the problem is due to the computation procedure as will be discussed below, and this can be improved. It appears that the final result will be a method which: a) gives good accuracy (±10% for element values) for some mounts, b) includes an error sensitivity computation procedure which gives the element error for a given measurement error, and c) allows good accuracy for all reasonable mounts if one of the element values is known by some other method.

The errors can be categorized into five areas which are discussed below: a) measurement error, b) errors due to invalid assumptions, c) computation convergence, d) error multiplication effecting yparameters and element values, and e) error multiplication effecting only the element values.

A. Measurement Errors

With fairly conventional instrumentation, ΔI can be measured to within 1% of ΔI_{max} . The errors due to backshort position readout have a larger effect for millimeter wave mounts. A typical good readout accuracy of .01 mm (i.e., ~ 0.5 mils) represents $\lambda g/200$ at $\lambda g = 2$ mm. The effect of this error on a given B and ΔB can be easily computed, and a computed sensitivity table for a given mount will allow determination of element value errors.

B. Validity of Assumptions

The two assumptions which have the most effect upon error are matched generator admittance ($Y_g = Y_G$) and mount symmetry; these have similar

- 26 -

effects. As discussed in IV, the first assumption need not be made, Re Y_g can be determined by the computation procedure and Im Y_g can be absorbed as part of C_p . However, to ease computation, we assumed $Y_g =$ Y_G for the example in V. It can be shown that a small source VSWR has the approximate effect of adding $\frac{1}{2}$ (VSWR -1) Y_G to ΔB , where the VSWR includes the waveguide-height transformer. For the example of the previous section, a VSWR = 1.1 changes the computed value of n from 0.90 to 0.85 or 0.95. The effect upon the value of C_d , and other element values, is shown in Fig. 12 and Table III.

Mounts will be asymmetric if the diode whisker bend is in the direction of propagation (it need not be), and due to small fabrication differences very close to the diode. This problem has not been investigated, but it is believed that the effects will be small, since the departures from symmetry are usually small compared to a wavelength.

C. Computation Convergence Error

A closed form solution for y parameters or element values has not been found. These have been computed, using a successive approximation procedure which often does not converge. The lack of convergence is probably due to the effect of errors in the data. However, it is believed that an improved computation algorithm will alleviate this problem. All computation thus far has been performed on a HP 9830 calculator, and a faster computer would make using a larger data set feasible, including a model of diode capacitance variation, and a more sophisticated computatio scheme.

D. Error Multiplication Effecting [y] Parameters and Element Values It is fundamental to all methods of determining a network by

- 27 -



- 28 -

measuring the impedance at one port with a variable load at the other port, that the method breaks down as $y_{12}y_{21}$ becomes small. The method described in this paper certainly falls in this category. As the diode becomes decoupled from the input waveguide (by L_s or C_d becoming large), less effect on B and \triangle B will be measured as the bias current is varied and less information is determined about the network. Larger errors in both [y] parameters and element values will result for a given error in B and \triangle B. Fortunately, this is not the case for an efficient diode mount, whether its purpose be for mixing, detection, frequency multiplication, or power control. Conversely, if a mount shows little variation in B or \triangle B with bias current, it may be assumed that the mount is inefficient without further analysis.

E. Error Multiplication Effecting Element Values Only

It can easily be shown that the element values in the equivalent circuit are not unique when $R_s = 0$. (The transformer turns ratio, n, can be varied and this can be compensated for by different values in the IInetwork consisting of C_p , L_s , and C_d .) Thus, large errors in element values, but not in circuit [y] parameters, should be expected for small values of R_s (more precisely, for $R_s << (L_s \omega)^2 / Z_G$). This tends to be the case for an efficient diode. However, the power transfer properties of the coupling network can still be computed from the [y] parameters. Furthermore, if one element of n, C_p , L_s , or C_d is known by some other method then the other elements can be found even if $R_s = 0$.

REFERENCES

- R.L. Eisenhart, P.J. Kahn, "Theoretical and experimental analysis of waveguide mounting structure," <u>IEEE Trans. Microwave Theory Tech.</u>, vol. MTT-19, pp. 706-720, 1971.
- [2] J.S. Joshi, J.A.F. Cornic, "Analysis of waveguide post configurations," <u>IEEE Trans. Microwave Theory Tech.</u>, vol. MTT-25, pp. 169-183. 1977.
- B.C. DeLoach, "A new microwave measurement technique to characterize diodes and an 800-GC cutoff frequency varactor at zero volts bias," IEEE Trans. Microwave Theory Tech., vol. MTT-12, pp. 15-20, 1964.
- [4] D.N. Held, A.R. Kerr, "Conversion loss and noise of microwave and millimeter-wave mixers: Part 2 - Experiment," <u>IEEE Trans. Microwave Theory</u> Tech., vol. MTT-26, pp. 55-61, 1978.
- [5] D.N. Held, "Analysis of room temperature millimeter wave mixers using GaAs Schottley barrier diodes," Sc. D. Dissertation, Dept. of Electrical Engineering, Columbia University, New York, 1976.
- [6] C.E. Hagström, E.L. Kollberg, "A method for measuring embedding impedance of diode mounts applied to millimeter-wave mixers." Research Laboratory of Electronics and Onsala Space Observatory Res. Rep. No. 135, Gothenburg, Sweden, 1979.
- [7] A.R. Kerr, R.J. Mattauch, J.A. Grange, "A new mixer design for 140-220 GHz mixer," <u>IEEE Trans. Microwave Theory Tech.</u>, vol. MTT-25, pp. 399-401, 1977.
- [8] C.G. Montgomery, R.H. Dicke, E.M. Purcell, "Principles of microwave circuits," McGraw-Hill Book Company, New York, 1948.
- [9] M. Sucher, J. Fox, "Handbook of microwave measurement," vol. 1, Polytechnic Press of the Polytechnic Institute of Brooklyn, New York, 1963.
- [10] A.M. Cowley, H.O. Sorenson, "Quantitative comparison of solid-state microwave detectors," <u>IEEE Trans. Microwave Theory Tech.</u>, vol. MTT-14, pp. 588-602, 1966.
- [11] <u>COMPACT</u>, "Computerized optimization of microwave passive and active circuits," available from Compact Engineering, Inc., 1088 Valley View Court, Los Altos, Ca., 94022.
- [12] Reverence on Newton-Raphson method. <u>Reference Data for Radio Engin-</u> <u>eers</u>, Fifth Edition, H.W. Sams, 1970, p. 44-39.
- [13] S. Weinreb, A.R. Kerr, "Cyrogenic cooling of mixers for millimeter and centimeter wavelength," <u>IEEE J. Solid State Circuits</u>, vol. SC-8, pp. 58-63, Feb. 1973.
- [14] A.A.M. Saleh, "Theory of Resistive Mixer," Cambridge, Mass., MIT Press, 1971.

APPENDIX 1.

SUMMARY OF EXPERIMENTAL DATA ON 2-mm MIXERS

This appendix contains results of detailed measurement on 2-mm mixers. Two mounts were investigated. Mount A (mount No. 2) and mount B (mount No. 3) have been assembled with 2P11 and 2P8-600 diode chips, respectively. The electron microscope photographs of both mounts and the schematic cross section of the diode chips have been shown in Figures 6 and 7.

A.1.1. D.C. and Low-Frequency Measurements

The d.c. characteristics of 2P11 and 2P8-600 diodes are shown in Fig. A.1. The shape of the curve for the 2P11 diode in its high current region suggests deviation from the normally assumed d.c. model composed of an ideal diode and a series resistance R_g . This is further confirmed by measurement of the small signal diode resistance R_T at a frequency of 10 MHz, which is shown in Fig. A.2. This resistance is equal to the sum of the series resistance R_g and the differential resistance of the diode $R_d = \frac{1}{g_d}$ and should therefore be a linear function of $(\frac{1}{I_D})$. Small deviations of the measured points from the straight line for the 2P11 diode are apparent, but they are not for the 2P8-600 diode. This effect is probably connected with the negative differential mobility of carriers in GaAs in high electric fields. The doping concentration of the 2P8-600 diode is three times that of the 2P11 diode. This may explain why a similar effect was not observed in the 2P8-600 diode.

This effect should be further investigated as it can be strongly dependent on frequency and diode physical temperature as well. Therefore it could be of importance in understanding the behavior of mm-wave cooled mixers.

A.1.2. The Backshort Measurements

Backshort measurements were performed using the measurement set-up shown

- 31 -

in Fig. 5 at frequencies of 152.8 GHz and 200.3 GHz. The maximum change of d.c current flowing through the diode due to the presence of the microwave signal was about 1%. Tables A.1. and A.2. summarize the data taken for mixers A and B, respectively. Each value of $\Delta B^{(k)}$ and $B_0^{(k)}$ was computed as the mean value of three results recovered from measurements at levels 1, 2, and 3 dB below the peak of the curve. The number given in parentheses is the standard deviation of these three results. The values of ΔV best describing the assumed exponential form of d.c. characteristic of the diode around the given operating point are also included.

Table A.3. shows the dependence of the signal power needed to produce $\frac{\Delta I_{max}}{I_B} = 0.1$ on the diode bias at 152.8 GHz. The power levels given in the Table are computed relative to the power level at $I_B = 50\mu A$. Similar measurements were not performed at 200.3 GHz as the precise attenuator had not been available at this frequency.

A.1.3. Mixer Measurements

The noise temperature and conversion loss of both mixers have been measured at 152.8 and 201.3 GHz using the hot-cold load technique. The R.F. portion of the measuring set-up is schematically shown in Fig. A.3. for the two frequencies. The mixer parameters are summarized in Table A.4. The conversion loss L_{dB} and noise temperature T_{MXR} of Table A.3. have been corrected for the R.F. losses in front of the mixers that are indicated in Fig. A.3. and also for the reflection at the I.F. port. The modulus of the I.F. port reflection coefficient $|\Gamma_{IF}|$ given in Table A.3. was measured through the 50/200 Ω transformer. The measurements at the operating point for least conversion loss at 201.3 GHz have not been performed because of insufficient pump power from the doubler.

The pump power necessary to pump the mixers at 152.8 GHz at the operating

- 32 -

point where the least noise temperature has been observed, was about 0.25mW for mixer A and 0.35mW for mixer B. For mixer A at 201.3 GHz it was about 0.3mW. This power is measured by replacing the mixer mount with a power met


Fig. A.1. D.C. characteristics of the 2P11 and 2P8-600 diodes.

.... u i the bias current. A : : ÷ ----..... 8 5 ÷.... Dependence of the diode differential resistance on the inverse of 600 .9 ____: 1. <u>.</u> 00 . 1. . . . - : - : 2 N 14 2. ÷ . 1.2 113 i n | P11 64 1 Q. 57 1..... terre: . •••••• Fe.1 ------- 10 MHZ ---------1..... A.2. Fig. -----ţ.... 20 30 40 8 9 -----1 -----.... -----.

10 X 10 TO THE CENTIMETER

TABLE A1

		F	REQUEN	CY	
DIODE	∆V	152	8 CHz	200).3 GHz
BIAS CURRENT ID [mA]	[mV]	Bo	48	Bo	ΔB
8	70.5	0.456 (a.028)	1,328 (0.02-)	0, 384 (0.008)	0.9 59 (0.018)
5	70,5	0.4 58 (0.006)	1.463 (0.011)	0, 355 (0,00 6)	0. 994 (0.006)
1	70.5	0,340 (0,015)	1 .753 (0,013)	0. 356 (0.009)	1.093 (0.018)
0,5	70.5	0, 126 (0.034)	2, 1 33 (0,017)	0.396 (QOO G)	(.201 (0.004)
0.2	70,5	-0.564 (0.02)	2.809 (0.039)	0. 58 2 (a.006)	1.446 (0.010)
0,05	69.4	-2.355 (0.035)	2,719 (0.039)	1.249 (0,035)	1, 722 (0,027)
0.02	69.0	- 2 . 918 (0.057)	2.221 (0.041)	1.633 (0.025)	1.728 (0.041)
0.005	67.9	-2.925 (0.033)	1.647 (0.045)	2,067 (0.016)	1.769 (0.020)

Table A.1. Summary of backshort measurements on mixer A at 152.8 and 200.3 GHz.

.

TABLE A2

			FREQU	ENCY	
DIODE BIAS	٧v	152.	3 GHz		
$CURRENT I_{D} [mA]$	[mV]	Bo	∆B	ßo	ΔB
8	72.5	1.258 (0.025)	1,406 (0.019)	0,904 (0,017)	0,914 (0.017)
5	72.5	1.224 (0.032)	1.434 (0,011)	0.99 8 (0.011)	0.973 (0.0K)
1	72.5	1,199 (0 028)	1, 773 (0,045)	0.907 (0.013)	0.981 (0.029)
0,5	72.5	1.2 1 1 (0.028)	2.046 (0.072)	0,921 (0.025)	0.997 (0.010)
0.2	71.5	1. 191 (0.047)	2.918 (0,097)	1,220 (0.022)	(, 274 (0,043)
0.05	68. 6	1.423 (0.022)	5,128 (Q027)	(.445 (0.025)	1.220 (0.020)
0.02,	68.2	1.290 (0.05)	6.80 (0.1)	1.340 (0.014)	1.044 (0.034)
0,005	67. 2.	-0.09	8.89 (0.1)	1.362 (0.024)	0.947 (0.03 8)

Table A.2. Summary of backshort measurements on mixer B at 152.8 and 200.3 GHz.











Du;	6	LEAST	NOISE	TEMPE	RATUR	ш	LEAST	CONV	ERSION	1035	
Letter]	Ztily	V bry	Ib [mk]	Timer	्रिष्ट्र विष्ठ	근	V B[v]	L. L.	Thur For	L Ldes	
157 X	¥	0.70	0.70	850	6.75	0.17	0.3	2.0	1240	8	0.23
0.40	ପ	0, 74	1.10	055	7.30	0,16	0.3	<i>0</i> .0	1560	6.65	0,13
2 ~~ 2	¥	0.74	<i>4L.</i> 0	865	6.95	0.10				• •	
° • •	හ	0.75	05 '0	1830	9.10	11.0					

Summary of 4.75 GHz IF $_1$ SSB mixer performance with pump at 152.8 and 200.3 GHz. Table A.4.

APPENDIX 2.

COMPUTER PROGRAMS FOR DIAGNOSIS OF MICROWAVE MIXER MOUNTS

The computer programs described in this appendix were written in BASIC for the H-P 9830A computer in connection with the development of the mixer mount measurement method described previously. These programs can be divided into two groups: programs that determine a mixer mount equivalent circuit from measured data, and programs which compute the parameters of a given equivalent circuit that are related to mixer performance.

A.2.1. Programs for Determining the Mixer Mount Equivalent Circuit

Rs

The equivalent circuit of the mixer mount used in these programs is shown in Fig.3. As was previously explained, to find the elements of the equivalent network of Fig.3 one has to solve a set of nonlinear equations of the general form

$$\Delta B^{(k)} - jB_0^{(k)} = y_{11} - \frac{(y_{12})^2}{jb_{22}^{(k)} + g_{22} + g_d^{(k)}}$$
(A.1)

where

$$g_{11} = \operatorname{ReY}_{g} + \frac{s}{n^{2} \left\{ \operatorname{R}_{s}^{2} + (\omega \operatorname{L}_{s})^{2} \right\}}$$

$$b_{11} = \frac{1}{n^{2}} \left(\omega \operatorname{C}_{p} - \frac{\omega \operatorname{L}_{s}}{(\omega \operatorname{L}_{s})^{2} + \operatorname{R}_{s}^{2}} \right) + \operatorname{ImY}_{g}$$

$$g_{12} = \frac{-\operatorname{R}_{s}}{n \left\{ \operatorname{R}_{s}^{2} + (\omega \operatorname{L}_{s})^{2} \right\}}$$

$$b_{12} = \frac{\omega \operatorname{L}_{s}}{n \left\{ \operatorname{R}_{s}^{2} + (\omega \operatorname{L}_{s})^{2} \right\}}$$
(A.2)

$$g_{22} = -g_{12}n$$

 $b_{22} = \omega C_d - \frac{\omega L_s}{R_s^2 + (\omega L_s)^2}$

and

 $g_d^{(k)}$ - the differential conductance of the diode biased at $I_o^{(k)}$ η - the ideality factor of the diode κ - Boltzmann's constant τ - absolute temperature $\Delta V - V_{01} - V_{02}$ satisfying $I_0(V_{01}) = 10 \times I_0(V_{02})$ and corrected for the effect of d-c series resistance $B_o^{(k)}, \Delta B^{(k)}$ - the position of the maximum and the 3-dB bandwidth of the

$$\Delta I = f\left(\frac{Y_{BS}}{Y_{G}}\right) \quad curve, respectively$$

The set of equations having the general form of (A.1) can be solved in many different ways depending on what prior knowledge, if any, of the moun or diode parameters, is assumed.

Several programs solving this set are described in the following. Although different in details, the basic approach to the solution is the same for all of them. First, the set of equations is converted into a set of real equations. Then it is solved by the Newton-Raphson method, to be described next.

Given the set of equations

$$f_{1}(v_{1}, v_{2}, ...v_{m}) = 0$$

$$f_{2}(v_{1}, v_{2}, ...v_{m}) = 0$$

$$\vdots$$

$$f_{m}(v_{1}, v_{2}, ...v_{m}) = 0$$
(A.3)

- 41 -

one can present it in the matrix form

$$\left[f\left(\begin{bmatrix} v \end{bmatrix}\right)\right] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
(A.4)

where [V] is the column matrix of m unknowns $V_1 \dots V_m$.

Some initial value of $\begin{bmatrix} V \end{bmatrix}$ is taken, and every next approximation to the solution is computed using, successively, the formula:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{V} \end{bmatrix}_{n+1} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{V} \end{bmatrix}_{n} + \begin{bmatrix} \Delta \mathbf{V} \end{bmatrix}$$
(A.5)

where $[\Delta V]$ is the solution of the following matrix equation

$$\left[f\left(\begin{bmatrix} V \end{bmatrix}_{n}\right)\right] + \left[J\left(\begin{bmatrix} V \end{bmatrix}_{n}\right)\right] \times \left[\Delta V\right] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
(A.6)

and $\left[J(\left[V\right]_{n})\right]$ is the Jacobian matrix of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} J([V]]) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\delta f_1}{\delta V_1} & \frac{\delta f_1}{\delta V_2} & \cdots & \frac{\delta f_1}{\delta V_m} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \frac{\delta f_m}{\delta V_1} & \frac{\delta f_m}{\delta V_m} \end{bmatrix}$$
(A.7)

and evaluated for $[V] = [V]_n$.

Therefore

$$\begin{bmatrix} \vec{v} \end{bmatrix}_{n+1} = \begin{bmatrix} \vec{v} \end{bmatrix}_n - \begin{bmatrix} J(\begin{bmatrix} \vec{v} \end{bmatrix}_n) \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \times \begin{bmatrix} f(\begin{bmatrix} \vec{v} \end{bmatrix}_n) \end{bmatrix} .$$
 (A.8)

It should be noted that, depending on the initial values of $\begin{bmatrix} V \end{bmatrix}$ assumed, this method may not converge. Therefore, for the user's convenience, every program provides a printout of the quantity

$$E = \sqrt{|f_1|^2 + |f_2|^2 + \dots |f_m|^2}$$
(A.9)

at every step.

- 42 -

This program solves the set of four equations of the following form:

$$g_{d}^{(k)} = Re \left(\frac{(y_{12})^{2}}{y_{11} - \Delta B^{(k)} + jB_{o}^{(k)}} \right) - g_{22}$$
 (A.10)

It is assumed that the signal source is perfectly well matched to the waveguide, and therefore $Y_g = Y_G$. Then only four equations are needed to find n, L_s , R_s , C_p .

The diode capacitances are found from the following relations:

$$\omega C_{d}^{(k)} = b_{22}^{(k)} + b_{12}^{'} = Im \left(\frac{(y_{12})^{2}}{y_{11} - \Delta B^{(k)} + jB_{0}^{(k)}} \right) + b_{12}^{'}$$
(A.11)

Equation (A.10) can be rewritten in the following form

$$\left[g^{2} - (b_{12}^{\prime})^{2} \right] \left[g - n^{2} (\Delta B^{(k)} - Y_{G}^{\prime}) \right] - 2b_{12}^{\prime} g \left[b_{11}^{\prime} + n^{2} B_{O}^{(k)} \right]$$

$$- \left[g + g_{d}^{(k)} \right] \left[\left[g - n^{2} \left[\Delta B^{(k)} - Y_{G}^{\prime} \right] \right]^{2} + \left[b_{11}^{\prime} + n^{2} B_{O}^{(k)} \right]^{2} \right] = 0$$

$$(A.12)$$

where
$$g = \frac{\frac{R_s}{s}}{\frac{R_s^2 + (\omega L_s)^2}{s}}$$
 $b'_{12} = \frac{\frac{\omega L_s}{R_s^2 + (\omega L_s)^2}}{\frac{R_s^2 + (\omega L_s)^2}{s}}$ $b'_{11} = \omega C_p - b'_{12}$ (A.13)

The corresponding form of equation (A.11) is

$$\omega C_{d}^{(k)} = \frac{-2gb'_{12}\left(g - n^{2}(\Delta B^{(k)} - Y_{G})\right) - (g^{2} - b'_{12})(b'_{11} + n^{2}B_{O}^{(k)})}{\left(g - n^{2}(\Delta B^{(k)} - Y_{G})\right)^{2} + (b'_{11} + n^{2}B_{O}^{(k)})^{2}} + b'_{12}$$
(A.14)

- 43 -

Equations (A.12) and (A.14) in their normalized form ($Y_G = 1$) were actually used in the program. The program listing and an example of the printout during execution are shown on the next pages.

It should be noted, however, that on some sets of input data this program did not converge well, or did not converge at all. It is possible that in the presence of measurement errors in the input data, the set of nonlinear equations does not have a solution.

In the printout example, the diode capacitance C_d for $I_B = 5mA$ takes an unreasonable value. This is caused by the fact that for high current, $g_d^{(k)}$ is extremely large, which leads to

$$g \stackrel{\sim}{=} n^{2} (\Delta B - Y_{G})$$

$$b_{11}^{\prime} \stackrel{\sim}{=} -n^{2} B_{O}$$
(A.1)

and therefore the first term in Equation (A.14) is extremely sensitive to very small measurement errors.

WAVEGUIDE DIMENSIONS IN MILLS A= 51.0 B= 6.4 FREQUENCY= 152.80GHZ CURRENT 1: I= 5.00000 MA DELTA V= 70.50 MV B0/Y10= 0.458 DELTA B/Y10= 1.467 CURRENT 2: I= 0.50000 MA DELTA V= 70.50 MV 80/Y10= 0.126 DELTA B/Y10= 2.133 CURRENT 3: I= 0.05000 MA DELTA V= 69.40 MV B0/Y10= -2.355 DELTA B/Y10= 2.719 CURRENT 4: I= 0.00500 MA DELTA V= 67.90 MV B0/Y10= -2.925 DELTA B/Y10= 1.647 INITIAL VALUES:WSKR.INDUCTANCE= 0.110 NH POST CAP.= 6.20 RESISTANCE= 25.00 OHM TURNS RATIO= 0.90 0.105682881 0.017014052 1.59821E-03 2.46953E-05 6.29114E-09 FINAL VALUES: RESISTANCE= 27.08 OHM INDUCTANCE= 0.113 NH POST CAPACITANCE= 6.17 FF TURNS RATIO= 0.87 DIODE CAPACITANCES: C=-60.42 FF FOR I= 5.00000 MA C= 8.71 FF FOR I= 0.50000 MA C= 5.95 FF FOR I= 0.05000 MA

5.12 FF

C=

FOR I= 0.00500 MA

520 FOR N=1 TO 4 530 FENJ=(VE1J-VE4]*ZENJ)*(GENJ*ZENJ*VE4]-VE2]†2-VE1]*(GENJ-VE4]*ZENJ)) 540 FENJ=FENJ-2*VE2]*VE1]*(VE3]-VE4]*YEN])-(VE1]+GENJ)*(VE3]-VE4]*VEN])† 2 550 NEXT N	560 PRINT SOR(FL1)t2+FL2)t2+FL3)t2+FL4)t2) 570 FLS GRCFL1)t2+FL2)t2+FL3)t2+FL4)t2)<570 FLS N=1 T0 4 580 FOR N=1 T0 4 590 JCN+1]=(VL4)*ZCN1)+(2*VL1)-VC4)*ZCN3)+GCN3*ZCN3*VL4)-VC2)t2 600 JCN+1]=(JN+1)-2*VC2)*(VL3)+VC4)*YCN3)-(VC3)-VC4)+YCN3)+2 600 FCN3)+000 FCN3)+2000 FS1+VC3)+VC4)*YCN3)-(VC3)-VC4)+YCN3)+2	0100	550 MEAL M 660 MAT J=INV(J) 670 MAT J=J*F 680 MAT V=V-D 690 COTO 520	700 R=V[1]/((V[1]12+V[2]12)*A1) 710 L1=V[2]*R/V[1] 720 L=L1/M 730 C1=(V[3]+V[2])*A1/(M*1E-06)	740 K=S0R(VE4]) 750 FOR N=1 TO 4 760 CEN]=-2*VE2]*VE1]*(VE1]-VE4]*ZEN])-(VE1]72-VE2]72)*(VE3]-VE4]*VEN]) 770 CEN]=(CEN]/((VE1]-VE4]*ZEN])*2+(VE3]-VE4]*YEN])*2)+VE2])*A1/(M*1E-06 780 NFXT N	790 WRITE (15,800)R,L 800 FORMAT "FINAL VALUES: RESISTANCE=",F6.2," OHM",3X,"INDUCTANCE=",F7.3 810 WRITE (15,820)C1,K 820 FORMAT 14X,POST CAPACITANCE=",F6.2," FF TURNS RATIO=",F5.2	830 WKITE (15:840) 840 FORMAT "DIODE CAPACITANCES:" 850 FOR N=1 TO 4 860 WRITE (15:870)C[N],I[N] 870 FORMAT 20%."C=",F6 2." FF FOP T=".F0 5." мо"	880 NEXT N 880 NEXT N 890 GOTO 370 900 END		
10 DIM FC4],JC4,4],VC4],VC4],ZC4],YC4],GC4],WC4],IC4],CC4] 20 DISP "WAVEGUIDE DIMENSIONS IN MILLS A,B"; 30 INPUT A,B 40 WRITE (15,90)A,B	30 UPUT FI 60 INPUT FI 70 WRITE (15,80)F1 80 FORMAT "FREQUENCY=",F7,2,"GH2" 90 FORMAT "MAVEGUIDE DIMENSIONS IN MILLS",5X,"A=",F6.1,4X,"B=",F5.1 100 DISP "CURRENT1:I,DEI TAN,MAINELTAE=".	110 INPUT I[1],W[1],Y[1],Z[1] 120 WRITE (15,130)[[1],W[1] 130 FORMAT : "CURRENT : !=";F8.5," MA",2N,"DELTA V=";F6.2," MV" 140 WPITE (15,150)Y[1,71,1]	ISO FORMAT 10%,"B0/Y10=",F8.3,2%,"DELTA B/Y10=",F8.3 160 DISP "CURRENT 2: 1,DELTAV,B0,DELTA B="; 170 INPUT I[2],W[2],Y[2],Z[2] 180 WRITE (15,190)I[2],W[2]	190 FORMAT "CURRENT 2: I=",F8.5," MA ",2%,"DELTA V=",F6.2," MV" 200 WRITE (15,150)Y[2],Z[2] 210 DISP "CURRENT 3: I,DELTAV,80,DELTA B="; 220 INPUT [13],V[3],Y[3],Z[3] 220 INPUT (15,340,710,31);	230 MALTE (13:24E0/113:18-13) 230 FORMAT "CURRENT 3: 18",F8.5," MA ",2X,"DELTA V=",F6.2," MV" 250 MRTTE (15,150)YC3),2C3] 260 DISP "CURRENT 4: 1,DELTAV,80,DELTA B="; 270 INPUT IC41,WC41,YC41,ZC41	280 WRITE (15,290)I[4],W[4] 290 FORMAT "CURRENT 4: I=",F8.5," MA ",2%,"DELTA V=",F6.2," MV" 300 WRITE (15,150)Y[4],2[4] 310 Al=A*S0R(1-(1,1811E+04/(F1*A*2))↑2)/(376.75*B*2) 320 FOR N=1 TO 4	330 ZENJ=ZENJ=ZENJ=1 340 YENJ=-YENJ 350 GENJ=IENJ*2.3026/(MENJ*A1) 360 NEXT N	370 INPUT L.VALUE:WSKR.IND.,P0ST CAP.="; 380 INPUT L.C1 380 MRITE (15,400)L.C1 400 FORMAT "INITIAL VALUES:WSKR.INDUCTANCE=",F4.3," NH POST CAP.=",F6.2, 410 DISP "INTL.VALUES: RES., TURNS RATIO=";	430 ùRITE (15,440)R.K 440 Format 14%,"Resistance=",F6.2," ohm",3%,"turns ratio=",F5.2 450 m=2*P1#F1 450 b1=c1#*1E-06 470 l1=m*L	480 VE1]=R/<(Rt2+L1+2)*A1) 490 VE2]=L1/(Rt2+L1+2)*A1) 500 VE2]=E1/A1-VE2] 510 VE4]=Kt2

Perfect match of the signal source to the waveguide has been assumed in the previous program. As explained in Section IV of the paper, this assumption can be relaxed if the data for five diode biases are used. But if one of the bias currents is large enough, so the diode differential conductance is much larger than the susceptance of the diode capacitance, the large current approximation, discussed in Section V of the paper, can be used. The large-current approximation of Equation (15) is

$$\Delta B^{(4)} - j B_0^{(4)} = y_{11} - \frac{(y_{12})^2}{g_d^{(4)}}$$
(A.16)

Superscript (4) has been used to denote large current data. This equation can be split into two independent equations:

$$\Delta B^{(4)} = g_{11} + \frac{b_{12}^2 - g_{12}^2}{g_d^{(4)}}$$
(A.17)

and

$$-B_{o}^{(4)} = b_{11} - \frac{\frac{2b_{12}g_{12}}{g_{d}}}{g_{d}}$$
(A.18)

It is now clear that only three equations of the form (A.10) have to be added to (A.17) and (A.18) to form the set of equations from which five elements (n, L_s , C_p , R_s , G_g) of the equivalent circuit can be found. This is done in the program to be described.

Equations (A.17) and (A.18), with the help of Equations (A.2) can be rewritten as

$$\Delta B^{(4)} = G_{g} + \frac{1}{n^{2}} \left(g + \frac{(b_{12}^{\prime})^{2} - g^{2}}{g_{d}^{(4)}} \right)$$
(A.19)

- 47 -

and

$$-B_{o}^{(4)} \simeq b_{11} = \frac{1}{n^{2}} \left(\omega C_{p}^{'} - b_{12}^{'} \right) = \frac{1}{n^{2}} b_{11}^{'}$$
(A.20)

where g, b_{11}' , and b_{12}' are given by Equations (A.13). The finite $g_d^{(4)}$ has a much smaller effect on the input susceptance than on the input conductance; this effect has been entirely neglected in Equation (A.20). It should also be noted that the post capacitance C_p' now also contains the imaginary part of the admittance presented by the source. Now G_g is found from Equation (A.19) and substituted in Equation (A.12) to get

$$\left(g^{2} - (b_{12}^{\prime})^{2}\right) \left(\frac{g^{2} - (b_{12}^{\prime})^{2}}{g_{d}^{(4)}} - (\Delta B^{(k)} - \Delta B^{(4)})n^{2}\right) - 2b_{12}^{\prime}g(b_{11}^{\prime} + n^{2}B_{o}^{(k)})$$

$$-(g + g_{d}^{(k)}) \left[\left(\frac{g^{2} - (b_{12}^{'})^{2}}{g_{d}^{(4)}} - (\Delta B^{(k)} - \Delta B^{(4)})n^{2} \right)^{2} + (b_{11}^{'} + n^{2}B_{o}^{(k)})^{2} \right] = 0$$
(A.21)

Three equations of the form (A.21) and Equation (A.20) are then solved for n, g, b_{12}' , b_{11}' , i.e. for n, L_s, C_p, R_s. After this is done, diode capacitances $C_d^{(k)}$ (k = 1,2,3) are found from Equations (A.14) with G_g replacing Y_G.

The listing of the program and an example of the printout during execution are shown on the next pages. For reasons mentioned in the previous section, this program did not converge well for some sets of input data.

WAVEGUIDE DIMENSIONS IN MILLS A= 51.0 B= 6.4 FREQUENCY= 152.80GHZ CURRENT 1: I= 0.00500 MA DELTA V= 67.90 MV - e e - . B0/Y10= -2.925 DELTA B/Y10= 1.647 CURRENT 2: I= 0.05000 MA DELTA V= 69.40 MV B0/Y10= -2.355 DELTA B/Y10= 2.719 CURRENT 3: I= 0.50000 MA DELTA V= 70.50 MV B0/Y10= 0.126 DELTA B/Y10= 2.133 HIGH CURRENT 4: I= 5.00000 MA DELTA V= 70.50 MV 0.458 DELTA B/Y10= B0/Y10= 1.467 INITIAL VALUES:WSKR.INDUCTANCE= 0.110 NH POST CAP.= 6.20 F RESISTANCE= 25.00 OHM TURNS RATIO= 0.90 0.110026638 0.015067507 1.30896E-03 6.82033E-06 1.53623E-11 FINAL VALUES: RESISTANCE= 29.78 OHM INDUCTANCE= 0.108 NH POST CAPACITANCE= 6.66 FF TURNS RATIO= 0.89 SOURCE VSWR= 1.09 DIODE CAPACITANCES: 5.44 FF FOR I= 0.00500 MA C= C= 6.48 FF FOR I= 0.05000 MA FOR I= 0.50000 MA C= 12.11 FF

- 49 -

530 V[4]=K†2 540 FOR N=1 TO 3 550 X[N]=(V[1]†2-V[2]†2)×G[4]-V[4]*Z[N] 550 X[N]=(V[1]†2-V[2]†2)×X[N]-V[4]*Z[N] 550 F[N]=V[1]+2[N] 570 F[N]=(V[1]†2-V[2]†2)×X[N] 580 F[N]=F[N]-V[1]+G[N])*U[N]†2 590 NETN 600 F[4]=V[3]-V[4]*Y[4] 610 FRINT 50K(F[1]†2+F[2]†2+F[4]†2) 620 F[S0R(F[1]†2+F[2]†2+F[3]†2+F[4]†2) 620 F[S0R(F[1]†2+F[2]†2+F[2]†2+F[4]†2) 620 F[S0R(F[1]†2+F[2]†2+F[2]†2+F[4]†2) 620 F[S0R(F[1]†2+F[2]†2+F[3]†2+F[4]†2) 620 F[S0R(F[1]†2+F[2]†2+F[2]†2+F[4]†2) 620 F[S0R(F[1]†2+F[2]†2+F[2]†2+F[2]†2+F[4]†2) 620 F[S0R(F[1]†2+F[2]†2+F[2]†2+F[2]†2+F[2]†2+F[2]†2) 620 F[S0R(F[1]†2+F[2]†2	58 JUNIJ 1-2*VL J*KLNJ2*ULNJ2*2/L4J*KLNJ-2*KVL J+6LNJ)*KLNJ76L4J) 58 JUNIZ 1=2*VL 21*(2*VL1J*2*VL2J2/24L4J+XLNJ-2*(VL 1J+2-VL 2J+2)/GL 4J) 68 JUNIZ 1=2*VL 21*(2*VL1J+ULN] 68 JUNIZ 1=2*VL 21*2*VL1J+ULN] 69 JUNIZ 1=2*VL 21*2*VL1J+ULN] 70 JUNIZ 1=2*VL 21*2*VL1J+ULN] 70 JUNIZ 1=2*VL 21*2*VL1J+ULN] 70 JUNIZ 1=2*VL 21*2*VL1J+GLNJ) 70 JUNIZ 1=2*VL 21*2*VL1J+GLNJ) 70 JUNIZ 1=2*VL 21*2*VL1J+GLNJ) 71 JUNIZ 1=2*VL 21*2*VL1J+GLNJ) 72 JUNIZ 1=2*VL 21*2*VL1J+GLNJ) 73 JUNIZ 1=0 74 JUNIZ 1=0 75 JUNIZ 1=1*VL1J 75 MAT 1=1*VL1) 76 MAT 1=1*VL1)	770 MHT D=J** 780 MHT V=V-D 780 MHT V=V-D 780 GDTO 540 880 E=V[1]/(A1*(V[1]*2+V[2]*2)) 810 L1=V[2]*K/V[1] 820 L=L1/M 820 L=L1/M 820 L=L1/M 820 F0K N=1 T0 3 820 E[N]=Z[N]-Z[4]-N 820 E[N]=Z[N]-Z[4]+ 820 E[N]=Z[N]-Z[4]+ 820 E[N]=Z[N]-Z[4]+ 820 E[N]=Z[N]-Z[4]+ 820 E[N]=Z[N]-Z[4]+ 820 E[N]=Z[N]-V[4]*E[N])72+(V[3]-V[4]*V[N])72)+V[2])*A[V[1]) 820 E[N]=C[N]/((V[1]-V[4]*E[N])72+(V[3]-V[4]*V[N])72)+V[2])*A[V[N]) 820 E[N]=C[N]/((V[1]-V[4]*E[N])72+(V[3]-V[4]*V[N])72)+V[2])*A[V[N]) 820 E[N]=C[N]/((V[1]-V[4]*E[N])72+(V[3]-V[4])*V[N])72)+V[2])*A[V[N]) 820 E[N]=C[N]/((V[1]-V[4]*E[N])72+(V[3]-V[4])*V[N])72)+V[2])*A[V[N]) 820 E[N]=C[N]/((V[1]-V[4]*E[N])72+(V[3]-V[4])*V[N])72)+V[2])*A[V[N]) 820 E[N]=C[N]/(V[1]-V[4])*E[N])72+(V[3]-V[4])*V[N])72)+V[2])*A[V[N]) 820 E[N]=C[N]/(V[1]-V[4])*E[N])72+(V[3]-V[4])*V[N])72)+V[2])*A[V[N]) 820 E[N]=C[N]/(V[1]-V[4])*E[N])72+(V[3]-V[4])*V[N])72)+V[2])*A[V[N]) 820 E[N]=C[N]/(V[1]-V[4])*E[N])72+(V[3]-V[4])*V[N])72)+V[2])*A[V[N]) 820 E[N]=C[N]/(V[1]-V[4])*E[N])72+(V[3]-V[4])*V[N])72)+V[2])*A[V[N]) 820 E[N]=C[N]/(V[1]-V[4])*E[N])72+(V[3]-V[4])*V[N])72)+V[2])*A[V[N])72)+V[N])72)+V[N])72)+V[N])72)+V[N])72)+V[N])72)+V[N])72)+V[N])72)+V[N])72)+V[N])72)+V[N])72)+V[N])72)	940 FORMAT "FINAL VALUES: RESISTANCE=",F6.2," OHM", 3X, "INDUCTANCE=",F7.3," NH 950 WRITE (15,960)C1,K 950 MRITE (15,960)C1,K 970 WRITE (15,980)H 980 FORMAT 14X, "FORT CAPACITANCE=",F6.2," FF TURNS RATIO=",F5.2 980 FORMAT 14X, "SOURCE VSWR=",F6.2 980 FORMAT 14X, "SOURCE VSWR=",F6.2 980 MRITE (15,1000) 1000 FORMAT 14X, "SOURCE VSWR=",F6.2 1000 FORMAT 14X, "SOURCE VSWR=",F6.2 1000 FORMAT 14X, "SOURCE VSWR=",F6.2 1000 FORMAT 15,1000) 1000 FORMAT 15,1000) 1000 FORMAT 20X,"C=",F6.2," FF FOR I=",F8.5," MA" 1010 FOR N=1 TO 3 1020 MRITE (15,1000) 1020 FORMAT 20X,"C=",F6.2," FF FOR I=",F8.5," MA" 1020 FORMAT 20X,"C=",F6.2," FF FOR I=",F8.5," MA" 1050 GOTO 390 1060 END
<pre>10 DIM F[4], J[4,4], V[4], D[4], Z[5], V[5], G[5], W[5], I[5], C[4], X[4], U[4], E[4] 20 DISP "WAVEGUIDE DIMENSIONS IN MILLS A, B"; 30 DISP "FREQUENCY ="; 50 FRMMT FILE (15,80)FL 80 FORMAT "FREQUENCY =", F7.2, "GH2" 90 FORMAT "FREQUENCY =", F7.2, "GH2" 90 FORMAT "MAVEGUIDE DIMENSIONS IN MILLS", 5X, "A=", F6.1, 4X, "B=", F5.1 110 DISP "CURRENTI:!, DELTAV, B0, DELTAB="; 120 MITE (15, 40, 10, 11, 11, 11, 40, 10, 40, 10, 40, 40, 40, 40, 40, 40, 40, 40, 40, 4</pre>	<pre>130 FORMAT "CURRENT 1: 1=",F8.5," MA",2X,"DELTA V=",F6.2," MV" 140 WRIT (15,150)Y(1),2(1) 150 FORMAT 19X:"B0/Y10=",F8.3,2X,"DELTA B/Y10=",F8.3 160 DISF "CURRENT 2: 1,DELTAV,B0,DELTA B="; 170 INPUT I(2),W(2),Y(2),Z(2) 190 WRITE (15,190)I(2),W(2) 190 FORMAT "CURRENT 2: 1=",F8.5," MA ",2X,"DELTA V=",F6.2," MV" 200 WRITE (15,150)Y(2),Z(2) 210 DISF "CURRENT 2: 1=",F8.5," MA ",2X,"DELTA V=",F6.2," MV" 230 WRITE (15,240)I(3),Z(3) 230 MRITE (15,240)I(3),Z(3) 230 WRITE (15,240)I(3),MA = ,2X,"DELTA V=",F6.2," MV"</pre>	250 WRITE (15,150)Y[3],2[3] 260 DISP "HIGH CURRENT 4: I,DELTHV,B0,DELTA B="; 270 INUUT [[4],W[4])X[4] 280 WRITE (15,290)I[4],W[4] 280 WRITE (15,150)Y[4],2[4] 310 MIAT "HIGH CURRENT 4: I=",F8.5," MA ",2%,"DELTA V=",F6.2," MV" 310 MIAT SQR(1-(1.1811E+04/(F]*A*2))T2)/(376.73*B*2) 310 MIATSQR(1-(1.1811E+04/(F]*A*2))T2)/(376.73*B*2) 324 NETT 0 326 FOR N=1 TO 3 350 FOR N=1 TO 4 350 FOR N=1 TO 4 350 FOR N=1 TO 4 350 GIN N=-Y[N] 350 GIN N=-Y[N] 370 G	120 FORMAT "INITIAL VALUES:WAKR.INDUCTANCE=",F6.3," NH POST CAP.=",F6.2," F 140 INUT R.K 140 INUT R.K 150 MRITE (15,460)R,K 150 MRITE (15,460)R,K 150 MRITE (15,460)R,K 150 MRITE (15,460)R,K 160 FORMAT 14X;"RESISTANCE=",F6.2," OHM",3X,"TURNS RATIO=",F5.2 160 FORMAT 14X;"RESISTANCE=",F6.2," OHM",3X,"TURNS RATIO=",F5.2 160 MRITE (15,460)R, 160 MRITE (15,460)R, 160 VEI]R/(CR22+L172)AAI) 160 VEI]R/(CR72+L172)AAI) 160 VEI]R/(CR72+L172)AAI) 160 VEI]R/(CR72+L172)AAI) 160 VEI]R/(CR72+L172)AAI)

This program is a simplification of the program in File 0. Both perfect match of the signal source, i.e. $Y_G = G_g = Y_g$, and the high-current approximation are assumed. This program therefore solves a set of four equations: two equations of the form given by (A.12), Equations (A.19) and (A.20).

The listing of the program and an example of the printout during execution are shown on the next pages. No case of poor convergence of this program for the experimental data for mixers A and B has been observed

WAVEGUIDE DIMENSIONS IN MILLS A= 51.0 B= 6.4 FREQUENCY= 152.80GHZ CURRENT 1: I= 0.00500 MA DELTA V= 67.90 MV B0/Y10= -2.925 DELTA B/Y10= 1.647 CURRENT 2: I= 0.05000 MA DELTA V= 69.40 MV B0/Y10= -2.355 DELTA B/Y10= 2.719 HIGH CURRENT 3: I= 5.00000 MA DELTA V= 70.50 MV 80/Y10= 0.458 DELTA B/Y10= 1.467 INITIAL VALUES:WSKR.INDUCTANCE= 0.110 NH POST CAP.= 6.60 FF RESISTANCE= 25.00 OHM TURNS RATIO= 0.90 0.091653969 0.015826193 7.01888E-04 1.84917E-05 1.50965E-06 1.17852E-07 9.20301E-09 FINAL VALUES: RESISTANCE= 26.10 OHM INDUCTANCE= 0.112 NH POST CAPACITANCE= 6.50 FF TURNS RATIO= 0.89 DIODE CAPACITANCES: 5.24 FF FOR I= 0.00500 MA C= C= 6.10 FF FOR I= 0.05000 MA

228 Ftd=vt3)-vt4jwt3 228 Ftd=vt3)-vt4jwt3 240 FtsTipt=Ftd=2192+Ftd=3125(1E-08 THEN 750 240 FtsTipt=Ftd=2192+Ftd=3125(1E-08 THEN 750 250 JtH)1=-vtd22;*vt113-vt4j=21N)*vt113-vt4j=vtN)>*vt13-vt23+2 250 JtH)1=-vtd22;*vt113-vt4j=21N)*vt113-vt4j=vtN)>*vt13-vt123+2 250 JtH)2=-z*vt22;*vt113-vt4j=21N)*vt113-vt4j=vtN)>*vt114;*vt113-vt13-vt4j=vtN)> 250 JtH)3=-z*vt22;*vt113-vt123-vt4j=vtN)>*vt114;*vt113-vt4j=vtN)> 250 JtH)3=-z*vt22;*vt113-vt123-vt4j=vtN)>*vt114;*vt113-vt4j=vtN)> 250 JtH)3=-z*vt22;*vt113-vt123-vt4j=vtN)>*vt113-vt113-vt113-vt13-vt4j=vtN)> 250 JtH)3=-z*vt22;*vt113-vt123-vt4j=vtN)>*vt113-v INPUT L.C. MRITE (15,350)L/C1 FORMAT "INITIAL VALUES:WSKR.INDUCTANCE=",F6.3," NH POST CAP.=",F6.2," FF" DISP "INTL.VALUES: RES., TURNS RATIO="; INPUT R.K MRITE (15,390)R/K FORMAT 14X,"RESISTANCE=",F6.2," OHM",3X,"TURNS RATIO=",F5.2 FORMAT 14X,"RESISTANCE=",F6.2," OHM",3X,"TURNS RATIO=",F5.2 10 IIIN F14J.J14.4J.W14J.D14J.Z14J.W14J.G14J.W14J.H14J.C14J 10 INPUT F1 10 INPUT F12 10 INPUT F This program employs further simplification of the problem. Not only is the signal source assumed to be perfectly matched, but also the diode capacitance for each bias is assumed to be known. Thus, the complex diode admittance $g_d^{(k)} + j\omega C_d^{(k)}$ is assumed to be known for every diode bias. As the embedding network consists now of four unknown elements, C_p , L_s , R_s , n, only two measurements are needed to determine them.

The program solves the two complex equations of the form

$$\Delta B^{(k)} - jB_{0}^{(k)} = Y_{g} + \frac{1}{n^{2}} \left\{ \frac{R_{d}^{(k)} + R_{s}}{(X_{d}^{(k)} + X_{s})^{2} + (R_{d}^{(k)} + R_{s})^{2}} + j \left[B_{p} - \frac{X_{d}^{(k)} + X_{s}}{(X_{d}^{(k)} + X_{s})^{2} + (R_{d}^{(k)} + R_{s})^{2}} \right] \right\}$$
(A.22)

where

$$X_{s} = \omega L_{s}, B_{p} = \omega C_{p}, R_{d} = \frac{g_{d}^{(k)}}{(g_{d}^{(k)})^{2} + (\omega C_{d}^{(k)})^{2}},$$

$$x_{d} = -\frac{\omega C_{d}^{(k)}}{(g_{d}^{(k)})^{2} + (\omega C_{d}^{(k)})^{2}}$$
(A.23)

The program listing and an example of the printout during execution are shown on the following pages.

No case of poor convergence of this program for the experimental data for mixers A and B has been observed.

WAVEGUIDE DIMENSIONS IN MILLS A= 51.0 B= 6.4 FREQUENCY= 152.80GHZ LOW CURRENT 1: I= 0.00500 MA DELTA V= 67.90 MV B0/G10= -2.925 DELTA B/G10= 1.647 CAPACITANCE= 5.24 FF LOW CURRENT 2: I= 0.05000 MA DELTA V= 69.40 MV B0/G10= -2.355 DELTA B/G10= 2.719 CAPACITANCE= 6.10 FF INITIAL VALUES:WSKR.INDUCTANCE= 0.110 NH POST CAP.= 6.60 RESISTANCE= 25.00 OHM TURNS RATIO= 0.90 0.057267202 3.87774E-04 2.19098E-06 8.24621E-12 FINAL VALUES: RESISTANCE= 26.16 OHM INDUCTANCE= 0.113 NH POST CAPACITANCE= 6.52 FF TURNS RATIO= 0.89

.

510 F[1]=X1+V[1]-(V[4]72*([3]+Y1)*(R]+V[2])/21 530 F[2]=Y410:(X1+V[2])-22*(X3+V[1])70+(R]+V(2])/25 530 F[2]=V[4]72*(R]+V[2])-22*(X3+V[1])70+(R]+V[2])72) 530 F[1]=V[4]72*(R]+V[2])-22*(X3+V[1])70+(R]+V[2])72) 550 I[1]=1.102F[C]72+F[3]72+F[3]72+F[4]72)(IE-08 THEN 770 550 I[1]]=-V[4]72*(R]+V[2])/21 550 J[1]]=-V[4]72*(R]+V[2])/21 550 J[2]]=-V[4]72*(R]+V[2])/22 550 J[2]]=-V[4]72*(R]+V[2])/22 550 J[3]]=-V[4]72*(R]+V[2])/22 550 J[3]]=-V[4]72*(R]+V[2])/22 550 J[3]]=-V[4]72*(R]+V[2])/22 550 J[3]]=-V[4]72*(R]+V[2])/22 550 J[3]]=-2222*(R2+V[2])/22 550 J[POST CAP. = ", F6. 2, " F1"

Program in File 4

This is a simpler version of the program in File 2. The signal source is assumed to be perfectly matched, the transformer turns ratio is assumed to be known, and the high-current approximation is also used.

The program therefore solves a set of three equations to find R_s , L_s , and C_p . Two of the equations have the form given by Equation (A.12); the third is Equation (A.20). The diode capacitances $C_d^{(k)}$ for the two smaller currents are then found from an equation of the form given by (A.14).

The program listing and an example of the printout during execution follows.

No case of poor convergence of this program for the experimental data for mixers A and B has been observed. This is the program that was used in the computational procedure described in Section V of the paper.

WAVEGUIDE DIMENSIONS IN MILLS B= 6.4 Ĥ= 51.0 FREQUENCY= 152.80GHZ TRANSFORMER TURNS RATIO= 0.90 CURRENT 1: I= 0.00500 MA DELTA V= 67.90 MV B0/Y10= -2.925 DELTA B/Y10= 1.647 CURRENT 2: I= 0.05000 MA DELTA V= 69.40 MV B0/Y10= DELTA B/Y10= -2.355 2.719 HIGH CURRENT 3: I= 5.00000 MA BØ= 0.458 INITIAL VALUES:WSKR.INDUCTANCE= 0.110 NH POST CAP.= 6.20 FF RESISTANCE= 25.00 OHM 0.095193012 2.34324E-03 2.37986E-07 3.60555E-11 FINAL VALUES: RESISTANCE= 25.21 OHM INDUCTANCE= 0.111 NH POST CAPACITANCE= 6.56 FF DIODE CAPACITANCES: 5.33 FF FOR I= 0.00500 MA Ū= Ū= 6.18 FF FOR I= 0.05000 MA

<pre>538 NEXL N 546 F[3]=V[3]+K*Y[3] 556 FF[1]*GRCF[1]?=FF[2]?2+F[3]?2)(E=08 THEN 700 556 FF[1]?=FF[2]?2+F[3]?2)(E=08 THEN 700 578 FOR N=1 TO 2 578 FOR N=1 TO 2 588 UCN 1]=CK*Z[N]>-CC[3]-K*Y[N])+CC[3]-K*Y[N])+2 589 UCN 1]=CK*Z[N]>-CC[3]-K*Y[N])+CC[3]-K*Y[N])+2 580 UCN 1]=CK*Z[N]>-CC[3]-K*Y[N])+CV[1]+G[N])+2 580 UCN 2]=-2*(V[2]*V[1])+CV[3]-K*Y[N])+CV[1]+G[N])+2 580 UCN 2]=-2*(V[2]*V[1])+CV[3]-K*Y[N])+CV[1]+G[N])+2 580 UCN 2]=-2*(V[2]*V[1])+2 580 UCN 2]=-2*(V[2]*V[1])+2+V[2]+2) 580 UCN 2]=-2 580 UCN 2]=-2*(C]2*CV[1])+2+V[2]+2) 580 UCN 2]=-2*V[2]*R1/(M+1E=06) 780 CCN 2]=-2*V[2]*R1/(M+1E=06) 780 CCN 2]=-2*V[2]*R1/(M+1E=06) 780 CCN 2]=-2*V[2]*R1/(M+1E=06) 780 URITE (15,790)RL 780 URITE (15,770)RL 780 URITE (15,770)RL 780 URITE (15,77</pre>	810 FORMAT 14X, "POST CAPACITANCE=",F6.2," FF" 820 WRITE (15,830) 830 FORMAT "DIDE CAPACITANCES:" 840 FOR N=1 TO 2 850 WRITE (15,860)CTN)ILN] 850 HRMHT 20X, "C=",F6.2," FF FOR I=",F8.5," MA" 850 EOT N 850 EOT N 850 EOT 0 850 EOT 0 850 EOT 0 850 END
<pre>10 DIM F[3],J[3,3],V[3],D[3],Z[3],V[3],G[3],W[3],I[3],C[2] 20 DISP "MAVEGUIDE DIMENSIONS IN MILLS A,B"; 30 URITE (15,130)A,B 30 INPUT F1 50 DISP "FREQUENCY ="; 50 DISP "FREQUENCY ="; 50 DISP "TRANSFORMER TURNS RATIO="; 50 DISP "CURRENT1:1,DELTAW, B0,DELTAB="; 50 DISP "CURRENT1:1,DELTAW, B0,DELTAB="; 51 DISP "CURRENT1:1,DELTAW, B0,DELTAB="; 52 DISP "CURRENT1:1,DELTAW, B0,D</pre>	230 FOR N=1 TC 2 230 MEXT N 250 DISP "INTL.VALUE:WSKR.IND.,F0ST CAP.="; 250 DISP "INTL.VALUE:WSKR.IND.CTANCE=",F6.3," NH POST CAP.=",F6.2," 350 DISP "INTL.VALUES: RESISTANCE="; 350 DISP "INTL.VALUES: RESISTANCE=",F6.2," OHM" 350 DISP "INTL.VALUES: RESISTANCE=",F6.2," OHM" 460 INPUT R 460 INPUT R 460 INPUT R 460 INPUT R 460 NIT 14X. "RESISTANCE=",F6.2," OHM" 460 INPUT R 460 NIT 14X."RESISTANCE=",F6.2," OHM" 460 INPUT R 460 INPU

: HN A.2.2. Programs for Analyzing Mixer Mount Equivalent Circuits

The programs described in this section were used for analysis of the properties of the mounts once their equivalent circuits had been established.

Program in File 5

This program plots the $\Delta I = f(Y_{BS} \times Z_G)$ curve for a given equivalent circuit. It assumes that the signal source is perfectly matched to the waveguide. It can therefore be used for checking the agreement between the measured points and the curves computed from the equivalent circuit. The determination of the equivalent circuit is always based on the assumption that the backshort and its containing waveguide are lossless. This program, however, allows both of these losses to be taken into account. The user can specify the attenuation constant of the waveguide (in nepers/mil) and also the backshort series resistance. This resistance replaces the short circuit in the model and therefore can account for the losses in the backshort assuming positions within the range $\{(k-1), \frac{\lambda g}{2}, k, \frac{\lambda g}{2}\}$, where k is an integer specified by the user.

The minimum transducer attenuation is computed for every curve. This is defined as the ratio of power delivered to the diode incremental conductance to the available power of the generator, having internal conductance equal to the waveguide characteristic admittance. The minimum transducer attenuation corresponds to a peak of the $\Delta I = f(Y_{BS} \times Z_G)$ curve. For comparison, the minimum transducer attenuation for the loss-free case is also computed.

The program listing and an example of the printout and plot during execution are shown on the following pages.

- 58 -

WAVEGUIDE DIMENSIONS IN MILLS A= 51.0 B= 6.4 FREQUENCY= 152.80GHZ POST CAPACITANCE= 6.63 FF WHISKER INDUCTANCE= 0.110 NH TRANSFORMER TURNS RATIO= 0.90 CURRENT= 0.0500MA DELTA V= 69.40MV CAPACITANCE= 6.23 FF RESISTANCE= 24.90 OHM WAVEGUIDE ATT. CONSTANT= 1.000E-04 N/MIL DIODE-BS.DISTANCE IN HALF-WAVELENGTH= 1 BACK SHORT SERIES RESISTANCE= 0.00 OHM MINIMUM TRANSDUCER ATTENUATION(LOSS FREE CASE)= 2.295DB MINIMUM TRANSDUCER ATTENUATION(LOSSY CASE)= 2.328DB

CURRENT= 0.0500MA DELTA V= 69.40MV CAPACITANCE= 6.23 FF RESISTANCE= 24.90 OHM WAVEGUIDE ATT. CONSTANT= 1.000E-04 N/MIL DIODE-BS.DISTANCE IN HALF-WAVELENGTH= 1 BACK SHORT SERIES RESISTANCE= 5.00 OHM MINIMUM TRANSDUCER ATTENUATION(LOSS FREE CASE)= 2.295DB MINIMUM TRANSDUCER ATTENUATION(LOSSY CASE)= 2.938DB



34 ST=STATT 54 ST=STATT 56 IT=172/5(STEL)+B272-B4#83 56 IT=1845(125746)(STEL)+B272-B4#83 56 IT=1845(125746)(STEL)+B272-64(8848)-2480 56 IT=170 C4401(STEC448272)(C24(R12+1112))) 57 RT=194(11)+1, 58 RT=194(11)+1, 58 RT=194(11)+1, 58 RT=194(11)+1, 58 RT=194(11)+1, 58 RT=10+(11)+1, 58 R S2=S2/W+2 530 10 III Y (201) P (201) 10 SCALE - 1010.11 10

Program in File 6

The program in File 6 is the version of the program in File 5 for the case of a lossless backshort and associated waveguide. It is therefore much faster. The program listing and an example of the printout during execution are shown on the following pages. The plots generated by the program are of the same form as those for the program in File 5. WAVEGUIDE DIMENSIONS IN MILLS A= 51.0 B= 6. FREQUENCY= 152.80GHZ POST CAPACITANCE= 6.63 FF WHISKER INDUCTANCE= 0. TRANSFORMER TURNS RATIO= 0.90 CURRENT= 5.0000 MA DELTA V= 70.50 MV CAPACITANCE= 30.00 FF RESISTANCE= 24.90 OHM MINIMUM TRANSDUCER ATTENUATION= 7.778DB

CURRENT= 1.0000 MA DELTA V= 70.50 MV CAPACITANCE= 14.45 FF RESISTANCE= 24.90 OHM MINIMUM TRANSDUCER ATTENUATION= 2.984DB

CURRENT= 0.5000 MA DELTA V= 70.50 MV CAPACITANCE= 10.18 FF RESISTANCE= 24.90 OHM MINIMUM TRANSDUCER ATTENUATION= 1.926DB

CURRENT= 0.2000 MA DELTA V= 70.50 MV CAPACITANCE= 8.02 FF RESISTANCE= 24.90 OHM MINIMUM TRANSDUCER ATTENUATION= 1.799DB

CURRENT= 0.0500 MA DELTA V= 69.40 MV CAPACITANCE= 6.23 FF RESISTANCE= 24.90 OHM MINIMUM TRANSDUCER ATTENUATION= 2.295DB

CURRENT= 0.0200 MA DELTA V= 69.00 MV CAPACITANCE= 5.87 FF RESISTANCE= 24.90 OHM MINIMUM TRANSDUCER ATTENUATION= 3.458DB

CURRENT= 0.0050 MA DELTA V= 67.90 MV CAPACITANCE= 5.34 FF RESISTANCE= 24.90 OHM MINIMUM TRANSDUCER ATTENUATION= 7.105DB

```
10 SCALE -10,10,0,1
20 XAXIS 0,1
30 YAXIS 0,0.05
40 DIM M[201], P[201]
50 DISP "WAVEGUIDE DIMENSIONS IN MILLS A,B";
60 INPUT A,B
70 WRITE (15,210)A,B
80 DISP "FREQUENCY =";
90 INPUT F
100 WRITE (15,180)F
110 DISP "POST CAP., WSKR.IND.=";
120 INPUT C2,L
130 WRITE (15,190)C2,L
140 DISP "TRANSFORMER TURNS RATIO=";
150 INPUT K
160 WRITE (15,230)K
170 FORMAT "CAPACITANCE=",F6.2," FF RESISTANCE=",F6.2," OHM"
180 FORMAT "FREQUENCY=",F7.2, "GHZ"
190 FORMAT "POST CAPACITANCE=", F6.2, " FF WHISKER INDUCTANCE=", F6
200 FORMAT "CURRENT=",F7.4," MA DELTA V=",F6.2," MV"
210 FORMAT "WAVEGUIDE DIMENSIONS IN MILLS", 5X, "A=", F5.1, 4X, "B=", F5
220 DISP "CURRENT, DELTA V=";
230 FORMAT "TRANSFORMER TURNS RATIO=", F5.2
240 INPUT I,V
250 WRITE (15,200)I,V
260 DISP_" DIODE CAP.,RESISTANCE=";
270 INPUT C,R
280 WRITE (15,170)C,R
290 B1=2*PI*F*C*1E-06
300 X1=2*PI*F*L
310 B2=X1/(R+2+X1+2)
320 G=R/(R+2+X1+2)
330 B3=B1-B2
340 G1=I*2.3026/V
350 B4=(B3*(B2+2+G+2)+2*G*B2*(G1+G))/(B3+2+(G+G1)+2)
360 Z1=376.73*B*2/(A*SQR(1-(1.1811E+04/(F*A*2))+2)*K+2)
370 R1=G1/Z1+G*(1/Z1+G1)+B2+2-B4*B3
380 I1=B4*G1+B3/Z1+G*(B4+B3+2*B2)
390 P1=-10*LGT(4*G1*(G+2+B2+2)/(Z1*(R1+2+I1+2)))
400 WRITE (15,410)P1
410 FORMAT "MINIMUM TRANSDUCER ATTENUATION=",F8.3,"DB"
420 B5=2*PI*F*C2*1E-06
430 R2=G1/Z1+G*(1/Z1+G1)+B2+2
440 FOR I=1 TO 201
450 Y=-10+(I-1)*0.1
460 MEIJ=-B2+Y/Z1+B5
470 R3=R2-M[I]*B3
480 I3=M[I]*G1+B3/Z1+G*(M[I]+B3+2*B2)
490 P[I]=(R1+2+I1+2)/(R3+2+I3+2)
500 PLOT Y,P[I]
510 NEXT I
520 PEN
530 GOTO 220
540 END
```

This program allows the influence of the embedding network on the mixer conversion loss to be predicted. It is known from ideal mixer theory that the Y-, Z-, G-, or H-type mixer with a short-circuited, open-circuited, or resistively-terminated image-frequency signal presents a certain resistance $R_{\rm RF}$ at signal frequency, which value is dependent on the characteristic of the nonlinear element, pump power level, and type of mixer. For a given type of mixer, the value of $R_{\rm RF}$ can be predicted from measurement of the resistance $R_{\rm TF}$ presented by the mixer at I.F.

The program in File 7 computes the minimum transducer loss (with respect to the backshort position) for a given embedding network, frequency, and ideal mixer R.F. resistance R_{RF} . It assumes that the signal source is perfectly matched to the waveguide. The loss component due to the reflection, the optimum position of the backshort, and the impedance $Z_p = R_p + jX_p$ presented by the outside circuit to the ideal mixer at this backshort position, are also printed.

The program listing and an example of printout during execution follows.

WAVEGUIDE	DIMENSIONS I	N MILS A=	= 51.0 B=	6.4	
FREQUENCY:	START= 150.0	00GHZ STO)P= 160.00	DELTA=	1.000H7
IDEAL MIXE	R RF RESISTA	NCE= 200.0 C)HM		1.000000
INDUCTANCE	= 0.110NH	CAPACITANCE=	5.10FF		
DIODE RESI	STANCE= 24.9	0 OHM POST	CAPACITANCE=	6.60 FF	
TRANSFORME	R TURNS RATI	D= 0.90			
F(GHZ)	P TRAN(DB)	P REF(DB)	RP(OHM)	XP(OHM)	BS(MT)
150.00	0.65	0.13	252.55	-335.61	14.5
151.00	0.65	0.13	250.54	-334.30	14.4
152.00	0.65	0.13	248.60	-332.95	14.3
153.00	0.65	0.12	246.71	-331.58	14.1
154.00	0.65	0.12	244.87	-330.18	14.0
155.00	0.65	0.12	243.07	-328.77	13.9
156.00	0.65	0.12	241.32	-327.34	13.8
157.00	0.66	0.11	239.60	-325.90	13.7
158.00	0.66	0.11	237.92	-324.46	13.5
159.00	0.66	0.11	236.27	-323.02	13.4
160.00	Ø.66	0.11	234.64	-321.58	13.3

```
10 DISP "WAVEGUIDE DIMENSIONS IN MILS A, B";
20 INPUT A,B
30 WRITE (15,110)A,B
40 DISP "FREQUENCY: START, STOP, DELTA";
50 INPUT F1,F2,F3
60 WRITE (15,90)F1,F2,F3
70 FORMAT "INDUCTANCE=",F6.3,"NH",3X,"CAPACITANCE=",F6.2,"FF"
SØ FORMAT "DIODE RESISTANCE=",F6.2," OHM",3X,"FOST CAPACITANCE=",F6.
90 FORMAT "FREQUENCY: START=",F7.2,"GHZ",5%,"STOP=",F7.2,5%,"DELTA="
100 FORMAT "IDEAL MIXER RF RESISTANCE=",F7.1," OHM"
110 FORMAT "WAVEGUIDE DIMENSIONS IN MILS", 5%, "A=", F5.1, 4%, "B=", F5.1
120 DISP "IDEAL MIXER RF RESISTANCE";
130 INPUT R5
140 WRITE (15,100)R5
150 DISP "WSKR. IND., DIODE CAP.=";
160 INPUT L,C
170 WRITE (15,70)L,C
180 DISP "DIODE RESISTANCE, POST CAPACITANCE=";
190 INPUT R, C2
200 WRITE (15,80)R,C2
210 DISP "TRANSFORMER TURNS RATIO=";
220 INPUT K
230 WRITE (15,240)K
240 FORMAT "TRANSFORMER TURNS RATIO=",F5.2
250 PRINT " F(GHZ)
                   P TRAN(DB)
                                   P REF(DB)
                                                   RP(OHM)
                                                              XP(OHM)
260 M1=INT((F2-F1)/F3)
270 F1=F1-F3
280 FOR M=1 TO M1+1
290 F1=F1+F3
300 B1=2*PI*F1*C*1E-06
310 X1=2*PI*F1*L
320 B2=X1/(R+2+X1+2)
330 G=R/(R+2+X1+2)
340 B3=B1-B2
350 G1=1/R5
360 G2=G+2-B2+2
370 G3=G1+G
380 G5=G3+2+B3+2
390 B4=(-B3*G2-2*G*B2*G3)/G5
400 A2=SQR(1-(1.1811E+04/(F1*A*2))+2)
410 A1=A*A2*K*2/(376.73*B*2)
420 G4=A1+G
430 G6=G4*2+B4*2
440 R1=G1*A1+G*G4+B2*2-B4*B3
450 I1=B4*G1+B3*A1+G*(B4+B3+2*B2)
460 P1=-10*LGT(4*G1*A1*(G+2+B2+2)/(R1+2+I1+2))
470 R2=G-(G2*G3-2*B2*B3*G)/G5
480 S1=-10*LGT(1-((A1-R2)/(A1+R2))*2)
490 R3=G-(G2*G4-2*G*B2*B4)/G6
500 I3=B3+(B4*G2+2*G*B2*G4)/G6
510 Y=B4+B2-2*PI*F1*C2*1E-06
520 L1=1.1811E+04/(A2*F1)
530 Y1=ATN(-A1/Y)
540 L2=Y1*L1/(2*PI)+(1-SGN(Y1))*L1/4
550 WRITE (15,560)F1,P1,S1,1/R3,-1/I3,L2
560 FORMAT F7.2,4X,F7.2,6X,F7.2,4X,F9.2,3X,F9.2,3X,F6.1
570 NEXT M
580 END
```

Program in File 8

This program computes and plots the transducer attenuation of the em bedding network for a given frequency and ideal mixer R.F. resistance versu position of the backshort. It allows the positions of the backshort to be found at which good SSB or DSB performance may be expected. The program assumes that the signal source is perfectly matched to the waveguide.

The program listing and examples of the printout and plot follows.

WAVEGUIDE DIMENSIONS IN MILS A= 51.0 B= 6.4 IDEAL MIXER RF RESISTANCE= 200.0 OHM INDUCTANCE= 0.110NH CAPACITANCE= 5.10FF DIODE RESISTANCE= 24.90 POST CAPACITANCE= 6.60 FF TRANSFORMER TURNS RATIO= 0.90 BACK SHORT(MILS):START= 0.0 STOP= 200.0 DELTA= 1.0 FREQUENCY= 150.00GHZ MINIMUM TRANSDUCER ATTENUATION= 0.647 DB

FREQUENCY= 155.00GHZ MINIMUM TRANSDUCER ATTENUATION= 0.653 DB FREQUENCY= 160.00GHZ MINIMUM TRANSDUCER ATTENUATION= 0.665 DB



- 68 -

510 PI=-10*LGT(D1/(R172+11+2)) 520 WRITE (15,530)P1 530 FORMAT "MININUM TRANSDUCER ATTENUATION=",F8.3," DB" 540 FOR Me1 TO M1 550 L4=L1+L3*M 550 P4=L6+L10 M1 550 B4=L6=C1*C05(Y)/S1N(Y) 550 B4=L6=C1*C05(Y)/S1N(Y) 550 B4=L6=C1*C05(Y)/S1N(Y) 550 B4=L6=C1*C05(Y)/S1N(Y) 550 B4=L6=C1*C05(Y)/S1N(Y) 570 D1=R1+2+L1+2 570 D1=R1+2+L2*C=B4+B3+2*B2) 610 P2=D1/D2 620 PLOT L4+P2 630 NEXT M 640 FU 660 END 660 END
In some cases it is interesting to know if there exists a position of the backshort for which the embedding circuit presents a real impedance to the ideal mixer; the value of this resistance is also of interest.

This question is examined by the program listed, with an example of the printout during execution, on the next pages. The available attenuation of the embedding network is also computed for the position of the backshort that is found.

WAVEGUIDE DIMENSIONS IN MILLS A= 51.0 B= 6.4 FREQUENCY: START= 150.00GHZ STOP= 190.00 DELTA= 5.00G CAPACITANCE= 5.10FF INDUCTANCE= 0.110NH RESISTANCE= 24.900HM POST CAPACITANCE= 6.60 FF TRANSFORMER TURNS RATIO= 0.90 F(GHZ) R1(OHM) P1(DB) R2(OHM) P2(DB) X-RF NEVER IS EQUAL TO ZERO 150.00 155.00 X-RF NEVER IS EQUAL TO ZERO 160.00 X-RF NEVER IS EQUAL TO ZERO 165.00 X-RF NEVER IS EQUAL TO ZERO X-RF NEVER IS EQUAL TO ZERO 170.00 6.54 175.00 231.52 155.65 4.18 295.34 7.73 180.00 147.46 3.48 3.02 363.95 8.87 145.12 185.00 442.82 10.19 145.07 190.00 2.66

```
M F[30], B[30,2], C[30,2], H[30,2], P[30,2]
SP "WAVEGUIDE DIMENSIONS IN MILLS A, B";
IPUT A, B
(ITE (15,120)A,B
SP "FREQUENCY:START,STOP,DELTA";
IPUT F1, F2, F3
ITE (15,100)F1,F2,F3
)RMAT "INDUCTANCE=",F6.3,"NH",3X,"CAPACITANCE=",F6.2,"FF"
)RMAT "RESISTANCE=",F6.2,"OHM"
ORMAT "FREQUENCY: START=",F7.2,"GHZ",5X,"STOP=",F7.2,5X,"DELTA=",F€
'ORMAT "POST CAPACITANCE=",F6.2," FF"
ORMAT
       "WAVEGUIDE DIMENSIONS IN MILLS", 5X, "A=", F5.1, 4X, "B=", F5.1
FORMAT "TRANSFORMER TURNS RATIO=", F5.2
)ISP "WSKR. IND., DIODE CAP.=";
INPUT L.C
JRITE (15,80)L,C
)ISP "DIODE RESISTANCE=";
INPUT R
JRITE (15,90)R
)ISP "POST CAPACITANCE=";
INPUT C2
WRITE (15,110)02
)ISP "TRANSFORMER TURNS RATIO=";
INPUT K
WRITE (15,130)K
PRINT "F(GHZ)
                                    P1(DB)
                   -R1(OHM)
                                                 R2(0HM)
                                                                 P2(DB
11=INT((F2-F1)/F3)
FOR M=1 TO M1+1
FEM ]=F1+(M-1)*F3
31=2*PI*F[M]*C*1E-06
<1=2*PI*F[M]*L
32=X1/(R+2+X1+2)
3=R/(R+2+X1+2)
33=B1-B2
21=376.73*B*2/(A*SQR(1-(1.1811E+04/(F[M]*A*2))+2)*K+2)
35=2*PI*F[M]*C2*1E-06
01=(B2+2-G+2)+2-4*B3*(B3*(G+1/Z1)+2-2*B2*G*(G+1/Z1))
IF D1<0 THEN 490
FOR N=1 TO 2
3EM,NJ=(G†2-B2†2+(-1)†N*SQR(D1))/(2*B3)
C[M,N]=B[M,N]-B5+B2
HE M, N J=G-((G+2-B2+2)*(G+1/Z1)-2*B2*BE M, N J*G)/((G+1/Z1)+2+BE M, N J+2)
R1 = HE M, N ]/Z1+G*(1/Z1+HE M, N ])+B2+2-BE M, N ]*B3
I1=B[M,N]*H[M,N]+B3/Z1+G*(B[M,N]+B3+2*B2)
PE M,N ]=-10*LGT(4*HE M,N ]*(G*2+B2*2)/(Z1*(R1*2+I1*2)))
NEXT N
4RITE (15,520)F[M],1/H[M,1],P[M,1],1/H[M,2],P[M,2]
GOTO 500
ARITE (15,510)F[M]
NEXT M
FORMAT F7.2, X-RF NEVER IS EQUAL TO ZERO"
FORMAT F7.2,5X,F9.2,6X,F7.2,5X,F9.2,6X,F7.2
END
```

During experiments aimed at determining $\Delta B^{(k)}$ and $B_0^{(k)}$ for a given mixer mount and diode bias, the increase in the diode current ΔI and positio of the backshort are usually recorded. This short program allows this data be plotted in $(\frac{\Delta I}{\Delta I}, Y_{BS} \times Z_G)$ coordinates. This is of some help in determining the presence of large measurement errors, which make the curve asymmetrical. It can also increase the accuracy of the $\Delta B^{(k)}$ and $B_0^{(k)}$ measurements by graphical averaging. The program listing is shown below.

```
10 SCALE -10,10,0,1
20 XAXIS 0,1
30 YAXIS 0,0.05
40 DISP "HALF-WAVELENGTH IN MILLS=";
50 INPUT L
60 DISP "DELTA I MAX=";
70 INPUT D
80 DISP "DRIFT=";
90 INPUT X
100 FOR I=1 TO 200
110 DISP "BACKSHORT POSITION=";
120 INPUT S
130 DISP "DELTA I=";
140 INPUT A
150 PLOT -1/TAN(PI*S/L),(A-S*X/L)/D
160 IF S>L THEN 190
170 PEN
180 NEXT I
190 END
```

LISTING OF BACKSHORT ANALYSIS TAPE

3	2000	1791	10	900	0
3	2000	1955	10	1060	Ø
3	2000	1843	10	950	Ø
3	2000	1408	10	860	Ø
3	2000	1530	10	890	0
3	1500	1214	10	950	0
3	1000	736	10	540	0
3	1000	866	10	580	0
3	1000	812	10	660	0
3	1000	911	10	530	Ø
3	250	141	10	190	0
3	2500	1951	10	1030	0
Ø	2500	0	Ø	0	0
	00000000000000000	3 2000 3 2000 3 2000 3 2000 3 2000 3 1000 3 1000 3 1000 3 1000 3 250 3 2500 0 2500	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$