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1. Introduction

Memo \#187 described our present "best" set of measurements of the positions of the dowels in the $\mathrm{R} / \mathrm{J}$. We now turn to the task of transforming these measurements into zero-point corrections to be applied to the T/P sensor readings.

In Figure 1 let $\left(X_{c p}, Y_{c p}\right)$ be the measured coordinates of a dowel center in the reflector axis system (DAS). In Memo \#187 $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{cp}}$ and $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{cp}}$ are found from Equation (1), but with YY chosen to be the measured value, rather than the nominal value.


Figure 1
A Dowel in the Reflector Axis System

Let $P$ be a point on the true reflector surface, with coordinates $\left(X_{p}, Y_{p}\right)$.

Then :

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{P}^{2}=4 F Y_{p} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
X_{p}=X_{c p}-(d+r) \sin \phi  \tag{2}\\
Y_{p}=Y_{c p}+(d+r) \cos \phi
\end{array}\right\}
$$

We require $d$, which follows from (1) and (2):

$$
\begin{equation*}
d=\frac{-B-\sqrt{B^{2}-4 A C}}{2 A}-r \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
A=\sin ^{2} \phi  \tag{4}\\
B=-2 X_{C p} \sin \phi-4 F \cos \phi \\
C=X_{C p}^{2}-4 F Y_{c p}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

with $F$ equal to the reflector focal length ( $F=5080 \mathrm{~mm}$ nominally) and $r$ equal to the dowel radius ( 9.525 mm ).

## 2. Computation

Table 1 gives the computed values of $d$ and shows the values adopted from Table 5 of Memo No. 187 for $\Delta X$ and $\Delta Y$. (Note that Table 5 used nominal values of $\Delta X=\Delta Y=0$ at dowel No. 1 , while here we have set $\Delta X=\Delta Y=0$ at the origin.) We have checked Table 1 in two ways. First, if we set $\Delta X=$ $\Delta Y=0$ for all dowels we do, as we should, find $d=0$ for all dowels. Second, we have used double precision (quite large numbers are differenced in (3)) and confirmed our results.

## 3. Application

We note that:

- A positive $d$ means the sensor is extended beyond the true surface to reach the dowel.
- A longer $d$ implies a lower voltage output from the sensor.
- Thus, if a given sensor reads $V$ volts on a dowel with a positive d, it would read ( $V+d / c$ ) volts on the true surface. $c$ is my sensor calibration constant which says that a sensor voltage change of dV volts corresponds to a movement of $c x d V m i c r o n s$.

| Dowel No. | $\begin{gathered} \phi \\ \text { degrees } \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{c}} \stackrel{\text { Nominal }}{\mathrm{mm}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { erence Jig } \\ & \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{c}}-647.7 \mathrm{~mm} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\text { microns }}{\Delta \mathrm{x}}$ |  | $\underset{\text { microns }}{\mathrm{d}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 3.472 | 592.970 | $-171.694$ | $-77$ | 97 | -74 |
| 2 | 6.572 | 1135.760 | $-295.615$ | $-163$ | 23 | 5 |
| 3 | 9.616 | 1684.445 | - -390.248 | $-176$ | 27 | $-2$ |
| 4 | 12.579 | 2237.303 | $-455.988$ | -204 | 125 | $-105$ |
| 5 | 15.444 | 2792.773 | $-493.522$ | $-224$ | 198 | -188 |
| 6 | 18.194 | 3349.396 | -503.794 | $-220$ | 245 | $-245$ |
| 7 | 20.820 | 3905.867 | -487.939 | -215 | 241 | $-252$ |
| 8 | 21.163 | 3980. 326 | -483.896 | $-170$ | 287 | -297 |
| 9 | 23.652 | 4537.792 | $-439.710$ | $-196$ | 85 | $-104$ |
| 10 | 26.007 | 5092.870 | -372.603 | $-175$ | 75 | -100 |
| 11 | 28.228 | 5644.780 | $-282.194$ | $-182$ | 52 | $-85$ |
| 12 | 30.319 | 6192.901 | $-171.694$ | $-179$ | 97 | $-133$ |

Table 1. The " $d$ " values from the $R / J$ measurements.

These rules then tell us to adjust the sensor zero point reading in volts (V(I) in my programs) so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(I)+V(I)+d / c \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

4. Two Notes
(a) The RMS of the R/J profile.

Having measured the $R / J$ and transferred the contact points on the dowels into telescope coordinates (DAS), it is interesting to put a best-fitted parabola through the measured points. We did this, adjusting only the focal length, and found:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Best fit focal length }=5079.3 \pm 0.1 \mathrm{~mm} \\
& \text { RMS difference from best fit }=115 \text { microns }
\end{aligned}
$$

(b) The edge-ball in telescope coordinates

We now repeat the calculation of paragraph 3(c) of Memo No. 187, using our "best" value for the coordinates of the center of the edge-ball dowel (No. 13) in the $R / J$ axis system No. 2.

$$
X=6289.215 \mathrm{~mm} \quad X=-296.809 \mathrm{~mm}
$$

and get

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{p e}=6076.413 \mathrm{~mm} \quad Y_{p e}=1639.575 \mathrm{~mm} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $Y$ coordinate is the height at which all edge balls should be set above the top of the dish center ball.


