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Following are view-graphs that will be discussed by R. Narrad in GBT Specification Warking Group Meeting of 7/13/89.
R. Norrod

July 13, 1989

- amplitude and phase control of the aperture illumination by subreflector shaping
- spillover past the subreflector is directed toward a cold sky
- low spillover past the paraboloid toward the ground for high elevation angles
- large depth of focus and field of view.

Some disadvantages are also apparent:

- greater blockage, particularly in small antennas (less than 100 wavelength apertures)
- higher sidelobes near the main beam
- not readily adaptable to use of poorly directional, frequency independent feeds feeds

Given $\quad D=$
FID $=$
Freq $=$
(1) Hyperboloid Diameter

For Minimum Blockage
$d=\sqrt{k \lambda F} \approx$

* $k=$
use d $=$
(2) Hyperboloid Focal Length
$f=\frac{d}{2}\left(\operatorname{ctn} a+\operatorname{ctn} \phi_{0}\right)$
$\phi_{0}=2 \tan ^{-1} \frac{D}{4 F}=$
$a={ }^{0}$ (From Feed Design)
$\mathrm{f}=$
(3) Cassegrain Magnification
$\mathrm{AL}=\frac{\mathrm{D}}{4 \mathrm{~F}} \operatorname{ctn}-\frac{a}{2}=$
(4) Hyperboloid Eccentricity

$$
e=\frac{M+1}{M-1}=
$$

(5) Hyperboloid Location

$$
j=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{e-1}{e}\right)=
$$


$D=$ Paraboloid Diameter
$F=$ Paraboloid Focal Length
$\phi_{0}=$ Paraboloid Half Angle
d $=$ Hyperboloid Diameter
$f=$ Hyperbalord Focal Length
$a=$ Hyperboloid Half Angle
$M=$ Hypertbolord Magnification
e = Hyperboloid Eccentricity
$\rho=$ Hyperboloid Location
$\lambda=$ Free space wavelength
$k$ - Feed beamwid! constant

Fig. 3.33 Determmation of Cussegran geometry

FIGURE 1

Figures taken from Thomas, AP -26, p. 367f.


$$
k \equiv \frac{2 \pi}{\lambda}
$$



Fig. 2. Normalized radiation patterns for narrow-band corrugate horns where 0 is radiation angle relative to axial direction.

## "Narrowband"

1) Select $\Delta \leq 0.4$
2) Select $K_{E}$ from Fig. 2 for desired edge taper.egg., $K_{E}=4$ for -13 dB . Calculate:

$$
a / \lambda=\frac{K_{E}}{2 \pi \sin \theta^{*}}
$$

3) From geometry,

$$
\theta_{\mathrm{f}}=2 \tan ^{-1}\left|\frac{\Delta \lambda}{\mathrm{a}}\right|
$$

and

$$
\frac{R}{\lambda}=\frac{a}{\lambda \sin \theta_{\mathrm{L}}}
$$

$\theta *=$ Subtended half angle of reflector. Narrowband ( $\Delta \leqq 0.4$ )
Wideband $\quad(\Delta \geqq 0.75)$

(a)


Fig. 4. Beam efficiencies for (a) narrow-band and (b) wide-band lions. Dashed line in both cases indicates horn parameters and bear efficiencies at $-10-413$ level of radiation patterns.

!: <. 6. Normalized radiation patterns for wide-band corrugated horns with $0_{0}<70^{\circ}$.

## "Wideband"

1) Select $\Delta \geq 0.75$
2) Select $\theta * / \theta_{\text {f }}$ from Fig. 6 for desired edge taper. From this calculate $\theta_{\mathrm{f}}$.
3) Then

$$
a / \lambda=\frac{\Delta}{\tan \left(\frac{\theta_{\mathrm{f}}}{2}\right)}
$$

and

$$
R / \lambda=\frac{\mathrm{a}}{\lambda \sin \theta_{\mathrm{f}}}
$$

# Chronology of Proposed Designs <br> July 12, 1989 

Design 1: Technical Study Group Report (NLSRT \#S1) 4/18/89
$F / D=0.35$
$d=7 \mathrm{~m}$
$h=3.8 \mathrm{~m}\left(12.6^{\prime}\right)$

Theta * $=6.66$
Wide-Band Feed : Dia/Lambda = 21; $\quad$ Length/Lambda $=71$
Narrow-Band Feed: $\quad$ Dia/Lambda $=11 ; \quad$ Length/Lambda $=64$
Implications: Prime focus may be difficult; Fairly large feeds.

Design 2: Draft GBT Proposal (ca 5/15/89, unpublished)
$F / D=0.30$
$d=10 \mathrm{~m}$
$h=5 \mathrm{~m}(16.4$ )

Theta * $=11.73$
Wide-Band Feed : Dia/Lambda =12; Length/Lambda = 23
Narrow-Band Feed:
Dia/Lambda = 6;
Length/Lambda $=$ 21
Implications: Prime focus difficult; Fairly small feeds.

Design 3: GBT Proposal 6/15/89
$F / D=0.42$
$d=8 \mathrm{~m}$
$h=0 \mathrm{~m}$

Theta * $=5.74$
Wide-Band Feed : Dia/Lambda = 24; Length/Lambda $=96$
Narrow-Band Feed: Dia/Lambda $=13 ; \quad$ Length/Lambda $=86$
Implications: Cassegrain pushed to $3-5 \mathrm{GHz}$. Feeds large.

Design 4: Fisher-Napier-Thompson Small Sub-reflector
$F / D=0.42 \quad d=4 \mathrm{~m} \quad h=5 \mathrm{~m}$
Theta * $=3.19$
Wide-Band Feed : Dia/Lambda $=43 ; \quad$ Length $/$ Lambda $=310$
Narrow-Band Feed: Dia/Lambda $=$ 23; $\quad$ Length/Lambda $=278$
Implications: Cassegrair difficult. Many feeds required.

Other examples in R. Norrod report of $7 / 12 / 89$ to be released as NLSRT memo.


See NLSRT Memos \#59 (Fisher)
and $\# 62$ (Thompson) for
discussion

(a) AXISYMMETRIC CASSEGRAIN


Conventional axially symmetrical antennas of Cassegrainian and Gregorian type.
Figure 4-1

(a) offset cassegran

(b) BISECTED GREGORIAN

(c) Bisected cassegran
(a) Casserrainian conffuration without hlockase. (b) Gregorian conflguration without blockage. (c) Bisected Cassegrainian confguration with some biockage.

Figure 4-2


Reflection coefficients for cases of Figs. 1 and 2 (c), assuming an illumination taper of 13 dB at edge of subrellector.

Figure 4-3

(n)
$9.55^{\circ}-$ BEAMANGLE
SIZES FOR 3,6,
$9,13, \& 20 \mathrm{~cm}$.
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## GET OPTICS DESIGN <br> July 12, 1989

Working Assumptions:

1) Attempt to maximize sensitivity over entire frequency range of antenna.
2) Design for nearly continuous frequency coverage from about 100 MHz to at least 45 GHz .
3) Must have capability to have multiple receivers online and "rapid" (automated if possible) switchover. Must include a "high use" weather independent frequency.
4) Must support VLBA observing to extent possible.

## DRAFT AGENDA

## Optics Engineering Group <br> Meeting 7/14/89

1) Goals

- Short-term : Generate report with recommended optics configuration (s) for symmetrical antemm. Finish by Aug 10.
- Long-term : ??

2) Fundamental Questions

- F/D ?
- Subreflector Diameter?
- Location of secondary focus relative to vertex?
- Receiver locations? How do we switch between receivers?
- How do we cancel atmosphere?

3) Design Questions

- How cars we set physical limits on some of these parameters?
- Strut blockage vs. F/D
- Efficiency vs. F/D
- Nutation of subreflector
- Hiding or removal of subreflector
- Feed diameter \& length
- Size of vertex cabin
- Can we arrange optics to allow simultaneous observations at multiple frequencies?

4) Select a few cases to examine in detail
$-F / D=0.3, d>8 \mathrm{~m}$
$-F / D=0.42$ d $>8 \mathrm{~m}$
$-F / D=0.42, d \leqslant 5 \mathrm{~m}$

- Design similar to 12 meter antenna optics?
- Beam waveguides?
- Other exotic cases?

5) Miscellaneous

- NRAD analysis software
- Outside expertise
- Communications within group

