

## TWO BIT CORRELATOR

by B. G. Clark

In one bit correlation one records only the sign -- + or -. In two bit correlation, we have a sign and a magnitude bit, giving four possible states. Let us denote the digitization of a variable A by [A] and denote the four possible states by

$$[A] = \begin{cases} +L & \text{if } A > H \\ +S & \text{if } H > A > 0 \\ -S & \text{if } 0 > A > -H \\ -L & \text{if } -H > A \end{cases}$$

where H is the second clipping level. We now have the freedom to define a multiplication table [A] \* [B], which, because of the symmetries of the problem may, without loss of generality, be taken to be

$$[A] * [B] = \begin{array}{c|cccc} \begin{matrix} -L \\ -S \\ +S \\ +L \end{matrix} & \begin{matrix} -L \\ -S \\ +S \\ +L \end{matrix} & \begin{matrix} -S \\ -S \\ +S \\ +L \end{matrix} & \begin{matrix} +S \\ -m \\ -1 \\ m \end{matrix} & \begin{matrix} +L \\ -n \\ 1 \\ n \end{matrix} \\ \hline \begin{matrix} -L \\ -S \\ +S \\ +L \end{matrix} & \begin{matrix} n \\ m \\ -m \\ -n \end{matrix} & \begin{matrix} m \\ 1 \\ -1 \\ -m \end{matrix} & \begin{matrix} -m \\ -1 \\ 1 \\ m \end{matrix} & \begin{matrix} -n \\ -m \\ m \\ n \end{matrix} \end{array}$$

if A and B are equal independent gaussian noises from the receivers, and C is the noise from the source,

$$\text{Prob} \{ X < A < X + dX \} = \text{Prob} \{ X < B < X + dX \} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi} \sigma} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2}} dx$$

$$\text{Prob} \{ X < C < X + dX \} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi} \sigma_1} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma_1^2}} dX$$

The correlator output is  $\langle [A+C] * [B+C] \rangle$ .

Employing all the symmetries of the problem,

$$\begin{aligned}
 < [A+C] * [B+C] > = 2n [ \text{Prob} \{ A + C > H \cap B + C > H \} \\
 &\quad - \text{Prob} \{ A + C > H \cap B + C < -H \} ] \\
 &\quad + 4m [ \text{Prob} \{ A + C > H \cap H > B + C > 0 \} \\
 &\quad - \text{Prob} \{ A + C > H \cap 0 > B + C > -H \} ] \\
 &\quad + 2 [ \text{Prob} \{ H > A + C > 0 \cap H > B + C > 0 \} \\
 &\quad - \text{Prob} \{ H > A + C > 0 \cap 0 > B + C > -H \} ] \\
 \\
 &= 2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \text{Prob} \{ X < C < X + dX \} [ n \text{Prob} \{ A > H - X \} \\
 &\quad ( \text{Prob} \{ B > H - X \} - \text{Prob} \{ B > H + X \} ) \\
 &\quad + 2 m \text{Prob} \{ A > H - X \} ( \text{Prob} \{ H - X > B > -X \} \\
 &\quad - \text{Prob} \{ H + X > B > X \} ) + \text{Prob} \{ H - X > A > -X \} \\
 &\quad ( \text{Prob} \{ H - X > B > -X \} - \text{Prob} \{ H + X > B > X \} ) ] \\
 \\
 &= 2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi} \sigma_1} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma_1^2}} [ n ( \frac{1}{2} - \text{Erf} ( \frac{H-X}{\sigma} ) ) \\
 &\quad ( \text{Erf} ( \frac{H+X}{\sigma} ) - \text{Erf} ( \frac{H-X}{\sigma} ) ) \\
 &\quad + 2 m ( \frac{1}{2} - \text{Erf} ( \frac{H-X}{\sigma} ) ) ( 2 \text{Erf} ( \frac{X}{\sigma} ) + \text{Erf} ( \frac{H-X}{\sigma} ) \\
 &\quad - \text{Erf} ( \frac{H+X}{\sigma} ) + ( \text{Erf} ( \frac{H-X}{\sigma} ) + \text{Erf} ( \frac{X}{\sigma} ) ) ( 2 \text{Erf} ( \frac{X}{\sigma} ) \\
 &\quad + \text{Erf} ( \frac{H-X}{\sigma} ) - \text{Erf} ( \frac{H+X}{\sigma} ) ) ] dx
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\text{Erf} (y) = \int_0^y \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}y} e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}} dt$$

If we approximate a low signal-to-noise ratio,  $\sigma_1 \ll \sigma$ , then for all X of interest  $X \ll \sigma$  and we can expand the error functions

$$\text{Erf}(C + y) \sim \text{Erf}(C) + y \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{C^2}{2}}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle [A + C] * [B + C] \rangle &= 2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi} \sigma_1} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma_1^2}} \left[ n \frac{x^2}{\pi \sigma^2} e^{-\frac{H^2}{\sigma^2}} \right. \\ &\quad + m \frac{2x^2}{\pi \sigma^2} e^{-\frac{H^2}{2\sigma^2}} \left( 1 - e^{-\frac{H^2}{2\sigma^2}} \right) \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{x^2}{\pi \sigma^2} \left( 1 - e^{-\frac{H^2}{2\sigma^2}} \right)^2 \right] dx \\ &= \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{\sigma_1^2}{\sigma^2} \left[ (n + 1 - 2m) e^{-\frac{H^2}{\sigma^2}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (2m - 2) e^{-\frac{H^2}{2\sigma^2}} + 1 \right] \end{aligned}$$

The noise on the correlator output is

$$\begin{aligned} N^2 &= \langle ([A] * [B])^2 \rangle = n^2 \text{Prob} \{ |A| > H \cap |B| > H \} \\ &\quad + 2m^2 \text{Prob} \{ |A| > H \cap |B| < H \} \\ &\quad + \text{Prob} \{ |A| < H \cap |B| < H \} \\ &= n^2 \left( 1 - 2 \text{Erf} \left( \frac{H}{\sigma} \right) \right)^2 + 4m^2 \left( 1 - 2 \text{Erf} \left( \frac{H}{\sigma} \right) \right) \text{Erf} \left( \frac{H}{\sigma} \right) \\ &\quad + 4 \left( \text{Erf} \left( \frac{H}{\sigma} \right) \right)^2 \end{aligned}$$

For continuous multiplication, the signal-to-noise ratio is simply

$\frac{\sigma_1^2}{\sigma^2}$ , so the degradation produced by the quantization is

$$D = (S/N) / (S_c/N_c) = \frac{2}{\pi} \left[ (n+1-2m) e^{-\frac{H^2}{\sigma^2}} + (2m-2) e^{-\frac{H^2}{2\sigma^2}} + 1 \right] \\ \left[ (4n^2 + 4 - 8m^2) \left( \text{Erf} \left( \frac{H}{\sigma} \right) \right)^2 + (4m^2 - 4n^2) \text{Erf} \left( \frac{H}{\sigma} \right) + n^2 \right]^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

David Sun has programmed this equation and solves for the optimum  $(H/\sigma)$

given  $m$  and  $n$ . Below are two tables giving, respectively,  $D$  and  $(H/\sigma)$ .

$m \backslash n$	2	3	4	6	8	12	16	20	24
1	.787	.838	.855	.858	.851	.839	.832	.828	.825
2	.745	.800	.842	.875	.878	.865	.853	.845	.839
3		.769	.802	.855	.869	.880	.870	.860	.852
4			.771	.821	.857	.881	.880	.872	.864
5				.790	.830	.872	.881	.879	.873
6				.765	.803	.856	.877	.881	.878
7		D			.779	.837	.867	.878	.880
8					.759	.818	.854	.872	.878

$m \backslash n$	2	3	4	6	8	12	16	20	24
1	.701	.782	.821	.828	.796	.740	.707	.687	.674
2	1.371	1.049	.977	.967	.948	.864	.800	.759	.733
3		1.410	1.199	1.036	1.006	.953	.885	.830	.792
4			1.385	1.122	1.036	.989	.942	.891	.847
5				1.223	1.077	1.002	.970	.932	.892
6				1.305	1.135	1.012	.981	.955	.924
7					1.197	1.028	.987	.967	.944
8					1.251	1.053	.993	.972	.955

The optimum signal-to-noise ratio occurs at

$$m = 4, \quad n = 14, \quad H/\sigma = .967, \quad D = .882.$$

However, the convenience of having  $n$  and  $m$  be powers of two would recommend

$$m = 4, \quad n = 16, \quad H/\sigma = .952, \quad D = .880$$

at a negligible loss in signal-to-noise ratio.

The signal-to-noise ratio of the two bit correlator is thus about .88 that of a continuous multiplier, as contrasted with .64 for a one bit correlator.

June 14, 1966