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## 1. Introduction

The VLA to PT link project encompasses a 104 km fiber optic link between NRAO's VLA and Pie Town VLBA antenna, additional RF conversion electronics, monitor and control, and increased delays for all VLA antennas.

Optical Wavelength Division Multiplexing (WDM) is used to transmit mixed signal bidirectional optical signals over one standard singlemode fiber core. A high power unidirectional externally modulated optical carrier at $\lambda_{1}$ is used to provide the high dynamic range IF analog transmission from PT to the VLA. Lower power directly modulated optical signals at $\lambda_{2}$ and $\lambda_{3}$, from opposite ends of the fiber provide a bidirectional digital communications path for monitor and control of the PT link equipment rack between sites.

Using the VLA-PT link the angular resolution of the VLA is effectively doubled while maintaining the full sensitivity of the 27 antenna VLA.

The initial concept of connecting the Pie Town VLBA antenna into the VLA as a phased array element of the VLA has a 10 year history.

The final location of the "PT antenna", a 52 km EW baseline from the center of the VLA , was selected to provide UV plane coverage intermediate to the VLA extended A configuration and the VLBA or VLBI configurations. Sub arcsec angular resolution at $Q$ band is possible.

Although sometimes a contentious issue the PT/VLA link is primarily an enhancement to the VLA and not the VLBA. Most scientific benefit would occur with PT in the VLA during A array, nominally a consecutive block of time 3 months every 18 months.

Fortuitously the Western New Mexico Telephone company had installed primary rate fiber based transports as part of the public switched network over much of Southwest New Mexico. By fusion splicing together several singlemode fiber enroute locations a PT to VLA point to point dark fiber 104 kms in length was established. NRAO did this for two fibers, for the prototyping phase of the project ,completing OTDR analysis in March 98.

Initial design work and feasibility studies were commenced in the later half of 97 . Fiber rental agreements with WNMT to be exercised when the system becomes fully operational , and the NSF project financing ( a sum of $\$ 666 \mathrm{~K}$ ) was finalized around this time as well.

Fiber optics is an obvious choice for interconnecting phased array antennas, gaining wide acceptance due to the low optical loss, typically less than $0.2 \mathrm{~dB} / \mathrm{km} @ 1550 \mathrm{~nm}$ and the enormous bandwidth potential ( $1 \mathrm{Tbit} / \mathrm{sec} / \mathrm{fiber}$ ) of multiwavelength singlemode systems.

The PT/VLA project was to be the longest span fiber optic link based radioastromony project todate. The BL (gain bandwidth product) of the analog transmission alone exceeds $20 \mathrm{GHz} . \mathrm{kms}$. Exceeding the BL product of other predecessors such as the ATNF Compact Array by an order of magnitude.

Fiber optic communications is a rapidly evolving field driven by the large information carrying needs of the telecommunications sector. The PT/VLA link was to use the most contemporary techniques and to a degree serve as a demonstration project for other radio astronomy endeavors such as the A++ VLA array using several additional $100 \mathrm{~km}+$ outrigger antennas to the VLA , the VLA upgrade replacing the current metallic Sumitomo circular waveguide ,the Atacama Large Millimiter Array in Chile and the LOFAR low frequency array to name but a few.

By December of 98 finges between PT and the VLA were obtained using a 3 antenna array and a single IF.

By September of 99 fringes between PT and the VLA were obtained on both left and right circular polarization on all bands common to the PT and VLA receiving systems. using all 26 VLA antennas with PT substituting for antenna 27 . Some science was done.
The final 4IF single fiber architecture was implemented in Oct99.
Although fiber optics makes the PT link a reality considerable effort was also required in the RF conversion subsytems ,correlator delay subsystems and control software.

Approximately 4 manyears of hardware development and 2 manyears of software development was required.


## PIE TOWN LINK ELECTRONICS

The PT link provides the interface between the VLBA antenna and the VLA electronics for all common receiving bands , $P$ band ( 327 MHz ) through to $Q$ band ( 45 GHz ) for the sites.

The four PT VLBA outputs ,Frequency 1 (right and left circular polarization) and Frequency 2 (right and left circular polarization), each $500-1000 \mathrm{MHz}$ is upconverted to standard 50 MHz wide VLA channel slots of A $1325 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C} 1575 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{B} 1425 \mathrm{MHz}$ and D 1675 MHz respectively.

A triple balanced conversion stage for each channel is made possible by L6 local oscillators in the range 339.9 to $2610.1 \mathbf{M H z}$, permitting both USB and LSB conversion sense on each IF for complete VLA compatibility. Each frequency pair of L6's are driven by a common L7 fringe rotator.

IF outputs are automatic level controlled and combined together with a PT Maser derived 1200 MHz reference to then modulate the RF fiber optic transmitter.

The fiber optic system is a wavelength division multiplexed (WDM) design comprising three wavelengths operating over a single core of standard high dispersion singlemode fiber, 104 kms in length and $1800 \mathrm{ps} / \mathrm{nm}$ dispersion. Extensive use is made of current telecommunication components and techniques for the low optical loss $(0.2 \mathrm{~dB} / \mathrm{km}) 1550 \mathrm{~nm}$ window.

A high power, high dynamic range, externally modulated (Mach-Zehnder) direct detection intensity unidirectional RF channel from PT to the VLA at 1554 nm wavelength transports the composite 200MHz IF output. The use of precision PID thermal and required bias control enable ultra high CW wavelength stability for minimizing dispersive effects on phase and the optical spectrum characteristics for suppression of Stimulated Brillouin Scatter in the presence of the 10 mW CW high optical launch power to maintain 20dB SNR per IF.

An $800 \mathrm{Mbi} / \mathrm{sec}$ (nominally configured to $200 \mathrm{Mbi} / \mathrm{sec}$ ) serial bit rate ,bidirectional direct modulated digital system between sites at 1530 and 1535 nm provides synchronization of the PT noise diode, fringe rotation as well as connectivity to the VLA Monitor and Control system. The 200Mbitsec is generated by a 20 bit wide bus clocked at 10 MHz using Hewlett Packard "G link" LSI transmit and receive chipset pairs. Digital data is fed asynchronously through the system ,enabling versatility with a number of input and output signals of various clock origins.

Exceptionally low optical channel crosstalk is achieved with networks comprising cascadable dichroic thin film interference filters.

The PT analog IF data and 1200 MHz tone is recovered from the analog link photodiode. The PT 1200 MHz is compared with the VLA 1200 MHz master LO for $1^{*}$ order phase reckoning. The 4 analog IF's are then converted to baseband and digitized in standard VLA samplers.

To accommodate the extra delays of the 52 km baseline the new delay cards for each IF can be programmed to a maximum of $819.2 \mu \mathrm{~S}$ using larger FIFO memory and XYLINX control on a "piggy back" PCB assembly inserted into the 108 standard VLA delay cards.

Where possible in the project ,reuse of existing VLA hardware and software has been made. In particular the decision to substitute the 5 west antenna by "switching in " the PT antenna in lieu and use the existing VLA "D rack" backend electronics minimized hardware construction.

Early imaging work with the PT antenna linked to the VLA has revealed twice VLA resolution with the sensitivity of the VLA.
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## PIETOWN to VLA WESTERN NEW MEXICO TELEPHONE COMPANY FIBER



Approximate distances supplied by WNMT

## ATTENUATION MEASUREMENTS

| FIBER | $\lambda=1550 \mathrm{~nm}$ | $\lambda=1310 \mathrm{~nm}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Blue1-Grn3 <br> VLBA-VLA | 22.5 dB | 37.4 dB |
| Org2-Brn4 <br> VLBA-VLA | 24.4 dB | 38.6 dB |


| COLOR Code |
| :--- |
| 1 Blue |
| 2 Orange |
| 3 Green |
| 4 Brown |
| 5 Slate |
| 6 White |
| 7 Red |
| 8 Black |
| 9 Yellow |
| 10 Violet |
| 11 Turquoise |
| 12 Pink |



## FRINGE ROTATION RATE VLA/PT

| VLA (centre) co-orrdinates | Latitude $34^{\circ} 04^{\prime} 43.497^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $=34.079^{\circ}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Longtitude $107^{\circ} 37^{\prime} 03.819^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ | $=107.618^{\circ}$ |
| PT co-ordinates | Latitude $34^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 03.61 " \mathrm{~N}$ | $=34.301^{\circ}$ |
|  | Longtitude $108^{\circ} 07^{\prime} 07.24^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ | $=108.119^{\circ}$ |



$$
\begin{aligned}
d & =\sqrt{\left(x_{2}-x_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(y_{2}-y_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(z_{2}-z_{1}\right)^{2}} \\
d_{\text {PT-VLA }} & =\sqrt{39.61^{2}+27.55^{2}+20.47^{2}} \\
& =52.41 \mathrm{~km}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{d}_{\text {PT }-W} & =24.74 \mathrm{~km} \\
\phi & =\operatorname{Sin}^{-1}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d}_{\text {PT-W }}}{d_{\text {PT-VLA }}}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Sin}^{-1}\left(\frac{24.74}{52.41}\right) \\
& =28.17^{\circ}
\end{aligned}
$$

## FRINGE ROTATION RATE VLA/PT

$$
f_{n}=\text { fringe rate }
$$

$$
f_{n}=\omega_{0} D \operatorname{Cos}(\delta) \operatorname{Cos}(\phi) \operatorname{Sin}(H-h)
$$

$\omega_{0}=$ angular rotation of earth
$=15^{\circ} \mathrm{hr}$
$=15 \times \frac{\Pi^{c}}{180 \times 3600} / \mathrm{sec}$

$$
=7.27 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{sec}
$$

Assume worst case senario

$$
\delta=0^{\circ}, \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{h}=90^{\circ}
$$

$f_{n}=\omega \circ \frac{d_{\text {PT-VLA }}}{\lambda} \operatorname{Cos}(\phi)$
$=\omega_{0} d_{\text {PT-VLA }} \frac{f_{\text {sky }}}{c} \operatorname{Cos}(\phi)$
$=7.27 \times 10^{-5} \times 52410 \times \frac{45 \times 10^{9}}{3 \times 10^{8}} \operatorname{Cos}(28.17)$
$(=503.8 \mathrm{~Hz}) \leqslant$ Nay fringe $_{\text {rate }}$ $\angle 7$ max $=500 \mathrm{~Hz}$
$\mathbf{f}_{\text {sky }}=\mathbf{4 5 G H z}$
$\delta=$ declination
$\mathrm{H}=$ hour angle
$\mathrm{D}=$ number of wavelengths
$H_{1}$ is in radians

$$
H_{1} \text { (seconds) }=\frac{H_{1} \text { (radians) }}{\omega_{0}}
$$

$$
=\frac{1.04 \times 10^{-4}}{7.27 \times 10^{-5}}
$$

$$
=\quad 1.43 \mathrm{secs}
$$

$$
\Phi_{\text {total }}=\frac{180}{\pi} \int 2 \pi \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{n}} \delta \mathbf{t}
$$

$$
=360 \times 503.8 \times 1.43
$$

$$
=2.59 \times 10^{5} \circ
$$

$$
\text { frequency step }=\frac{\mathbf{f}_{\mathrm{n}}}{\Phi_{\text {total }}}
$$

$$
=1.9 \mathrm{mHz}
$$

for $\operatorname{RMS} \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\text {error }}=1^{0}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{H}_{1} & =\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{180 \times 1.62 \times 10^{6}}} \mathrm{c} \\
& =1.04 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{c}
\end{aligned}
$$
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PT - VLA Monitor Control System (MCS) signal flow



FIG. 2-A



TIMING DIAGRAM 1: CENTRAL TIMING OPERATIONS


TIMING DIAGRAM 2: ANTENNA TIMING OPERATIONS

### 2.1 Overview

The purpose of the PT link is to transport amplitude and phase stable 50 MHz bandwidths signals ,a total of 200 MHz bandwidth from the sky frequencies common to both VLA and VLBA sites.
As the VLA has limited correlator capacity (nominally 27 VLA antennas) when observing PT/VLA mode antenna DCS2, the donor antenna ,is removed from the correlator at the DCS2 D rack and the D rack subsequently connected to the PT antenna via the link. This can be an automated process.

Where possible the PT link has been designed to be naturally compatible with the VLA in both hardware and software with significant reuse of both .

The sky frequency at PT is firstly converted to the nominal $500-1000 \mathrm{MHz}$ IF band for both LCP and RCP in the existing VLBA system. These IF's are then converted to the nominal VLA waveguide channels of A $1325 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C} 1575 \mathrm{MHz}$ and B $1425 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D} 1675 \mathrm{MHz}$ by using IF converter modules T201A,B with local oscillators L6 selectable in either the VHF range of 339.9 MHz to 689.9 MHz or the UHF range of 2260.1 to 2610.1 MHz . The use of UHF or VHF is determined by the SSB sense required to maintain compatibility and flexibility with the VLA system.

The local oscillators fringe rotated via L7 modules and are both phase coherent and phase continuous to the PT rack LO reference chain L1,L2,L3 which are coherent to the PT maser station reference. The VLA waveguide channels output from the T201 modules are individually automatic level controlled to -7 dBm in modified F4 ALC / Synchronous demodulator modules. This provides required signal dynamic range under a variety of conditions.

The $A, B, C, D$ and a sample of a 1200 MHz signal multiplied from the PT Maser are combined to provide drive for the analog fiber optic transmitter T202 in a direct detection intensity modulated scheme. A high power 50 mW DFB laser operating precisely at 1554 nm provides a CW optical source which is externally modulated by a MZ optical modulator.

The recovered photodiode signal at the VLA is effectively switched into the VLA T3 baseband converter module input in preference to VLA antenna DCS2. In addition a one way phase reckoning of the 1200 MHz reference tone from the PT Maser is compared with a 1200 MHz tone as generated from the VLA MLO system. This is done with the existing D rack L14 LO filter and L11 LO measurement module in conjunction with the D rack switch plate.

The installed fiber base is dated high dispersion $18 \mathrm{ps} / \mathrm{nm} / \mathrm{km}$ fiber with minimum optical loss at 1550 nm band. Any aberation in the optical wavelength of the analog DFB will translate in large , unacceptable changes in RF phase. The 1200 MHz reckoning has both transmission line artifacts and site to site maser frequency differential are buried in the measurement.

In order to provide required monitoring and control information of the PT rack and most importantly synchronization of the PT LO fringe rotation and noise diode switching with the VLA waveguide cycle a bidirectional high bit rate digital multiplexer using highly integrated HP chipsets and a directly modulated on/off keyed digital fiber optic link is used. Each direction has a separate optical wavelength.

Provision has also been made to multiplex onto the digital chipset a low bit rate 'B' channel tie line,perhaps replacing the existing public switched network connection as an operational enhancement if desired.

Including the analog path there are a total of 3 unique optical wavelengths that are multiplexed using WDM thin film interference filter techniques onto a single singlemode fiber core in a mix of analog, digital and bidirectional function.

### 1.3 Draft Specification

## VLA to PIE TOWN LINK DRAFT SPECIFICATION <br> Ron Beresford 20 Feb 98

## General

For purposes of this specification the word "link" is used to refer to any RF conversion subsystem, any Local Oscillators of the RF conversion system, any Fiber Opto Electronics, any data communications hardware or any combination there of located between the PT VLBA IF Cable Termination Panel and the VLA correlator inputs.

The PT/VLA link will facilitate connection of the PT VLBA antenna to the VLA . The PT antenna will replace one of the VLA antenna inputs to the VLA correlator.
As a substitute " 27 " " antenna and "outrigger" to the VLA " Y " the addition of PT to the VLA will predominately enhance spatial resolution and observing capabilities of the VLA rather than that of the VLBA. The PT - VLA link will be used predominately by VLA observing schedules.

## SPECIFICATION

The link shall :-

1. Maintain where possible , familiarity with existing VLA architecture.
2. Maximize use of existing , spare , VLA module hardware where spare modules are available.
3. Minimize any internal modifications required to spare modules where spare modules are utilized.
4. Maximize re-use of VLA software routines/subroutines where appropriate.
5. Provide fully compatible sky coverage at all observing frequencies common to the VLA and PT VLBA receivers . (Upper limit is 45 GHz ).
6. Not compromise continuum or spectral line observing modes of the VLA in any way.
7. Provide a high integrity moderate bandwidth ( $64 \mathrm{~kb} / \mathrm{s}$ to $10 \mathrm{Mb} / \mathrm{s}$ ) WAN connection between sites unreliant on PSTN performance for the periods of observation involving PT in the VLA.
8. Endeavor to work over a single fiber core of the Western New Mexico telephone company supplied cable.
9. Utilize fiber optic electronic techniques, commensurate with the latest contemporary and commercially accepted design practices.
10. Be designed in a direction that could be applied to future upgrades of the VLA , and other projects requiring high bandwidth remoting of antennas with analog and/or digital requirements.
11. Allow future provision for site to site $\mathbf{L O}$ reference signals to be transmitted , bidirectionally between sites. This may be a frequency offset round trip phase measurement system or another innovative method.
12. Provide transmission of all 4 Intermediate Frequency outputs (2 Frequency ,2 Polarizations)
13. Provide a transmission 3 dB bandwidth not less than 50 MHz per IF and be readily expandable to 70 MHz per IF.
14. Contribute less than 0.5 K or $1 \%$ (which ever is the lower) to the total system temperature T sys at any given observing band.
15. Permit Tsys calibration using FE noise diode switching in synchrony and phase with the VLA waveguide cycle rate of 9.6 Hz .
16. Provide for real time synchronous operation of PT events with the VLA 52ms waveguide cycle and confine all set-up processes to the nominal Data Invalid Interval as defined by the VLA correlator. The configuration of "new" scans and processes involving the PT Tracking /servo control and PT specific monitor /control are exempt from this requirement.
17. Contribute no more than $1^{\circ} \mathrm{rms}$ in phase drift , as would be seen in baseline visibilities, at any given observing frequency over any 30 min period.
18. Where LO's are required in the conversion to base-band, utilize phase coherent LO's. The toggling between any two different observing frequencies will be phase coherent and between any two same frequencies will be phase continuous. In addition ,phase coherence will be recoverable after power down /up cycles.
19. Permit normal dual frequency, 2 x sub-array operation of the VLA , with PT as a member of one sub-array.
20. Have a total $4 \times$ IF channel Spur Free Dynamic Range (SFDR) minimum of $85 \mathrm{~dB} \mathrm{~Hz}{ }^{(2 / 3)}$ or 30 dB for 200 MHz BW.
21. Operate each IF , at 50 MHz BW , with a signal to noise ratio SNR exceeding 20 dB .
22. Provide for Automatic Level Control ALC on a long time constant to maintain ample link average modulation depth and a uS time constant spike suppression of sporadic unwanted interference and RFI in the IF pass-bands.
23. Provide ,primarily as a diagnostic feature, a method for monitoring the IF power levels modulating the link immediately prior to opto-electronic transmitters.
24. Suppress any single unwanted LO or unwanted inter-modulation products a minimum 5 dB below the IF channel noise floor.
25. Have a BER of better than $1 \times 10^{-7}$ at all VLA data communication bit rates. (The current VLA BER for the MCS is $3 \times 10^{-7}$ ). For site to site WAN the BER shall be better than $1 \times 10^{-9}$ at the chosen bit rate.
26. As per ITU standards provide a "hardwired" laser safety shutdown feedback control where laser optical power capable of being launched from any bare fiber within the system can exceed 50 mw .
27. Allow a coarse delay range available for the delay subsystem of the correlator in the range of 197uS to 675 uS in 10 nS steps. Fine delay shifting will remain unchanged at 625ps steps.
28. Provide a fringe frequency rate of up to $+/-500 \mathrm{~Hz}$ and be programmable in increments no larger than 2 mHz every 1.5 seconds. The start phase must be programmable in $0.72^{\circ}$ steps or less.
29. Allow the conversion to baseband facilitate independent SSB selection of F1 and F2 IF's such that any combination of common VLA and PT observing frequencies will always have the correct SSB sense presented to the samplers (RCP and LCP's will always remain opposite SSB sense)
30. Be sufficiently configurable with minimal complexity to any one of the 27 available VLA correlator inputs. (5 West initially)
31. Work unconditionally over time and temperature.
32. Be constructed to budget and on time.


## PT FREQUENCY CONVERSION

## PT FREQUENCY CONVERSION T202 A,B , F4

The installed receivers and the RF conversion chains of the PT VLBA antenna is different to that of a typical VLA antenna.
The PT antenna has bands from 74 MHz P-band to 86 GHz W-band. The VLA can have P-Band thru to Q-band only as equiped. Significantly, there are other major differences between the two systems.

VLBA antennas have no real time fringing as this is done during the off line VLBA correlation process. The VLA antennas have fringe rotation in real time at the antennas via L 7 modules.

Antenna position control is via the Modcomp computers driven by operator console at the VLA while at PT the antenna position control is via the VLBA control centre at the AOC and landline B channel access to the VME controller at PT.

The VLBA conversion system has LO's that tune in steps of $+/-200$ or $+/-300 \mathrm{MHz}$ in converting down to the $500-1000 \mathrm{MHz}$ IF slot. The VLA conversion system moves in steps of $50 \mathrm{MHz}+/-10.1 \mathrm{MHz}$ fringe rotated.

The VLBA backend was intended to process as SSB conversion relatively narrowband 16 MHz IF BW. The VLA processes four SSB relatively wideband 50 MHz IF BW's.

## VLBA IF output



The VLBA antenna furnishes a wideband of sky frequencies ( $\mathrm{F}_{\text {sky }}$ ) downconverted to an IF of 5001000 MHz for each of the left and right circular polarizations. These IF's nominally appear at either the A\&C channels respecively or the B\&D channel respectively as determined by the VLBA matrix switch

The VLA (A,B,C,D) 50MHz bandpass response is determined largely by the 8 pole K\&L bandpass filters.
For 70 MHz channel operation slightly less selective 6 pole RLC filters are available ,although these filters are physically shorter and will require a new semi rigid cable.


F4 1325MHz Voltage Controlled Attenuator Characteristics

$$
\mathrm{V}:=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
.38 & -23 & -20 \\
.83 & -17 & -14 \\
1.38 & -9 & -9 \\
2.13 & -4 & -4 \\
2.73 & 0 & -1 \\
2.97 & 1 & 0 \\
3.21 & 2.4 & 1 \\
3.42 & 4 & 2
\end{array}\right] \quad \begin{aligned}
& \\
& \\
& \\
& \\
& \\
& \phi_{\mathrm{n}}:=0,1 . .=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}, 1}-\mathrm{mA}_{1}:=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{i}, 0} \cdot 10 \\
&
\end{aligned}
$$

F4 VCA 1325 MHz


F4 1425MHz Voltage Controlled Attenuator Characteristics
$\mathrm{V}:=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}0.43 & -6 & -8 \\ 0.83 & 0 & -3 \\ .93 & 1.5 & -2 \\ 1.03 & 3 & -1 \\ 1.16 & 4.5 & 0 \\ 1.28 & 6 & 1 \\ 1.42 & 9 & 2 \\ 1.54 & 10 & 3 \\ 1.7 & 12 & 4 \\ 1.88 & 14 & 5 \\ 3.19 & 26 & 6\end{array}\right]$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{i}:=0,1 . . \operatorname{rows}(\mathrm{V})-1 \\
& m A_{1}:=V_{i, 0} \cdot 10 \\
& \mathrm{n}:=0,1 . . \operatorname{rows}(\mathrm{V})-1 \quad \mathrm{~V}_{0,1}=-6 \\
& \phi_{\mathrm{n}}:=V_{n, 1}-V_{0,1} \quad d B_{n}:=V_{n, 2}-V_{0,2}
\end{aligned}
$$

F4 VCA 1425 MHz


F4 1575MHz Voltage Controlled Attenuator Characteristics

$$
\mathrm{V}:=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0.26 & 0 & -6 \\
.31 & 1 & -5 \\
.37 & 1.5 & -4 \\
.67 & 8 & 0 \\
.87 & 11 & 2 \\
1.22 & 17 & 5 \\
2 & 30 & 10 \\
3.11 & 36 & 15
\end{array}\right]
$$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{i}:=0,1 \ldots \text { rows }(\mathrm{V})-1 \\
\mathrm{~mA}_{i}:=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{i}, 0} \cdot 10 & \\
\mathrm{n}:=0,1 . . \text { rows }(\mathrm{V})-1 & \mathrm{~V}_{0,1}=0 \\
\phi_{\mathrm{n}}:=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}, 1}-\mathrm{V}_{0,1} & \mathrm{~dB}_{\mathrm{n}}:=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}, 2}-\mathrm{V}_{0,2}
\end{array}
$$

F4 VCA 1575MHz


F4 1675MHz Voltage Controlled Attenuator Characteristics

$$
V:=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0.37 & -22 & -16 \\
.57 & -18 & -13 \\
.66 & -17 & -12 \\
.74 & -15.6 & -11 \\
0.85 & -13.6 & -10 \\
0.95 & -12 & -9 \\
1.06 & -9 & -8 \\
1.18 & -6 & -7 \\
1.31 & -4.8 & -6 \\
1.47 & 2.4 & -5 \\
1.6 & 0 & -4
\end{array}\right] \quad \begin{aligned}
& \\
& m:=0,1 . . \operatorname{rows}(V)-1 \\
& m_{i}:=V_{i, 0} \cdot 10 \\
& n:=0,1 . . \operatorname{rows}(V)-1 \\
& V_{0,1}=-22
\end{aligned}
$$

F4 VCA 1675 MHz



Pie Town


L104
$2 \cdot 16 \mathrm{GHz}$
$\mathrm{N} \times 500+/-100 \mathrm{MHz}$


VLBAif

Lbba $100-150 \mathrm{MHz}$
Lbbd $150-100 \mathrm{MHz}$

$\underset{\text { or }}{\text { USB }} 1200+$ Lbba







## RCP



F1
Low Side LO 810.1-339.9 $\quad \mathbf{N}=16 \ldots .7 \quad(825-325) \rightarrow(14.9,-14.9)$
High Side LO 1789.9-2260.1 $\quad N=36 \ldots . .45 \quad(1825-2325) \rightarrow(35.1,64.9)$

LCP


Low Side LO 1060.1 - $589.9 \quad N=21 \ldots . .12 \quad(1075.575) \cdots(14.9,-14.9)$
High Side LO 2039.9-2510.1 $\quad N=40 \ldots . .50 \quad(: C 75 . ? 5 \%) \rightarrow(35.1,64.9)$

RCP

F2


Low Side LO 910.1-439.9 $\quad \mathbf{N}=45 \ldots . .9 \quad(0.25-4.5)-(147.47)$
High Side LO 1889.9-2360.1 $\quad N=36 \ldots 47$ (1925. 2725 ) $\rightarrow(351, \therefore)$

LCP


Low Side LO 1160.1-689.9 $\quad N=23 \ldots .14$ "75-.7 $) \rightarrow(14.9-14.9)$
High Side LO 2139.9-2610.1 $\mathrm{N}=43 \ldots . .52\{2,75: 5 \%)(35.1,64.9)$

## CHA

( $=$ VLBAif increasing with increasing $\mathrm{F}_{\text {sky }}$

## VLBAif increasing with increasing $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{sky}}$

$$
F_{\text {sky }}-\mathrm{L} 104=\text { VLBAifcf }
$$

L6 - VLBAiff $=$ IF $_{\text {cf }}$

$$
\mathrm{IF}_{c f}=1800-L_{b b d}+b w / 2
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Fsky }-\mathrm{L} 104 & =\mathrm{L} 6-\mathrm{IF}_{\mathrm{cf}} \\
& =\mathrm{L} 6-1800+\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{bbd}}-\mathrm{bw} / 2
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
F_{\text {sky }}=\mathbf{L 1 0 4}+\mathbf{L 6}-\mathbf{1 8 0 0}+\mathbf{L} \mathbf{b b d}-\mathbf{b w} / \mathbf{2} \\
\vdots \\
\mathbf{m} 500+1-100 \\
\mathbf{n} 50+1-10.1
\end{gathered}
$$

CHD VLBAif decreasing with increasing Fsky
L104 - Fsky = VLBAifff

L6 - VLBAiff $=$ IFcf $_{\text {cf }}$

$$
\mathbb{F}_{c f}=1800-L_{b b d}+b w / 2
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{L} 104-\mathrm{F}_{s k y} & =\mathrm{L} 6-\mathrm{IF}_{\mathrm{cf}} \\
& =\mathrm{L} 6-\left(1800-\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{bbd}}+\mathrm{bw} / 2\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Fsky }=\mathbf{L 1 0 4}-\mathbf{L 6}-\mathbf{1 8 0 0}+\mathbf{L b b d}-\mathrm{bw} / 2 \\
\mathrm{~m} 500+1-100 \\
\mathrm{n} 50+1-10.1 \\
\end{gathered}
$$



## T201 CONVERTER HARMONIC LIMITS


$\mathrm{F}_{10}$ MHz 16 VHF OUTPUT

| $\mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{MHz}$ | $\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{1 0}} \quad$fundamental of <br> 2nd Harmoaic | Fion ${ }_{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A 1325 | 638-663-688 | 442 |
| B 1425 | 688-713-738 | 475 |
| C 1575 | 763-788-813 | 525 |
| D 1675 | 813-838-863 | 558 |

NB VLA IF's move in pairs
A.C
$\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{A}+250 \mathrm{MHz}$
$F_{l o C}=F_{l o A}+250 \mathrm{MHz}$
$B, D \quad D=B+250 \mathrm{MHz} \quad F_{l o D}=F_{10 B}+250 \mathrm{MHz}$

## F4 FREQUENCY CONVERTER /ALC UNIT

example SNC544


Measurements using white noise test source.

| P4 Input Pwr <br> dBm <br> add 22dB for P5 level | Front Panel <br> Meter | P1 Output Pwr <br> dBm | VCA <br> control <br> current mA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -30 | $>100$ | -7.8 | 10.4 |
| -40 | $>100$ | -9.2 | 5.3 |
| -50 | 60 | -10 | 2.6 |
| -60 | 32 | -9.9 | 1.0 |
| -70 | 15 | -9.9 | 0.26 |
| -80 | 5 | -7.8 | 0 |
| -90 | $<0$ | -8.5 | 0 |



Conversion compatibility between the VLA antenna to baseband and the VLBA antenna to baseband entails that the same sky frequencies as well as the same sideband phasing be possible.
For increasing or decreasing sky frequency at the VLA there must be increasing or decreasing frequency at baseband, not necessarily that order respectively. What is important for final correlation of radio data between sites is the VLBA to baseband output must work in an identical sense to the VLA for any chosen observation frequencies within the commonality of reciever frontends provided.

There are many ways to acheive sideband inversion as required.

1. Provide a high side and low side LO and mixer stage at Pie Town
2. Alter the conversion stage 1200 MHz and 1800 MHz frequencies in the T 3 baseband conversion module from low side and high side LO's
to high side and low side LO's respectively. Since a T3 is designed to process 4 F 's simultaneously, sideband inversion on Fl (ChA,ChD) will also cause sideband inversion on $\mathrm{F} 2(\mathrm{ChB}, \mathrm{ChC})$.
3. Modify tyhe image reject mixer in a T3 by swapping the inverting the hybrid etc. This would make a non standard T3 and be somewhat messy.
4. Design a new baseband image reject mixer. For narrow bandwidths less than a couple of hundred MHz this is readily achieved with off the shelf component(s).

Option 1 is the selected solution ,since some form of downconversion to baseband is required anyway.
This option does mean judicious selection of low side LO's ( nominal range $289.9-1160.1 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) as the
fundamental and 3rd harmonics could fall within the converted VLBA $500-100 \mathrm{MHz}$ IF 50 MHz passband of interest. The upstream VLBA LO's are adjustable in increments of 200 or 300 MHz as predicated by $\mathrm{L} 104(\mathrm{~m} 500+1-100$ ). Hence the 50 MHz slot on the sky can be moved 200 MHz or 300 MHz from the "bad area" at the VLBA IF when this situation arises.
High side downconversion presents no difficulty since the LO's (nominal range $1789.9-2660.1 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) and unwanted USB products aswell as LO feethru are many hundreds of MHz away from the the $500-1000 \mathrm{MHz}$ VLBA $\mathbb{F}$ passband.

## hence

## $F_{\text {sky VLA }}=F_{\text {sky }}$ VLbA

The "worst" senario will occur when a F12 is used in the VLA , as per X and Q band modes. (F12 12-15GHz k600 +/-200MHz) eg Take Incresing baseband frequency with increasing Fsky IF Ch A......F8 $=300 \mathrm{MHz} \quad \mathrm{BPF} \mathrm{cf}=1325 \mathrm{MHz}$
thus for VLA

for VLBA $\quad($ Fskye VLbA - L1042 $\times 3)-$ L104ı + L6vLbA $=1200+$ Lbba + BW/2


USB conversion Ch A


Center of band is LO + BW $/ 2$

LObb frequencies are representative of fypical SOMHz bandwidhth only.

$\begin{aligned} \text { edge } & =1300 \mathrm{MHz} \\ & -\ldots-.\end{aligned}$
althoush USB and LSB designation at this point the RF inputs are increasing at this point the RF inputs are increasing
for increasing fsky for both USB and LSB inpurs

LSB conversion
Ch D

edge $=1650 \mathrm{MHz}$
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## VLBA (Pie Town) Conversion Process Overview 330 to $14000 \mathbf{~ M H z}$ Bands



VLBA (Pie Town) Conversion Process Overview 23000 to $\mathbf{8 6 0 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}$ Bands


## NOISE DIODE CHANGEOVER CCT


undesireable signal loss, was allowed for to meet this target. However ,the initial two filter BWDM filter system used exceeded manufacturers specifications at 1553.6 nm , the analog laser wavelength ,so this optional third VLA clean up filter was not required.

The E-tek range of 3 port WDM cascadeable thin film filters consist of a bandpass material sandwiched between 2 fiber collimators and three pig tailed fiber ports.
The filter is designed to transmit a specific band of light $\lambda_{\mathrm{P}}$ from port C to port P with low insertion loss. All other incident light is tilted by a small angle so the reflected beam from the filter is directed to port $R$ with even lower insertion loss ( $<0.2 \mathrm{~dB}$ ). Hence an essentially wide flat spectrum of light appears at $R$ with a notch in that spectrum of $\lambda_{P}$. Isolations are typically $12-20 \mathrm{~dB}$ for $\lambda_{P}$ crosstalk into port $R$ and $30-46 \mathrm{~dB}$ for $\lambda_{R}$ crosstalk into port $P$.

Importantly and less obvious is the directivity of the device defined as the amount of light at $\lambda_{p}$ at $P$ appearing on port $R$ (typically better than 50dB down). In fact it is this feature that has enabled such a low component count network to be constructed.

The thin film DIF is completely bi-directional.
The key component of the filter is a a thin film dielectric coating on a glass or polymer substrate. Interference coatings consist of alternating thin layers of high and low index dielectric materials such as $\mathrm{Ta}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ and $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$. Multiple coating layers form all dielectric cavities that selectively transmit or reflect ligh: depending on the wavelength. Bandpass filters can be made in any bandwidth from 0.7 nm to 60 nm , with wide flat tops and steep sidebands. The passband width is defined as the 0.5 dB bandwidth and the stopband as the 20 dB bandwidth.
These characteristics are quite easily seen using the HP 83437A EELED broadband light source and Ando OSA.

Before final installation tests were made for the nonlinear optical process known as Four-wave mixing. Akin to third order intermodulation in RF systems ,FWM is a potentially troublesome in low dispersior. .highly coherent laser systems were the optical "wavefronts" can phase up and generate new wavelengths. $\lambda_{\text {ncw }}=\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{3}$. The PT link uses a high dispersion fiber at $1800 \mathrm{ps} / \mathrm{nm}$. As an academic exercise studies were done using the Virtual Photonics Design Suite PTDS CAD with three optical carriers injected into one end of the PT fiber, very much a worst case scenario. Simulations revealed potential FWM wavelengths 50 dB down from the optical analog carrier at the far end and 10 nm away. Potertial FWM wavelengths were 45 dB down and Inm away from received digital channels .

The FWM test was implemented on the bench using actual laser devices and the OSA at the far end. No FWM products were observed with the 70 dB instrument dynamic range. It was concluded with the aitual PT-VLA link configuration, two lasers at one end of the link and a single laser at the other ie VLA. the probability of FWM is even further reduced. In conclusion FWM was to some degree considered irrelevan: for such a small number of optical wavelengths and large spacings.

All laser devices used have built-in optical isolation with upto 30 dB isolation. DFB lasers can behave erratically with back reflected energy into the laser. The backward travelling signals for the digital lasers was typically better than 50 dB down. For the analog laser where any perturbations on the optical spectra cannot be tolerated an additional 40 dB optical isolator was added reducing backward tiaveling spectra to approximately -100 dB or better.

Based on the 3 port cascadeable DIF component, for the PT link an asymmetric, high isolation $1 \times 3$ mux/demux network is constructed.

## OPTICAL MUX / DEMUX

## OPTICAL MULTIPLEXER / DEMULTIPLEXER

Cornerstone to the implementation of the PT link project was the capacity to have several optical carriers coexist on a single fiber core. Increasing the number of optical transmission channels is an approach gaining acceptance as a straight forward economical solution to providing more bandwidth in many fiber applications. The dense wavelength division multiplexing technique (DWDM) is seen as more fully utilizing the intrinsic capacity of fiber when compared to increasing the speed of data through time division multiplexing (TDM). The use of DWDM in the PT link was influenced primarily by cost of fiber rental and the use of new innovative optical methods applicable to future construction projects.

Three wavelengths are multiplexed /demultiplexed using DWDM.
The high power laser ( +10 dBm at Mux) from the PT site to the VLA at 1553.6 nm . The digital low power laser ( +2 dBm at Mux) from the PT site to the VLA at $\lambda_{2} 1530 \mathrm{~nm}$. The digital low power laser ( +2 dBm at Mux) from the VLA site to PT at $\lambda_{3} 1535 \mathrm{~nm}$.

DWDM techniques are now well understood and used extensively by the telecommunications industry.
Several filtering options were considered for the Mux/Demux networks.

| a. | Thin Film Dichroic Interference Filters $\quad$ DIF |
| :--- | :--- |
| b. | Bragg Grating Filters |
| c. | Fused Biconic Taper, Hybrid Fused Cascaded Fiber FCF |
| d. | Array Waveguide Filters |

Fused Biconic Taper filter networks were considered but the precise sinusoidal passband characteristics meant any misalignment in laser wavelength would compromise maximum signal transmission. This was particularly relevant to the analog transmission window where a variety of lasers, , DFB and Erbium solid state were possibilities with wavelengths not necessarily coinciding with the ITU grid for the high power devices required. Although for the small number of channels involved they could be made quite cheap.

Bragg Grating filters were not offered as a standard off the shelf package and have potentially serious back reflection issues that would require extra expensive devices such as optical isolators.

Array Waveguide filters are still pretty much a laboratory device, and although they hold great promise for inexpensive highly integrated assembly, in the quantities required for the PT project it would have been very expensive. AWG filters also have serious thermal stability issues.

Thin film DIF filters available from E-Tek Dynamics were considered a mature product line offering a 3 port, 2 wavelength band device that is stable with temperature for approximately $\$ 600$ a piece. The devices selected either DWFI (narrow band ITU) or BWDM broadband offer low insertion loss ( $<0.7 \mathrm{~dB}$ ) between any two ports with a flat transmission window, approximately 1 nm width used in the digital bidirectional link. Alignment of the digital DFB lasers to the required ITU operating wavelength was relatively easy to adjust with temperature at $0.1 \mathrm{~nm} /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
Enormous isolation between the the digital ITU WDM channels is realized by the filter $20 \mathrm{~dB} / \mathrm{nm}$ roll off as the digital lasers are spaced by 5 nm .
The signal to noise affecting the BER of the link becomes limited by the link power budget extinction ratio and the 32 dB ORL presented by the Rayleigh Backscatter for the 104 km "long line" and not from effects such as intersymbol interference from wavelength crosstalk.

Crosstalk does however become a more involved issue in the context of the high dynamic range analog channel.
With the analog optical carrier modulated at $\sim 1 \%$ to maintain linearity requirements for the RF from PT back to the VLA the RF is in optical sidebands at -42 dBm at the VLA photodetector. Interfering spectra (ie crosstalk) must be atleast 20 dB electrical ( 10 dB optical) below this figure to meet link specifications. Hence no interfering spectra can exceed -49 dBm . An optional additional BWDM filter, with further

ITU STANDARD FIBER-OPTIC TELECOMMUNICATION CHANNEL: FREQUENCY AND WAVELENGTHS

| CH | FREQUENCY (GHz) | Wavelength (nm) | CH | Frequency | Wavelength ( nm ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15 | 191500 | 1565.50 | 44 | 194400 | 1542.14 |
| 16 | 191600 | 1564.68 | 45 | 194500 | 1541.35 |
| 17 | 191700 | 1563.86 | 46 | 194600 | 1540.56 |
| 18 | 191800 | 1563.05 | 47 | 194700 | 1539.77 |
| 19 | 191900 | 1562.23 | 48 | 194800 | 1538.98 |
| 20 | 192000 | 1561.42 | 49 | 194900 | 1538.19 |
| 21 | 192100 | 1560.61 | 50 | 195000 | 1537.40 |
| 22 | 192200 | 1559.79 | 51 | 195100 | 1536.61 |
| 23 | 192300 | 1558.98 | 52 | 195200 | 1535.82 |
| 24 | 192400 | 1558.17 | 53 | 195300 | $1535.04<$ |
| 25 | 192500 | 1557.36 | 54 | 195400 | 1534.25 |
| 26 | 192600 | 1556.55 | 55 | 195500 | 1533.47 |
| 27 | 192700 | 1555.75 | 56 | 195600 | 1562.68 |
| 28 | 192800 | 1554.94 | 57 | 195700 | 1531.90 |
| 29 | 192900 | 1554.13 | 58 | 195800 | 1531.12 |
| 30 | 193000 | 1553.33 | 59 | 195900 | $1530.33 \leftarrow$ |
| 31 | 193100 | 1552.52 | 60 | 196000 | 1529.55 |
| 32 | 193200 | 1551.72 | 61 | 196100 | 1528.77 |
| 33 | 193300 | 1550.92 | 62 | 196200 | 1527.99 |
| 34 | 193400 | 1550.12 | 63 | 196300 | 1527.22 |
| 35 | 193500 | 1549.32 | 64 | 196400 | 1526.44 |
| 36 | 193600 | 1548.51 | 65 | 196500 | 1525.66 |
| 37 | 193700 | 1547.72 | 66 | 196600 | 1524.89 |
| 38 | 193800 | 1546.92 | 67 | 196700 | 1524.11 |
| 39 | 193900 | 1546.12 | 68 | 196800 | 1523.34 |
| 40 | 194000 | 1545.32 | 69 | 196900 | 1522.56 |
| 41 | 194100 | 1544.53 | 70 | 197000 | 1521.79 |
| 42 | 194200 | 1543.73 | 71 | 197100 | 1521.02 |
| 43 | 194300 | 1542.94 | 72 | 197200 | 1520.25 |

Frequencies are accurate values, wavelengths are dependent on the media properties.

## HIGH ISOLATION OPTICAL MUX / DEMUX



| $\lambda_{1}$ | 1553.6 nm |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\lambda_{2}$ | 1530 |
| nm |  |
| $\lambda_{3}$ | 1535 nm |

VLA
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3 Port Thin Film Filter


DIGITAL CHANNEL ALIGNMENT OVERLAY


## RECEIVE PORTS WITH 25dB FIBER



## LASER PORTS ISOLATION



OPTICAL NETWORK LOSS EVALUATION


$\lambda_{1} \quad 1553.6 \mathrm{~nm}$

$\lambda_{2} 1530 \mathrm{~nm}$




TEST CONFIGURATION

## ALIGNMENT of $\lambda 2 \quad \lambda 3$ optical drop filters
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\begin{aligned}
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\end{aligned}
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## COUPLING $\wedge 1 \lambda 2 \lambda 3$ intoa SMF



PLOT of 1530 nm DFB laser


PLOT of 1535 nm DFB laser


Plot of all Lasers after being Muxed onto a songle fiber for test purpose only.
$\lambda_{1} \quad 1553.6 \mathrm{~nm}$

$\lambda_{2} \quad 1530 \mathrm{~nm}$ $\stackrel{T}{\text { Dig }}$
+2U8m
$\sqrt{\text { E2000 }}$
ETEK
DWFI400059110
SN 62765639
$\lambda_{3} \quad 1535 \mathrm{~nm}$

ptds Cad simulation of four wave mixing - Dan Edmari,
like Third
Pie Town 3 Single Fiber Model (No Modulation) $L \sim 1553.5 \mathrm{~nm}$ $\mathrm{P}=10 \mathrm{~mW}$
$\mathrm{dL}=1 \mathrm{MH} \mathrm{Z}$
(Fiber instability)


Laser 3
$\mathrm{f}=105.3 \mathrm{THz}$ L~1535 nm $P=4 \mathrm{~mW}$ $\mathrm{dL}=1 \mathrm{MHz}$

distortion,
aser 3


## DIGITAL TRANSCEIVER M32

## DIGITAL TRANSCEIVER MODULE - M32

A digital transceiver module consists of two cards, the G -link card and the fiber optic modem card. A digital transceiver module is required at each end of the PT link for transmission of VLA waveguide synchronization ,noise diode and Monitor Control System data and other miscellaneous signals if desired.

## The G-link Card

The G-link card uses the LSI Hewlett Packard HDMP-1022 Tx and HDMP-1024 Rx ,80 pin Mquad chip set pair. The Basic Tx function is to time multiplex a 16 bit wide parallel loaded word into a $\mathrm{f}_{\text {cock }} \times 20$ serial ECL bit stream (nominally $200 \mathrm{Mbit} / \mathrm{sec}$ ). The Rx function is the inverse operation.

By using 2 G -link transceiver cards separated by transmission line, a point to point "virtual ribbon cable" is created.

The LSI chips hide much complexity from the user.

| The Tx chip provides | - Parallel Word Input <br> - High speed clock multiplication <br> - Frame encoding <br> - Parallel to serial mux <br> - ECL ouputs |
| :---: | :---: |
| The Rx chip provides | - Clock recovery <br> - Data recovery <br> - Demux <br> - Frame decoding <br> - Frame synchronization <br> - Frame error detection <br> - ECL input |

The DC balance of the line code is automatically maintained by the chipset.
In the M32 the G-link circuit is hardwired in a full duplex configuration,handling all the issues of link start-up ,maintenance and simple error detection required of a bidirectional system. An important repercussion of this is both directions of the digital link MUST be operational for the system to start-up.

Some simple options are configurable on board by S1-refer block diagram.
For normal operation 16bit mode is selected, hence only D0 to D15 is used in operation. D0 is the antenna or central buffer I/O. The D0 line on the Rx chip has attached interface circuitry for the VLA style AB or CB . It removes the DC component from the waveform as well as provide the nominal 2 vpp waveform required.

A great versatility of the G-link configuration is the asynchronous transport of signals from point to point when signal are derived from clocks of varied origins. A small jitter of $1 /\left(2 \mathrm{f}_{\text {dock }}\right)$ is added to each line D0-D15. For transfer of data in the kilohertz range the effects are negligible.

As the G -link chip sets are quite complex, further operational details are available in the HP design document (5966-1 183E 9/97)

## Digital Fiber Optics Modem Card

The ECL compatible $\mathrm{D}_{\text {out }}, \mathrm{D}_{\text {in }}$ signals from the G -link cards are only intended to drive short sections of copper cable. To utilize the 104 km fiber to PT a fiber optic transceiver card is required with particular optical requirements needed for DWDM.

As the bi-directional link has to work on a single fiber ,each direction is confined to a specific optical channel of the 1550 nm ITU grid. PT to the VLA at 1530 nm , VLA to PT at 1535 nm .

The laser transmitter is a directly modulated design. The laser diode submodule exhibiting low wavelength chirp characteristics for $2.5 \mathrm{Gbit} / \mathrm{sec}$ in $2000 \mathrm{ps} / \mathrm{nm}$ high dispersion fiber. For operation at $200 \mathrm{Mbit} / \mathrm{sec}$ chirp effects can be ignored. As with many telecommunication DFB laser submodules the packages are common anode. Mechanical mounting and proper thermal dissipation fixes the case at Ovdc or chassis GND. In order to use this a negative bias is required on the cathode of the DFB. The MAX3667 SONET laser driver IC with Automatic Power Control is used with the usual IC Vcc made chassis GND and the IC gnd made -5vdc.

Two adjustments are required to set the fiber modem card. The modulation depth is set by Modset ( 50 k pot) and adjusts the peak to peak power excursion with modulation. The average optical power is determined by the Apcset ( 50 k pot). A combination of the two adjustments will maximize the distinction between an optical " 1 " and a optical " 0 ", thus optimizing the extinction ratio.

Although modulation and bias current mirrors are available and scaled currents can be calculated by measuring the differential voltages (use isolated CRO as -5 vdc is now "GND") across the modmon and biasmon resistors the more definitive adjustment uses the M32 in loopback.

By using the G-link card or G-link evaluation Tx card to supply a DC balanced bit stream to the fiber transceiver and looping the fiber Tx port back to the fiber Rx port via a 26 dB attenuator or better still 104 km test spool, adjust the Modset and Apcset trimpots for optimum eyepattern opening and minimal jitter. In practice this will NOT correspond to maximum laser optical power due to MAX3667 limitations on modulation depth and heat dissipation. Optical output powers of 0 dBm were found to provide best eyepattern results.

A crucial adjustment is that of laser wavelength. The optical wavelength must be set precisely within the transmission window of the fixed DWDM filter channel. Wavelength adjustment can only be effected by DFB temperature. Wavelength Electronics Corp PID control module HTC1500 provides exceptional thermal regulation. There are two adjustments on the HTCl 500 . The current limit should be set to lamp, use a 20 k trim pot adjusted to 10 k to simulate the DFB thermistor and 2 R 2 ohm resistor to simulate the Peltier cooler. Adjustment of the 10 K above and below $\mathrm{T}_{\text {set }}(\sim 1000 \mathrm{mV})$ will either heat or cool (ie fwd or reverse the current in the 2R2 load).

With the actual DFB installed the $\mathrm{T}_{\text {set }}$ monitor voltage should be $1000 \mathrm{mV}+/ .50 \mathrm{mV}$. The $\mathrm{T}_{\text {monitor }}$ should equal the $T_{s e t}$ within minutes of power up. Note the DFB thermistor has a negative slope ,thus an increase in package temperature will correspond to decrease in $\mathrm{T}_{\text {monitor }} . \mathrm{T}_{\text {monitor }}$ should not drop below 950 mV .

The optical Rx segment is essentially an ECL buffered submodule. The footprint corresponds to a SONET standard and are available from several vendors. The Rx module contains the PIN Rx diode ,AGC and limiting amplifier. Laser Diode Inc can supply a plug in replacements for $52,155,622 \mathrm{Mbit} / \mathrm{sec}$ modules.

In the current scheme the $155 \mathrm{Mbit} / \mathrm{sec}$ unit works well at $200 \mathrm{Mbit} / \mathrm{sec}$ data and an average light level of -38 dBm . Typical receive light levels are -25 dBm , a healthy 13 dB link margin assures reliable operation.

For operation at $622 \mathrm{Mbit} / \mathrm{sec}$ a negative 5 vdc is recommended on the PIN diode to enhance bandwidth.
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$200 \mathrm{MBit} / \mathrm{sec}$



## REVISED DESIGN DIGITAL TRANSCIEVER CARD



EYE PATTERN ANALYSIS


$$
\begin{aligned}
& N-\text { hemal noise }+ \text { shot t DIGITAL FIBER OPTIC LINK } \\
& \text { USING DIRECT MODULATION TRANSIMPEDANCE DESIGN }
\end{aligned}
$$

Designed : Ron Beresford April 98

$$
\mathbf{N}:=30
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& i:=0 . . N \\
& L_{i}:=i \quad d B \quad L f_{i}:=10^{-\left(\frac{L_{i}}{10}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
P_{\text {laser }}:=1 \cdot 10^{-3} \quad \mathrm{~W} \quad P_{\text {laserdBm }}:=10 \cdot \log \left(\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\text {laser }}}{10^{-3}}\right) \quad \text { Laser }:=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\text {laser }}}{10^{-3}} \mathrm{~mW}
$$

$$
\mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{idBm}}:=\mathrm{P}_{\text {laserdBm }}
$$

$$
P_{\text {in }}:=10^{-3} \cdot 10^{\left(\frac{P_{i d B m}}{10}\right)} \quad \mathrm{W} \quad \mathrm{SMF}^{\text {Launched into }}
$$

$$
I_{\text {dark }}:=1 \cdot 10^{-9} \quad \mathrm{~A} \quad \mathrm{e}:=1.6 \cdot 10^{-19} \quad \mathrm{C}
$$

$$
\eta:=0.80 \quad \frac{A}{W}
$$

$$
\text { Pout }_{i}:=L f_{i} \cdot P_{i n} \quad W
$$

$$
\Delta f:=200 \cdot 10^{6} \quad \mathrm{~Hz} \leqslant \text { Bit Nate }
$$

$$
R_{\mathrm{f}}:=100 \quad \Omega
$$

$$
\mathrm{k}:=1.38 \cdot 10^{-23} \frac{\mathrm{~W}}{\mathrm{~K} \cdot \mathrm{~Hz}} \quad \mathrm{~T}:=300 \quad \mathrm{~K}
$$

$$
\mathrm{RIN}_{\text {laser }}^{:=-165} \frac{\mathrm{db}}{\mathrm{~Hz}}
$$



BER for thermal noise system only
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## ASSESSMENT of DISPERSION

Spectral Width

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{N}:=0 . .100 & \text { ie } 0 \text { to } 0.1 \mathrm{~nm} \\
v_{\mathrm{N}}:=\frac{\mathrm{N}}{1000} & \mathrm{~nm}
\end{array}
$$

$\mathrm{L}:=0 . .100$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{c}}:=20 \quad \frac{\mathrm{ps}}{\pi \mathrm{~m} \cdot \mathrm{~km}} \quad \text { Dispersion constant } \\
& \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{ch}_{\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{~L}}}:=\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{c}} \cdot \mathrm{~L} \cdot \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{N}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Dispersion Plots missing

MD

System Risetime

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k_{\mathbf{p}}:=0.1 \frac{\mathrm{ps}}{\mathrm{~nm} \cdot \sqrt{\mathrm{~km}}} \\
& \mathrm{D}_{\mathbf{p}_{\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{~L}}}:=\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{p}} \cdot v_{\mathrm{N}} \sqrt{\mathrm{~L}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\Gamma_{d_{N, L}}:=D_{c_{N}, \mathrm{~L}}+D_{\mathbf{p}_{\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{~L}}}
$$

$$
\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{r}}:=1 \cdot 10^{9} \mathrm{~Hz} \quad \Gamma_{\mathrm{r}}:=\frac{0.35}{\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{r}}} \cdot 10^{12} \quad \Gamma_{\mathrm{r}}=350
$$

$$
\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{t}}:=1 \cdot 10^{!} \mathrm{Hz} \quad \Gamma_{\mathrm{t}}:=\frac{0.35}{\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{t}}} \cdot 10^{12}
$$

$$
\Gamma_{\text {sss }_{\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{~L}}}:=\sqrt{\Gamma_{\mathrm{r}}^{2}+\Gamma_{\mathrm{t}}^{2}+\left(\Gamma_{\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{~L}}\right)^{2}}
$$

psecs

The 3dB Electrical BW

$$
\mathrm{f}_{3 \mathrm{~dB}_{\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{~L}}}:=\frac{0.35}{\Gamma_{\text {syst }_{\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{~L}}}} \cdot 10^{6} \quad \mathrm{MHz}
$$

## P.-TOWN G-LINK MODULE






## RF FIBER OPTIC LINK

## RF FIBER OPTIC LINK

The purpose of the RF fiber optic link is to transport the A $1325 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{B} 1425 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C} 1575 \mathrm{MHz}$ and D 1675 MHz IF's from Pie Town to the VLA. As each channel represents a noise bandwidth of 50 MHz , the combined bandwidth is 200 MHz ( 280 MHz including the 70 MHz Bandwidth expansion). Additionally a 1200 MHz is combined with the IF transmission to enable "one way phase" reckoning, useful for gauging the site to site differential Maser frequency.

Preliminary design studies focused on a digital transmission scheme, essentially moving the samplers to PT and transporting the digitized IF's over the link to the VLA correlator.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Total Composite bite rate } & - \text { analog bandwidth } \times \text { nyquist } \times \text { quantizaton levels } \\
& -200 \mathrm{MHz} \times 2 \times 4 \text { bits } \\
& -1.6 \mathrm{Gbit} / \mathrm{sec}
\end{aligned}
$$

With a digital transmission scheme ,as per SONET standards ,timing jitter of one tenth the bit period are acceptable. At $1.6 \mathrm{Gbit} / \mathrm{sec}$ aberrations of 60 ps are tolerable. A "snapshot" of the IF's are made and transported across the link uncorrupted or with minimal BER. For radio astronomical applications the BER can be as poor as $1 \times 10^{-5}$. For comparisons sake a telecommunication link needs to be better than $1 \times 10^{-9}$.

Unfortunately the use of a digital transmission system for IF transfer would present a quandary of invasive interface problems for the existing VLA architecture.

Additionally there is still a need for analog systems in the PT equipment. To maintain maximum compatibility with all receiving bands common to the VLA and PT both USB and LSB conversion ability is required. Fringe rotation is required unless PT is made the center of the array, which is considered undesirable. Analog processes that would need to be done somewhere. In addition the use of standard VLA waveguide channels 1325 to 1675 MHz with the use of VLA LO modules offers significant reuse of VLA control software.

Conceptually there appeared no reason why an Analog IF transmission scheme wouldn't work provided particular attention is paid to Dynamic Range DR , Signal to Noise SNR and RF phase.

Ease of interface and familiarity with the existing VLA by using RF transmission essentially trades the less stringent ( 60 ps ) time domain tolerances of digital implementation for very tight frequency domain characteristics, eg $1^{\circ} \mathrm{RF}$ phase error at 1675 MHz or 1.7 ps . Or to paraphrase the RF link quality would need to be more than an order of magnitude better than a like digital link.

## Direct and External Modulation

Direct modulation is inherently inefficient and the effect of laser wavelength chirping at $1 \mathrm{GHz} / \mathrm{mA}$ $(1 \mathrm{GHz} \sim 0.01 \mathrm{~nm})$ of bias change would lead to an unusable system when multiplied by the $1800 \mathrm{ps} / \mathrm{nm}$ fiber dispersion over 104 km . Unwanted phase irregularities of many hundreds of degrees could be expected.

By externally modulating an ultra stable CW laser with a MZ modulator wavelength chirping is eliminated. In addition, unlike a direct modulation scheme, increasing the CW laser power will reduce the link noise figure.

## Dynamic Range Specification

The dynamic range of phased arrays for radio astronomy is often a discussion point. Radio astronomy signals are defined as having white noise characteristics and final system signal to noise ratios of 20dB are adequate for digitization and good astronomy. Unfortunately the increased demand by the commercial for electromagnetic spectrum has led to large amplitude narrow band interfering signals in many radio

## Practical Limitations to Link Dynamic Range

Practical limitations in obtaining a high dynamic range externally modulated RF link mainly revolves around maximizing the highest possible optical levels in the link, ie maximizing light at the photodetector. It should be remembered that increasing levels in the optical domain by 1 dB will increase signal levels in the RF domain by 2 dB as predicated by the photodiode receiver square law, so the effects are significant.

The first and foremost step in maximizing the link dynamic range was to minimize the optical loss between PT and the VLA. A point to point 104 km dark fiber connection was established by fusion splicing all intermediate junctions. As a result a loss of 21.7 dB at the analog laser wavelength of $\sim 1554 \mathrm{~nm}$ was achieved. Some further reduction (perhaps a dB) may have been achieved by redoing some of the WNMT interconnects ,but this was not pursued for practical reasons. The total point to point loss of the best fiber at this wavelength including optical multiplexing networks was 25.7 dB .

Photodetector efficiency for InGas PIN diodes is quite high in the 1550 nm band with a responsivity of $0.8 \mathrm{~mA} / \mathrm{mW}$ and is inherently a very linear process. The use of Avalanche photodiodes was considered in to increase the SNR by approximately 4-6dB. This is however a non-linear process inappropriate for the broadband IF transmission and more suited for digital only or single tone RF demodulation. In the final implementation a received optical power of -15.6 dBm was obtained at the PIN diode.

CAD modeling revealed that there is a one for one relation ship between the noise figure of the amplifier immediately proceeding the PIN detector and the noise floor of the link. Hence fitting a quality 2 dB NF preamplifier in place of a 4 dB NF dropped the link noise floor 2 dB .

The PIN diode typically has an output impedance of 500 ohm. The input impedance of the proceeding preamplifier is 50 ohm. This mismatch presents a significant inefficiency. For RF in the $1.2-1.8 \mathrm{GHz}$ range construction of a low loss broadband transformer was seen as a dubious pursuit given project deadlines. Vector network analysis of the final "mismatched" configuration revealed excellent 50 MHz passbands for VLA channels A,B,C,D. Resistive matching was not required.

In long or high loss fiber optic links the link noise floor as referred to the input of the link (modulator input) is determined mainly from the thermal noise of the system. Modeling did however reveal that laser RIN noise would also contribute but to a lesser degree. The DFB lasers deployed in the final implementation exhibit very low RIN noise at better than $-160 \mathrm{~dB} / \mathrm{Hz}$ for the RF frequencies used.

Perhaps the most significant issue requiring attention was the effects of Stimulated Brillouin Scatter (SBS). This is a non linear effect with narrow line width high power optical carriers and long fibers. The forward travelling photonic wave interacts with the glass molecule phonic vibrations. A backward travelling CW line offset by 11 GHz is generated. Optical power is wasted in this process decreasing the SNR of the desired 1550 nm forward wave. In practice without proper attention to SBS launch powers of only 4 mW are possible into standard aperture fiber, increasing beyond this SBS threshold can actually decrease the system SNR.

astronomy bands or in closely adjacent spectrum. In the future significant advances will need to be made in interference mitigation.

On this premise the PT Link Dynamic Range specification should be made as large as reasonably achievable. It turns out that a third order intercept based dynamic range of 30 dB is a practically achievable goal. This leaves a moderate 10 dB dynamic headroom above the signal given a SNR of 20 dB .

## DYNAMIC RANGE OVERVIEW



It is clear that out of band interference CW signals can "mix" in the non-linearity of the fiber link and generate in band spectral components. The $2^{\text {nd }}$ order suppression is determined by the MZ modulator bias point and usually a concern with very wideband transmission. As the PT link is a suboctave system with signals in the range of 1200 MHz to 1800 MHz it is only the $3^{\text {nd }}$ order distortion products that are relevant. This is calculable from the third order intercept point of the MZ modulator and other devices cascaded in the link.

## RF Phase

The two main considerations for knowledge of link delay (phase) are for reckoning of the 1200 MHz tone derived from the PT maser to correct for differences in LO frequency between sites, that is a correction to the sky frequency and secondly to correct for aberrations in phase of the IF signals at Ch A,B,C,D. There are several mechanisms responsible for phase anomalies on the PT Link.

Perhaps the most definitive way of knowing the relative phase of PT with respect to the VLA is to use radio astronomical calibration. This can produce very satisfactory results provided the atmospheric seeing is the same for both sites. This may not hold ,particularly at $\mathbf{Q}$ band sky frequencies and poor weather.

Analog fiber optic links have intrinsic physical properties which if unaccounted can compromise the data quality. In single mode fiber as the light signal propagates down the waveguide. In effect only a single polarization is launched into the fiber but the orthogonal "rays" have taken different paths, encountered different refractive index (birefringence) and emerged from the fiber receive end with a differential group delay. This is a relatively slow effect (scale of minutes),excited by mechanical stress on the fiber length and changes in fiber temperature.

The PT link 104 km fiber has a quoted PMD of $0.5 \mathrm{ps} / \mathrm{km}^{1 / 2}$ or 5.1 ps rms. Given the highest frequency in the link is 1800 MHz ,period 556 ps , a $\phi_{\text {rms }}=3.3^{\circ}$ can be expected. Although for concatenated lengths of fiber as for PT there is a statistical improvement factor .
is another effect for consideration. Standard jacketed single mode fiber exhibits a $10 \mathrm{ppm} /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ thermal coefficient of expansion. With large above ground swings in temperature on short segments of cable and small underground swings in temperature on long segments of cable the total phase variation with time is calculated at $0.025^{\circ}$ phase/sec at 1200 MHz . Actual round trip phase measurement at 1200 MHz revealed $0.006^{\circ}$ phase $/ \mathrm{sec}$. This assumes fiber reciprocity in a two fiber test scheme.

Round Trip Phase on a single long fiber line is made difficult by the Rayleigh Backscatter Effect which in practice limits the ORL to 33 dB , or approximately $1^{\circ}$ of phase error at what ever frequency ( 1200 MHz ) is distributed. Hence using a 1200 MHz signal to calibrate the phase between sites could yield errors of $30^{\circ}$ rms at $Q$ band. Increasing the RT LO signal to noise would entail the use of separate lasers of different wavelengths at each end of the link. Different wavelength lasers will generate huge errors in a system with chromatic dispersion as high as $1800 \mathrm{ps} / \mathrm{nm}$.
are contribute to a change in signal delay and hence phase. With careful design these effects are reduced to minimal amounts over typical calibrator intervals of 30 minutes. Deliberate spreading of the laser CW line to overcome SBS limitations introduces a $1^{\circ} \mathrm{rms}$ phase error at audio rates.
are known to exist. For the PT to VLA this could be as high as 1 part in $10^{13}$ This will generate a differential frequency of around $1 \mu \mathrm{~Hz}$ at 5 MHz . At $Q$ band ( 45 GHz ) the difference in Sky LO's is 9 mHz or $0.056^{\circ} / \mathrm{sec}$.

Analysis will continue to determine how best to use the 1200 MHz tone for the site to site LO coherence. Perhaps a combination of 1200 MHz tone reckoning and astronomical phase calibration will yield true LO phase reckoning.

## PT LINK ANALOG FIBER OPTIC LINK USING EXTERNAL MODULATION




1436 MHz

1310MHz


TOI $=$ Ttone $+\frac{\text { Ttone }- \text { TOproduct }}{2} \quad$ SFDR $=\frac{2}{3}($ TOI - Noise $)$




## 3. RETURN LOSS MEASUREMENT



## 1. UNMODULATED OPTICAL SIGNAL





1. UNMODULATED OPTICAL SIGNAL

AFD3040120-20P-MP
NF 3dB
$\mathrm{G}=17 \mathrm{~dB}$
4-12 GHz
AFD3040120-47LN
LASERTR
QDMH2
InGas PIN
NF 3dB

| $P_{\text {fiber }} \mathrm{dBm}$ | SBS Peak 10.8GHz dBm |
| :---: | :---: |
| 15.5 att out of path | -35.5 |
| 14.1 | -44 |
| 13.1 | -52 |
| 12.1 | -60 |
| 11.1 | -66 |
| 10.1 | $<-68$ Link Noise Floor |

## 2. MODULATED OPTICAL SIGNAL



## 3. RETURN LOSS MEASUREMENT



$\frac{\Delta \mathrm{L}}{\Delta \mathrm{T}} \quad=10 \mathrm{ppm} /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \quad$ coefficient of thermal expansion for Standard Singlemode fiber.
Lets assume the cable is buried at a depth of 1 meter where the diurnal $\Delta T$ is less than $0.1{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} /$ day or $0.004^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{Hr}$

Lets assume that in 104 kms the cable exits the ground for add/drop and splicing etc ,say 10 locations. At each of these locations 10 m of cable is exposed to a $\Delta \mathrm{T}=20^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{Hr}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta \mathrm{L}_{\text {total }} & =\Delta \mathrm{L}_{\text {buried }}+\Delta \mathrm{L}_{\text {exposed }} \text { per hour } \\
& =104.000 \mathrm{~m} \times 10 \mathrm{ppm} \times 0.004+10 \times 20 \mathrm{~m} \times 10 \mathrm{ppm} \times 20 \\
& =0.004 \mathrm{~m}+0.04 \mathrm{~m} \\
& =44 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{Hr} \\
& =0.012 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{sec} \\
\lambda_{1200 \mathrm{MHZ}} & =\frac{\mathrm{C}}{\mathrm{fn}} \\
& =\frac{3 \times 10^{8}}{1200 \times 10^{6} \times 1.45} \\
& =0.172 \mathrm{~m} \\
\frac{\Delta \Phi}{\Delta t} & =\frac{\Delta \mathrm{L}_{\text {total }}}{\lambda_{1200 \mathrm{MHz}}} \times 360^{\circ} \\
& =\frac{0.012}{172} \times 360 \\
& =0.025{ }^{\circ} / \mathrm{sec}
\end{aligned}
$$

## POTENTIAL SOURCES OF ROUND TRIP PHASE ERROR

LASER FREQUENCY AGING

LASER THERMAL DRIFT

FIBER DISPERSION WITH TEMPERATURE

> nominal $\lambda=1554 \mathrm{~nm}$ for 208 km PT return length $D=3.74 \mathrm{~ns} / \mathrm{nm}$
for $\delta \boldsymbol{\delta}=0.006 \% \mathrm{sec} \quad \delta \tau=0.014 \mathrm{ps} / \mathrm{sec}$
hence $\delta \lambda=3.74 \times 1 \sigma^{6} \overline{\mathrm{~nm}} / \mathrm{sec}$
$=0.32 \mathrm{~nm} /$ day or $118 \mathrm{~nm} / \mathrm{yr}$
Normal aging is $100 \mathrm{GHz} / \mathrm{yr}$ ie $1 \mathrm{~nm} / \mathrm{yr}$ or 1620 degrees /year at 1200 MHz or 4.4 degrees phase/day ....................so this is UNLIKELY!

The Laser is believed to be stable to $0.001^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
With Laser Temp dependence of $0.1 \mathrm{~nm} / \mathrm{C}$
The error in $\lambda$ will be 0.0001 nm or 0.1 picometer
The error in delay will be 0.374 ps or $\Delta \leqslant 0.16$ degrees at 1200 MHz

Even if the Thermal Stability were 10x worse it would only account for 1.6 degrees of phase. In addition it is unlikely the laser thermal drift would continue monotonically in one direction. $\qquad$ UNLIKELY

Generally : $\quad \frac{\Delta D(T)}{\Delta \lambda} \quad-0.02 \mathrm{~nm} / \mathrm{C} \quad$ for SMF
Thus if $\mathrm{D}=3.74 \mathrm{~ns} / \mathrm{nm}$
$D(T)=37 \mathrm{ps} / \mathrm{C}$
For a complete turn in phase at 1200 MHz (Period 833 pS )
The entire 208 km section would need $\Delta T=22 \mathrm{C}$
UNLIKELY


$V_{\text {thermistor }}=1104.96 \mathrm{mV}+/-0.05 \mathrm{mV}$ Temperature $=26 \mathrm{C}+/-0.001 \mathrm{C}$ $\lambda=1553.6 \mathrm{~nm}+/-0.110$ picometer

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\Delta \lambda}{\Delta T} & =\frac{1553.98-1553.66 \mathrm{~nm}}{26-23 \mathrm{C}} \\
& =0.11 \mathrm{~nm} / \mathrm{C} \\
\frac{\Delta V}{\Delta T} & =50 \mathrm{mV} / \mathrm{C} \quad 100 \mathrm{uA} \text { bias } \\
& =0.0022 \mathrm{~nm} / \mathrm{mV}
\end{aligned}
$$

## PHASE AB RESULTS

With 104 km dispersive fiber $\quad \Delta \Phi=6 \mathrm{deg} @ 1200 \mathrm{MHz}$ in 54 secs
With 25km LEAF fiber $\quad \Delta \Phi=1.5 \mathrm{deg} @ 1200 \mathrm{MHz}$ in 54 secs
Since the 104 km of fiber with $1870 \mathrm{ps} / \mathrm{nm}$ of dispersion encountered the same change in RF phase per unit length as the low dispersion 25 km fiber total dispersion $50 \mathrm{ps} / \mathrm{nm}$ the effects of dispersion as a first approximation can be disregarded and all phase effects attributed to the 7ppm / Coefficient of thermal expansion for glass.

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
\lambda_{1200} & =\frac{\mathrm{C}}{\mathrm{Nf}} & \mathrm{~N}=1.5 \text { glass } & \\
& =163 \mathrm{~mm} & & \Delta \phi \\
& =6 \mathrm{deg} / 54 \mathrm{sec} \\
\mathrm{~T}_{1200} & =833 \mathrm{ps} & & \frac{\Delta L}{\Delta T}=7 \mathrm{ppm} / \mathrm{C}
\end{array}
$$

Connlusinn - The fiher temnerature was rhanoino at $0.25 \mathrm{~K} / \mathrm{hr}$

## LASER DIODE THERMISTOR Steinhart-Hart Equation

Typical 10k ohm Thermistor performance
$\frac{1}{T}:=A+B \cdot \ln (R)+C \cdot(\ln R)^{3} \quad T=\operatorname{deg} K \quad R=\Omega$
$\mathrm{A}:=1.1270 \cdot 10^{-3} \mathrm{~B}:=2.3429 \cdot 10^{-4} \mathrm{C}:=8.7298 \cdot 10^{-8} \quad$ some typical constants
N: =0,1. 20000
$\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{N}}:=9900+0.01 \cdot \mathrm{~N}$
$T_{N}:=\left[\left[\frac{1}{A+B \cdot \ln \left(R_{N}\right)+C \cdot\left(\ln \left(R_{N}\right)\right)^{3}}\right]-273\right] \quad$ deg celcius
With I bias $=100 \mathrm{uA} \quad \mathrm{I}_{\text {bias }}:=100 \cdot 10^{-6} \quad V_{\mathrm{N}}:=\mathrm{I}_{\text {bias }} \cdot \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{N}}$
$\lambda_{\mathrm{N}}:=0.1 \cdot\left(\left(\mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{N}}-\mathrm{T}_{10000}\right)\right) \quad \mathrm{nm}$



NOISE FIGURE SPECIFICATION for FIBER LINK


Specification : The Fiber Optic Link shall not degrade the system temperature by more than 1\%.
$\mathrm{i}:=0 . .500$
$\mathrm{T}_{\text {sysFO }}:=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{sys}} \cdot\left(1+\frac{\mathrm{i}}{10000}\right) \quad$ now $\quad \mathrm{T}_{\text {sysFO }}=\mathrm{T}_{1}+\frac{\mathrm{T}_{2}}{\mathrm{G}_{1}}+\frac{\mathrm{T}_{3}}{\mathrm{G}_{1} \cdot \mathrm{G}_{2}}$
$T_{3_{i}}:=\left(T_{\text {sysFO }_{i}}-\mathrm{T}_{1}-\frac{\mathrm{T}_{2}}{\mathrm{G}_{1}}\right) \cdot \mathrm{G}_{1} \cdot \mathrm{G}_{2} \quad \quad \mathrm{EIN}_{3_{i}}:=10 \cdot \log \left(1.38 \cdot 10^{-20} \cdot \mathrm{~T}_{3_{i}}\right)$
$\mathrm{NF}_{3_{i}}:=\mathrm{EIN}_{3}+174$


## THE CALCULATION of RAYLEIGH BACKSCATTER

From data supplied by ALCATEL namely the RBS (Rayleigh Backscatter coefficient)

$$
\text { RBS }=-81.7 \mathrm{~dB} / \mathrm{m} @ 1550 \mathrm{~nm}
$$

It is possible to calculate the ORL (Optical Return Loss) at given lengths of fiber. $H P$ quotes a value of $R B S=-73 \mathrm{~dB} / \mathrm{m}$

RBS $:=-73 . \quad \frac{d B}{m}$
$R_{\text {rbs }}:=10^{\frac{\text { RBS }}{10}} \quad \frac{1}{\mathrm{~m}} \quad$ expressed as a ratio
$\alpha_{\mathrm{s}}:=3.9 \cdot 10^{-5} \quad \frac{1}{\mathrm{~m}} \quad \begin{aligned} & \text { Rayleigh scattering attenuation only } \\ & \text { about } 0.17 \mathrm{~dB} / \mathrm{m}\end{aligned}$
$S:=\frac{R_{\mathrm{rbs}}}{\alpha_{\mathrm{s}}} \quad S=1.285 \cdot 10^{-3} \quad$ The Scatter Capture Ratio
$\alpha_{\mathrm{db}}:=0.19 \quad \frac{\mathrm{db}}{\mathrm{km}} \quad \alpha:=\frac{\alpha_{\mathrm{db}}}{10 \cdot \log \left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{l}}\right)} \quad \alpha=0.044 \quad$ loss per km

L: $=0 . .150$
$\operatorname{ORL}(\mathrm{L}):=-10 \cdot \log \left[\left(\frac{\mathrm{~S}}{2}\right) \cdot\left(1-\mathrm{e}^{-2 \alpha \cdot \mathrm{~L}}\right)\right]$


## ANALYSIS PROGRAM

## FOR Pie Town to VLA ANALOG FIBER OPTIC LINK

 USING EXTERNAL MODULATION \& EDFA HeadendDesigned : Ron Berestord March 98
SFDR calculation modified 1 Sept 98
$\mathrm{N}:=40$
$\mathrm{i}:=0 . \mathrm{N}$
$L_{i}:=\mathbf{i} \quad d B$
$L f_{i}:=10^{-\left(\frac{L_{i}}{10}\right)}$
All losses excluding modulator
$P_{\text {laser }}:=50 \cdot 10^{-3} \quad \mathrm{~W} \quad P_{\text {laserdBm }}:=10 \cdot \log \left(\frac{P_{\text {laser }}}{10^{-3}}\right) \quad$ Laser $:=\frac{P_{\text {laser }}}{10^{-3}} \quad \mathrm{~mW}$
$L_{\text {mod }}:=3.7 \mathrm{~dB} \quad \mathrm{~L}_{\text {bias }}:=3 \mathrm{~dB} \quad \mathrm{G}_{\text {EDFA }}:=0 \mathrm{~dB} \quad \mathrm{NF}_{\text {EDFA }}:=0 \mathrm{~dB}$
$P_{\text {idBm }}:=P_{\text {laserdBm }}-L_{\bmod }-L_{\text {bias }}+G_{\text {EDFA }}$
$P_{\text {in }}:=10^{-3} \cdot 10^{\left(\frac{P_{i d B m}}{10}\right)} \quad \mathrm{W} \quad \begin{aligned} & \text { SMF }\end{aligned}$
$I_{\text {dark }}:=1 \cdot 10^{-9} \quad \mathrm{~A} \quad \mathrm{e}:=1.6 \cdot 10^{-19} \quad \mathrm{C}$
$\eta:=0.80 \quad \frac{\mathrm{~A}}{\mathrm{~W}}$

Pout $_{i}:=L f_{i} \cdot P_{i n} \quad W$
$\Delta f:=200 \cdot 10^{6} \quad \mathrm{~Hz}$
$\mathrm{R}_{\text {out }}:=50 \quad \Omega$
$\mathrm{k}:=1.38 \cdot 10^{-23} \frac{\mathrm{~W}}{\mathrm{~K} \cdot \mathrm{~Hz}} \quad \mathrm{~T}:=300 \quad \mathrm{~K}$

RIN $_{\text {laser }}:=-160 \quad \frac{\mathrm{db}}{\mathrm{Hz}}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N_{\text {shot }}^{i}:=2 \cdot e \cdot\left(\eta \cdot \text { Pout }_{i}+I_{\text {dark }}\right) \cdot R_{\text {out }} \cdot \Delta f \quad W \\
& N_{t_{i}}:=k \cdot T \cdot \Delta f \quad W \\
& \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{RINdBm}_{\mathrm{i}}}:=\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{idBm}}+\mathrm{RIN}_{\text {laser }}+\mathrm{NF}_{\mathrm{EDFA}}\right)-\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{i}}+10 \cdot \log (\Delta f) \\
& \mathrm{N}_{\text {RINdBw }_{i}}:=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{RINdBm}_{i}}-30 \\
& \left.\mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{RINw}_{i}}:=10^{\left(\frac{\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{RINdB}}^{i}}{}\right.} 10\right) \\
& N_{\text {total }_{i}}:=N_{R I N w_{i}}+N_{\text {thi }_{i}}+N_{\text {shot }_{i}} \\
& P_{i d B m}=10.29 \quad \mathrm{dBm} \\
& \operatorname{Pin}_{m w}:=\frac{P_{\text {in }}}{10^{-3}} \\
& \operatorname{Pin}_{\mathrm{mw}}=10.69 \mathrm{~mW}
\end{aligned}
$$



Optimum : $=\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \mathrm{j} \leftarrow 0 \\ & \text { while }\left(\mathrm{N}_{\text {shot }_{\mathrm{j}}} \geq \mathrm{N}_{\text {th }_{\mathrm{j}}}\right) \\ & \mathrm{j} \leftarrow \mathrm{j}+\mathrm{l} \\ & \mathrm{j}\end{aligned}\right.$
$L_{\text {Optimum }}=16 \quad \mathrm{~dB}$ ie the maximum suggested loss allowed

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{p}:=50 \quad V_{\pi}:=2.7 \quad N_{d}:=1 \quad \begin{array}{l}
\text { Photodetector } \\
\text { impedance } \\
\text { match turns ratio }
\end{array} \\
& G_{i}:=\left(\frac{\mathrm{Lf}_{\mathrm{i}} \cdot \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{in}} \cdot \pi \cdot \eta \cdot \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{d}}}{2 \cdot \mathrm{~V}_{\pi}}\right)^{2} \cdot R_{\text {out } \cdot R_{\mathrm{p}}}^{G_{d B_{i}}:=10 \cdot \log \left(\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)} \\
& \mathrm{NF}_{\mathrm{i}}:=\frac{\mathrm{N}_{\text {total }}}{k \cdot T \cdot \mathbf{f f} \cdot \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{i}}} \\
& \mathrm{NF}_{\mathrm{dB}}:=10 \cdot \log \left(\mathrm{NF}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$



$$
P_{\text {IP3in }}:=10 \cdot \log \left(\frac{8 \cdot v_{\pi}^{2}}{2 \cdot \pi^{2} \cdot R_{p}}\right)+30
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{\text {IP3in }}=17.715 \mathrm{dBm} \quad \text { TOI in dBm } \\
& \mathbb{P P}_{2_{i}}:=G_{i} \cdot 10^{\left(\frac{P_{\text {IP3 }}}{10}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
N_{\text {floor }}:=10 \cdot \log (k \cdot T)+30
$$

$$
\mathrm{mds}_{\mathrm{i}}:=\mathrm{N}_{\text {floor }}+10 \cdot \log (\Delta f)+\mathrm{NF}_{\mathrm{dB}_{\mathrm{i}}}
$$

$$
\mathrm{SFDR}_{\mathrm{i}}:=\frac{2}{3} \cdot\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{IP} 3 \mathrm{in}}-\mathrm{mds}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)
$$

## Perfect Noise floor at Modulator dBm/Hz

the minimum detecteable signal dBm at the input to modulator


## Postamplifier constants

## ZEL 1217 ZKL-2 ZFL2500

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{dB} 3}:=65 & \mathrm{G}_{3}:=10^{\left(\frac{\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{dB}}}{10}\right)} \\
\mathrm{NF}_{\mathrm{dB} 3}:=2 & \mathrm{NF}_{3}:=10^{\left(\frac{\mathrm{NF}_{\mathrm{dB}}}{10}\right)} \\
\mathrm{IP}_{\mathrm{dBm} 3}:=35 & \mathrm{PP}_{3}:=10^{\left(\frac{\mathrm{P} 3_{\mathrm{dBm}}}{10}\right)} \mathrm{mW}
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
N F_{\text {cascade }_{i}}:=\mathrm{NF}_{\mathrm{i}}+\left(\frac{\mathrm{NF}_{3}-1}{\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{i}}}\right) & \mathrm{NF}_{\text {cascadedB }_{i}}:=10 \cdot \log \left(\mathrm{NF}_{\text {cascade }_{i}}\right) \\
\mathrm{IP}_{\text {cascade }_{i}}:=\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{IP}_{3}}+\frac{1}{\mathrm{G}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{PP} 3_{2}}\right)^{-1} \mathrm{~mW} & \mathrm{PP} 3_{\text {cascadedBm }_{i}}:=10 \cdot \log \left(\mathrm{IP}_{\text {cascade }_{i}}\right)
\end{array}
$$

$$
G_{\text {cascadedB }_{i}}:=G_{d B_{i}}+G_{d B 3}
$$

$$
\text { mds }_{i}:=\left(N_{\text {floor }}+10 \cdot \log (\Delta f)+N F_{\text {cascadedB }_{i}}\right)+G_{\text {cascadedB }_{i}} \quad \text { at link output }
$$

$$
\operatorname{SFDR}_{i}:=\frac{2}{3} \cdot\left(\text { IP3 }_{\text {cascadedBm }}^{i} \text { - }-\mathrm{mds}_{i}\right)
$$

$$
\mathrm{N}_{\text {linkinput }}:=\mathrm{N}_{\text {floor }}+10 \cdot \log (\Delta \mathrm{f})+\mathrm{NF}_{\text {cascadedB }}^{i} \quad \text { in total bandwidth }
$$

$$
\text { SFDRin }_{i}:=\left(\mathbb{P P}_{\text {cascadedBm }_{i}}-G_{\text {cascaded }_{i}}-N_{\text {linkinput }}^{i}\right), \frac{2}{3}
$$

$$
R x_{p w r_{i}}:=P_{i d B m}-i
$$


$i:=0 . .30 \quad q:=26$
$\operatorname{SFDR}_{\mathbf{q}}=27.1 \quad \mathrm{G}_{\text {cascadedB }_{\mathbf{q}}}=0.9 \quad \mathrm{~N}_{\text {linkinput }_{\mathbf{q}}}=-23.1 \quad \mathrm{dBm}$
Let $\quad S N R:=20 \mathrm{~dB} \quad$ Pmodsignal $\mathrm{dBm}_{1}:=\mathrm{N}_{\text {linkinput }_{1}}+$ SNR
$P_{\text {mod } 100 \%}:=\frac{V_{\pi}{ }^{2} \cdot 10^{3}}{8 \cdot R_{p}} \quad P_{\text {mod } 100 \%}=18.2 \quad \mathrm{~mW}$
Pmodsignal $\mathrm{dBm}_{\mathrm{q}}=-3.1 \quad$ Pmodsignal $_{\mathrm{mW}_{\mathrm{i}}}:=10^{\left(\frac{\text { Pmodsignal } \mathrm{dBm}_{\mathrm{i}}}{10}\right)}$
$P_{\text {out }_{i}}:=G_{\text {cascadedB }_{i}}+$ Pmodsignal $_{\mathrm{dBm}_{i}}$
\%MODULATION $:=\frac{\text { Pmodsignal }_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{mW}_{\mathrm{i}}}{\mathrm{P}_{\text {modi00 }}} \cdot 100 \quad$ at typical signal level
\%MODULATION $_{\mathrm{q}}=2.7 \quad$ \%
$\operatorname{Pmod} 3 \mathrm{rd}_{\mathrm{i}}:=\mathrm{N}_{\text {linkinput }_{1}}+$ SFDR $_{1}$
Dynamicheadroom $_{i}:=$ SFDR $_{i}-$ SNR


## STIMULATED BRILLOUIN SCATTER

| Attenuation (km) | $\alpha_{\mathrm{km}}:=0.21$ | $\frac{\mathrm{~dB}}{\mathrm{~km}}$ | $\alpha:=\frac{\alpha_{\mathrm{km}}}{1000} \frac{\mathrm{~dB}}{\mathrm{~m}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Fiber Core Diameter | $\phi:=8$ | $\mu \mathrm{~m}$ | $A_{\text {eff }}:=\pi \cdot\left(\frac{\phi \cdot 10^{-6}}{2}\right)^{2} \quad \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ |

Polarization Factor $1<k<2$

Brillouin gain factor $\quad g_{b}:=4.6 \cdot 10^{-11} \quad \frac{m}{W}$
$\mathrm{i}:=1 . .120$
Length of cable $\quad L_{i}:=i \quad k m \quad L_{m_{i}}:=L_{i} \cdot 1000 \quad \mathrm{~m}$

$$
L_{e_{i}}:=\frac{1-e^{-\alpha \cdot L_{m}}}{\alpha}
$$

$k:=1 . .2$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{cw}_{\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{k}}}:=21 \cdot \frac{\mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{eff}} \cdot \mathrm{k}}{\mathrm{~g}_{\mathrm{b}} \cdot \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{e}}} \cdot 1000 \mathrm{~mW} \\
& \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{dBm}}^{\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{k}} \\
& :=10 \cdot \log \left(\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{cw}}}{0.001}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$



## OPTICAL WAVELENGTH vs FREQUENCY READY RECKONER

$$
v:=\frac{3 \cdot 10^{8}}{1.5} \quad f:=\frac{v}{1554 \cdot 10^{-9}} \quad \Delta f:=0,10 . .1000 \quad \Delta \lambda(\Delta f):=\frac{v \cdot \Delta f \cdot 10^{6}}{(f)^{2} \cdot 10^{-9}}
$$



$$
v:=\frac{3 \cdot 10^{8}}{1.4} \quad f:=\frac{v}{1554 \cdot 10^{-9}} \quad \Delta f:=0,100 . .20000 \quad \Delta \lambda(\Delta f):=\frac{v \cdot \Delta f \cdot 10^{6}}{(f)^{2} \cdot 10^{-9}}
$$



$$
v:=\frac{3 \cdot 10^{8}}{1} \quad f:=\frac{v}{1554 \cdot 10^{-9}} \quad \Delta f:=0,1-20 \quad G H z \quad \Delta l(\Delta f):=\frac{v \cdot \Delta f \cdot 10^{9}}{(f)^{2} \cdot 10^{-9}}
$$



## ALCATEL FIBER DISPERSION MEASUREMENT

DFB CQF939/50 Temperature
1553.5 nm Tuned


Results

$$
\lambda:=\left[\begin{array}{c}
1553.69 \\
1553.59 \\
1553.56 \\
1553.50 \\
1553.46 \\
1553.22 \\
1553.05
\end{array}\right] \quad \phi:=\left[\begin{array}{c}
-40 \\
0 \\
8 \\
25 \\
37 \\
116 \\
169
\end{array}\right]
$$

$f:=500 \cdot 10^{6} \quad \mathrm{~Hz}$
$\mathrm{T}:=\frac{1}{\mathrm{f} \cdot 10^{-12}} \quad \mathrm{~T}=2 \cdot 10^{3} \quad \mathrm{ps}$
$T:=\frac{T}{360} \cdot \phi \quad$ ps delay


PHASE ANGEE SOOMAE

$$
\text { slope }(\lambda, \tau)=-1.788 \cdot 10^{3} \quad \frac{\mathrm{ps}}{\mathrm{mra}}
$$



BOTTOM


## ANALOG CHANNEL SIDEBAND ISOLATION

With analog intensity modulation an optical carrier modulated at $100 \%$ depth will have half the optical power in the two sidebands.ie the individual sideband power will be -6 dBc .



UNMODULATED CARRIER
MODULATED CARRIER



Sidebands at 18 GHz
0.14 nm in air (OSA reading)
0.21 nm in fiber
~10\% OMI



In this configuration a photodiode was used as a photonic mixer to beat two lasers seperated by $7 \mathbf{G H z}$ ( $<0.1 \mathrm{~nm}$ ) The wavelength of the DFB was trimmed using temperature control to provide this offset. The longterm drift (hrs) was less than 2 GHz or ( 0.02 nm ) after warm up..


## CASCADE ANALYSIS OF THIRD ORDER INTERCEPT



The third order intercept values $\quad \mathbf{P P} 3_{1} \quad \mathbf{I P} 3_{2} \quad\left[\begin{array}{ll}\mathbf{P}_{3} & \text { are the respective stage values refered to outputs in (mW) }\end{array}\right.$

Hence $\quad \mathrm{IP}_{\mathrm{out}}=\left[\frac{1}{\mathrm{IP3}_{3}}+\frac{1}{\mathrm{G}_{3} \mathrm{IP}_{2}}+\frac{1}{\mathrm{G}_{2} \mathrm{G}_{3} \mathrm{IP} 3_{1}}\right]^{-1}$

Referred to input


Thus $\quad \mathbb{P} 3_{\mathbb{I N}}=\frac{\mathbb{P} 3_{\text {out }}}{G_{1} G_{2} G_{3}}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\left[\frac{1}{\mathbb{P} 3_{3}}+\frac{1}{G_{3} \mathbb{P} 3_{2}}+\frac{1}{G_{2} G_{3} \mathbb{P} 3_{1}}\right]^{-1} * \frac{1}{G_{1} G_{2} G_{3}} \\
& =\left[\frac{G_{1}}{\mathbb{P} 3_{1}}+\frac{G_{1} G_{2}}{\mathbb{P} 3_{2}}+\frac{G_{1} G_{2} G_{3}}{\mathbb{P} 3_{3}}\right]^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Limited dot
ALCATEL FIBER BANDPASS 104 km USING EXTERNAL MODULATION 12GHz UTP MZ MODULATOR 50 mW DEB 18GHz Lasertron PIN Diode 104 km SMF Test Spool

$$
\mathrm{f}:=\left[\begin{array}{c}
0.5 \\
1 \\
1.5 \\
2 \\
2.5 \\
3 \\
3.5 \\
4 \\
4.5 \\
5 \\
5.5 \\
6 \\
6.5 \\
7
\end{array}\right] \quad \text { Cal }:=\left[\begin{array}{c}
-49 \\
-45.8 \\
-46.6 \\
-47.5 \\
-48 \\
-49 \\
-49.3 \\
-49 \\
-48.6 \\
-50.3 \\
-57 \\
-54 \\
-53 \\
-53.6
\end{array}\right] \quad \text { Meas }:=\left[\begin{array}{c}
-49 \\
-46 \\
-46.6 \\
-47.6 \\
-47.8 \\
-49 \\
-50.3 \\
-50.8 \\
-52.8 \\
-56.3 \\
-65 \\
-77 \\
-64.5 \\
-59
\end{array}\right]
$$

Amp :=Me as-Cal


## ANALYSIS of EXTERNALLY MODULATED ANALOG FIBER OPTIC LINK

Ron Beresford Fab 98


Fig 1. Fiber transmitter/receiver equivalent cct

The large signal MZ modulator tranfer function

$$
P_{0}:=\frac{L_{f} P_{i}}{2} \cdot\left(1+\cos \left(\pi \frac{V_{m}}{V_{\pi}}\right)\right)
$$

For small $\mathbf{V}_{\mathrm{m}}$ about a nominal $\quad \mathrm{V}_{\text {bias }}$ the expression reduces to

$$
P_{0}:=\frac{L_{f} P_{i}}{2} \cdot\left(\frac{\pi \cdot V_{m}}{V_{\pi}}\right)
$$

$$
V_{t}:=\frac{V_{\text {in }}}{N_{m}} \frac{R_{p} \cdot N_{m}^{2}}{\left(R_{i n}+R_{p} \cdot N_{m}^{2}\right)} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{t}}:=\frac{R_{\text {in }} \cdot R_{p} \cdot N_{m}^{2}}{R_{i n}+R_{p} \cdot N_{m}^{2}} \quad \text { Modulator Impedance }
$$

Fig 2. Fiber transmitter equivalent cet

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{V}_{\mathrm{mp}} \begin{array}{l}
\text { is the drive voltage refered back to primary of } \\
\text { transformer }
\end{array} \\
& V_{m p}:=\left[\frac{\left(\frac{N_{m}^{2}}{S C_{m}}\right)}{N_{m}^{2} \cdot R_{m}+\frac{N_{m}^{2}}{S C_{m}}+\left(\frac{R_{i n} \cdot R_{p} \cdot N_{m}^{2}}{R_{i n}+R_{p} \cdot N_{m}^{2}}\right)} \cdot\left(\frac{V_{i n} \cdot R_{p} \cdot N_{m}^{2}}{R_{i n}+R_{p} \cdot N_{m}^{2}}\right)\right] \\
& V_{m p}:=\frac{1}{S \cdot C_{m} \cdot R_{m}+1+\left(\frac{S \cdot C_{m} \cdot R_{i n} \cdot R_{p}}{R_{i n}+R_{p} \cdot N_{m}^{2}}\right)} \cdot\left(\frac{V_{i n} \cdot R_{p} \cdot N_{m}^{2}}{R_{i n}+R_{p} \cdot N_{m}^{2}}\right)^{1} \\
& V_{m p}:=\frac{1}{\left(S \cdot C_{m} R_{m}+S \cdot C_{m \cdot 0} \cdot \frac{R_{i n} \cdot R_{p}}{R_{i n}+R_{p} \cdot N_{m}^{2}}\right)+1} \cdot\left(\frac{R_{p} \cdot N_{m}^{2}}{R_{i n}+R_{p} \cdot N_{m}^{2}}\right) \cdot V_{i n} \\
& V_{m p}:=\frac{1}{S \cdot C_{m}\left(R_{m}+\frac{R_{i n} \cdot R_{p}}{R_{i n}+R_{p} \cdot N_{m}^{2}}\right)+1} \cdot\left(\frac{R_{p} \cdot N_{m}^{2}}{R_{i n}+R_{p} \cdot N_{m}^{2}}\right) \cdot V_{i n}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{m}}:=\frac{\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{mp}}}{\mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{m}}}
$$

$$
v_{m}:=\frac{1}{\left[S \cdot C_{m} \cdot\left(R_{m}+\frac{R_{i n} \cdot R_{p}}{R_{i n} R_{p} \cdot N_{m}^{2}}\right)+1\right]} \cdot\left(\frac{R_{p} \cdot N_{m}}{R_{i n}+R_{p} \cdot N_{m}^{2}}\right) \cdot v_{\text {in }}
$$

$$
v_{m}:=\frac{1}{S \cdot C_{m} \cdot\left[\frac{R_{m} \cdot\left(R_{i n}+N_{m}^{2} \cdot R_{p}\right)+R_{i n} \cdot R_{p}}{R_{i n}+R_{p} \cdot N_{m}^{2}}\right]+1} \cdot\left(\frac{R_{p} \cdot N_{m}}{R_{i n}+R_{p} \cdot N_{m}^{2}}\right) \cdot v_{\text {in }}
$$

The modulated optical power available at the photodetector is

$$
P_{0}:=\frac{L_{f} P_{i}}{2} \cdot\left(\frac{\pi \cdot V_{i n}}{V_{\pi}}\right)\left(\frac{R_{p} \cdot N_{m}}{R_{i n}+R_{p} \cdot N_{m}^{2}}\right)\left[\frac{1}{S_{m} \cdot\left(\frac{R_{m}\left(R_{i n}+N_{m}^{2} \cdot R_{p}\right)+R_{i n} \cdot R_{p}}{R_{i n}+R_{p} \cdot N_{m}^{2}}\right)+1}\right]^{!}
$$

if the modulator impedance

$$
\sqrt{R_{m}^{2}+\frac{1}{\left(\omega C_{m}\right)^{2}}} \quad \gg R_{p}
$$

also note that $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{m}}<\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{p}}$
hence for a parallel match $\quad R_{\text {in }}:=N_{m}{ }^{\mathbf{2}} \cdot \mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{p}}$
thus

$$
P_{0}:=\frac{L_{f} P_{i}}{2} \cdot\left(\frac{\pi \cdot V_{i n}}{V_{\pi}}\right)\left(\frac{R_{\text {in }}}{2 \cdot R_{\text {in }} \cdot N_{m}}\right)\left(\frac{1}{S C_{m} \cdot R_{e q u}+1}\right)^{8}
$$

where $R_{\text {equ }}:=\frac{R_{m} \cdot\left(R_{i n}+N_{m}^{2} \cdot R_{p}\right)+R_{i n} \cdot R_{p}}{R_{i n}+R_{p} \cdot N_{m}{ }^{2}}$
if $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{m}}$ is very small $\sim 10$ pf then the pole will be at GHz

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { below } f=\frac{1}{2 \cdot \pi \cdot C_{m} \cdot R_{\text {equ }}} \\
& P_{0}:=\frac{L_{f_{f}} P_{i}}{2} \cdot\left(\frac{\pi \cdot V_{i n}}{V_{\pi}}\right) \frac{1}{2 N_{m}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
P_{0}^{2}:=\left(\frac{L_{f} P_{i}}{2} \cdot \frac{\pi \cdot V_{i n}}{V_{\pi}}\right)^{2} \cdot \frac{1}{4 N_{m}^{2}}
$$

For maximum power transfer the RF power $P_{\text {in }}:=\frac{V_{\text {in }}{ }^{2}}{4 R_{\text {in }}}$
so the modulation sensitivity is $\frac{\mathrm{P}_{0}{ }^{2}}{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{in}}}:=\left(\frac{\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}} \cdot \pi}{2 \cdot \mathrm{~V}_{\pi}}\right)^{2} \frac{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{in}}{ }^{1}{ }_{\mathrm{N}}{ }^{2}}{}$
simplified with $R_{\text {in }}:=R_{p} \cdot N_{m}{ }^{2}$ is

$$
\frac{P_{0}^{2}}{P_{i n}}:=\left(\frac{L_{f} P_{i} \cdot \pi}{2 \cdot V_{\pi}}\right)^{2} \cdot R_{p}
$$

## At the photodiode

$$
I_{\text {rout }}:=\left[\frac{\frac{1}{S \cdot C_{d}}}{\frac{1}{S \cdot C_{d}}\left(R_{d}+R_{o u t} \cdot N_{d}^{2}\right)}\right] \cdot I_{d}
$$

Note that $I_{\text {rout }}$ is the current after the transformer $N_{d}{ }^{2}$

$$
\eta:=\frac{\Delta I_{d}}{\Delta P_{0}} \quad \text { diode responsivity }
$$

The RF output from the link is thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{\text {out }}:=I_{\text {out }}^{2} \cdot R_{\text {out }} \\
& P_{\text {out }}:=\frac{I_{d}{ }^{2} \cdot R_{\text {out }} \cdot N_{d}{ }^{2}}{1+S C_{d}\left(R_{d}+R_{\text {out }} N_{d}^{2}\right)} \\
& P_{\text {out }}:=\frac{\eta^{2} \cdot P_{o}^{2} \cdot R_{\text {out }} \cdot N_{d}^{2}}{\left(1+S C_{d}\left(R_{d}+R_{\text {out }} N_{d}^{2}\right)\right)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

hencce two poles exist at $f=\frac{1}{2 \pi \cdot C_{d}\left(R_{d}+R_{\text {out }} N_{d}{ }^{2}\right)}$
and $40 \mathrm{~dB} /$ decade roll off
for $C_{d}:=0.5-\mathrm{pf}{ }^{\text {■ }}$
$R_{0}:=50 \Omega$
$R_{d}:=0$
$N_{d}:=1$
the pole roll off in response will be at $t=6.4 \mathrm{GHz}$ when $N_{d}:=3$ the respnose is $\sim 600 \mathrm{MHz}$.
Thus for operation below the pole

$$
\mathbf{P}_{\text {out }}:=\eta^{2} \cdot P_{0}^{2} \mathbf{R}_{\text {out }} N_{d}^{2}
$$

and

$$
\frac{P_{\text {out }}}{P_{o}^{2}}:=\eta^{2} R_{\text {out }} N_{d}^{2}
$$

## The Link Gain is defined as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G:=\frac{\mathbf{P}_{\text {out }}}{\mathbf{P}_{\text {in }}} \\
& G:=\left(\frac{P_{0}^{2}}{P_{\text {in }}}\right\rangle \cdot\left(\frac{P_{\text {out }}}{P_{0}^{2}}\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
G:=\left(\frac{L_{f} P_{i} \cdot \pi}{2 \cdot V_{\pi}}\right)^{2} \cdot R_{p} \cdot\left(\eta^{2} \cdot P_{o}^{2} R_{\text {out }} N_{d}^{2}\right)
$$

LINK GAIN is $\quad G:=\left(\frac{L_{f} P_{i} \cdot \pi}{2 \cdot V_{\pi}}\right)^{2} \cdot \eta^{2} R_{p} \cdot R_{\text {out }} N_{d}{ }^{2}$

## SYSTEM TESTS NOVEMBER 98

Upon completion of various phases of sub assembly and module construction appropriate bench tests are performed to assure the basic item specifications are met. Naturally, without the actual VLA and PT antennas these tests are limited.

The next process is to integrate the completed module hardware into 'real-time' VLA and PT systems to verify system performance criteria.

This document details 8 specific levels of system integration tests. Each successive test building on the knowledge and confidence of the previous test. In this way the number of potentially time consuming system problems in any one operation will be minimized and solved separately.

The following is a list of potential trouble areas.

1. Monitor Control System operation via new data link/software
2. VLA waveguide timing /synchronization
3. Overun of Data Invalid corrupting correlated data
4. Fringe Rotation
5. Control of IF center frequencies.
6. Maintaining SSB conversion sense compatibility between sites
7. Drift between MLO's at each site and measurement of same.
8. Maintaining integrity of Analog IF transmission
9. Setting required expanded delays.
10. Maintaining adequate dynamic range in the presence of strong interference.

To assist in the initial system tests and minimize the decorrelation effects caused by item (3) above narrow band IF filters eg. 5.75 MHz will be set in the T4C Baseband Filter module. The increased SNR of 10 dB will be of benefit as well in addressing item(10).

## Test 1. CHECK ANALOG TRANSMISSION on 104 km SMF and NEW DELAY CARDS

The IF outputs A,B,C,D from antenna X T2 IF Combiner is severed from the next stage T3 Baseband Conventer and the 104 km SMF link positioned in series between the two Modules. The antenna $X$ round trip phase measurement system will work unaffected. The 104 km link will be given a few hours warm up time in the air conditioned D rack environment prior to use.
Modified delay cards will need to be installed on antennas other than antenna $\mathbf{X}$ channels. Because of the close proximity of antenna X to other antennas, as opposed to PT, the values of delay will be large regardless of sky position (typ $400 \mu \mathrm{~S}$ ).

## Test 2. CHECK PT CONVERSION RACK CENTER FREQUENCY and DCS

Antenna $X$ will be operating in normal observing mode as 1 of 26 antennas . The $27^{\text {th }}$ antenna ( 5 West) is offline and it's Central Buffer connected to the PT rack Antenna Buffer via a $0 u \mathrm{u}$ delay, zero dispersion, adjustable optically attenuated digital link. An additional mixer stage ( made from modifying the T201A converter module prototype) will be added to the PT conversion. The PT L7 ch A will operate with no phase rotation. PT L6 cb C will be used to supply the additional mixer LO used to convert the 1325 MHz ch A IF to nominal VLBA $500-1000 \mathrm{MHz}$ cf.
Other phase stable synthesizers may be used for this task but must be tunable in steps of 100 KHz such that the 10.1 MHz offsets are maintained.
Delay modifications are not required. IF transmission to the Antenna X IF input T3 can be via a short length of RG223 coaxial line.

## Test 3. DCS with 506uS DELAY LINE

As per test 2 but 104 km SMF is used in the DCS path. ( $506 \mu \mathrm{~S}$ delay).
Delay card modifications are not required for this test.

## Test 4. CHECK PT CONVERSION RACK PHASE ROTATION

As per test 3 but the task of phase rotation will be shifted from the antenna X L7 to the L7 (A,C) located in the PT conversion rack
The $\mathrm{L7}$ ( $B, D$ ) will not be phase rotated and used as a stable 10.1 MHz offset input to the L6 (C) synthesizer.
The software routine which sets the antenna X L7 phase rotation will need to pick up the co-ordinates of the array phase center to disable it's phase rotation. Servo control and the delay setting algorithms will use the real co-ordinate values as per normal.

## Test 5. CHECK ANALOG and DCS path with FIBER OPTICS.

This test adds Fiber Optics to the analog path of test 4.
For this test the 104 km test spool will be broken into two 52 km spools and optical attenuation added to each spool. In this way dispersion and optical budgets are approximated.
The $253 \mu$ S delay introduced to the IF path it will be desirable to use modified delay cards to all antennas that will correlate with antenna X .
If antenna X is located close to the correlator and the 'correlating' antennas located at extremes of the $\mathbf{Y}$ it would be possible to observe half sky regions with standard delay cards of $164 \mu \mathrm{~S}$ max

## Test 6. FIRST FRINGES

The PT conversion rack will be installed at PT as per block diagram B12625B001 Rev 2. Two fibers will provide the interconnect back to the VLA rather than use more complex optical multiplexing. The lowest loss fiber will be used for the analog transmission while the second fiber will use optical circulators to provide bi-directional capability. IF transmission will be limited to Ch A and the 1200 MHz reference tone for the VLA roundrrip phase measurement system.
It is envisioned that 3 or 4 antennas will have expanded delay capability on ch $\mathbf{A}$ providing good cross product information.

## Test 7. 4 IF TESTS SELECT BASELINES.

As per test 6 but with all 4 IF channels.
A considerable number of delay cards will need to be installed. At least 4 times the number of test 6 .

## Test8. 4 IF TESTS ALL BASELINES.

All 108 modified delay cards installed.

OVERVIEW of TEST SCHEME PT/VLA LINK ...(TESTS 2,3,4,5)
antenna X Full DCS Connection NO Fringe rate ie Center of the array


IFA only
Test 5 Only
Nominal delays calculated on the real position of antenna.






## A- Array Prototype System Tests 2IF (A,C) 2 Fiber Implementation September 99



* 1542.42 also used



## MISCELLANEOUS

## Optical Specifications

Typical Attenuation Cell (uncabled): $[<0.35 / 0.40] \mathrm{dB} / \mathrm{Km}$ © 1310 nm $[<0.25 / 0.30] \mathrm{dB} / \mathrm{Km} @ 1550 \mathrm{~mm}$
Attenuation Uniformity:
No point discontinuity greater than 0.1 dB at 1310 nm and 1550 nm .
Attenuation at 1383 nm : $\quad 2.0 \mathrm{~dB} / \mathrm{Km}$ maximum Attenuation vs. Wavelength:
Maximum attenuation change over the window.

| Wavelength (nm) | Attenuation (dB/Km) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $1285-1310$ | $<0.035$ |
| $1330-1310$ | $<0.03$ |
| $1525-1550$ | $<0.03$ |
| $1575-1550$ | $<0.03$ |

Alternation with Bending:
100 m ms around 75 mm diameter $\leq 0.05$ dB of 1310 mm
$\leq 0.1 \mathrm{~dB}$ at 1550 nm
100 turns around 60 mm diameter $\leq 0.5 \mathrm{~dB}$ at 1580 nm
1 tum around 32 mm diameter $\leq 0.1 \mathrm{~dB}$ at 1550 mm
Cutoff Wavelength (cabled): $\leq 1260 \mathrm{~nm}$
Zero Dispersion Wavelength: $\quad 1310 \pm 10 \mathrm{~nm}$
Zero Dispersion Slope: $\quad<0.092 \mathrm{ps} / \mathrm{nm}^{2} \cdot \mathrm{Km}$
MD:
$\leq 0.5 \mathrm{ps} / \sqrt{\mathrm{km}}$
Dimensional Specifications
Mode Field Diameter at 1310 nm : of 1550 nm :
Fiber Outside Diameter.
$9.0=0.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
$10.2=1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
Corm $\quad 125 \pm 1.0 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
Core Eccentricity:
Fiber Non-Circularity.
Core Nom-ircularity:
Coating Outside Diameter.
Coating/Clad Concentricity Error.
Fiber Cur (deflection over 10 mm )
$\leq 0.8 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
$<1.0 \%$
< 10.0\%
$245 \pm 10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
$\leq 15 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
curl radius $\geq 2$ meter
$\leq 25 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
Environmental Specifications
Temperature cycling performance: $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $+85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
Attenuation increase $\leq 0.05 \mathrm{~dB} / \mathrm{Km}$ at 1310 nm
and 1550 nm .
Typical Characterization Values
Numerical Aperture: 0.12
Nominal Zero Dispersion Wavelength:
Nominal Zero Dispersion Slope:
Effective Group Index:
Backscatter Coefficient.
Typical Core Diameter.
Dynamic Tensile Strength 10.5 m gouge length
*Aged: $\quad$ median $\sum 750 \mathrm{kpsi}\left(5.26 \mathrm{GN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$
Dynamic Fatigue, 2 Point Bend: $\quad \mathrm{Nd}=22$
Static Fatigue:
Max Dispersion:
$\begin{array}{ll}1285-1330 \mathrm{~nm} & \leq 2.8 \mathrm{ps} / \mathrm{nm} \cdot \mathrm{Km} \\ 1550 \mathrm{~nm} & \leq 18 \mathrm{ps} / \mathrm{nm} \cdot \mathrm{Km}\end{array}$
"Aged: 30 days of $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(185^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right)$ and $85 \%$ restive humidity

## sswed:9/96 4M

## Standard



Fiber

## Mechanical Specifications

Proof Test
The entire length of fiber is subjected to a tensile proof stress greater than 100 kpsi ( $0.69 \mathrm{GN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ); 1\% strain equivalent.
Dynamic Tensile Strength ( 0.5 meter gauge length)
Unaged: median $\geq 550 \mathrm{kpsi}\left(3.8 \mathrm{GN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$
Aged*: median $\geq 440 \mathrm{kpsi}(3.0 \mathrm{GN} / \mathrm{m} 7)$
Dynamic Fatigue, Tensile: $N_{d} \geq 20$ unaged and aged*
Dynamic Fatigue, 2 Point Bend: $N_{d} \geq 20$ uncaged and aged*
Static Fatigue: $N_{s} \geq 20$ aged at $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 85 \% \mathrm{RH}$
Coating Strip Force: $2.0 \mathrm{lbf}(8.9 \mathrm{~N})$ max, $0.3 \mathrm{ibf}(1.3 \mathrm{~N})$ min.
$-23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(73^{\circ} 7,0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(32^{\circ}\right)\right.$ and $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(113^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right)$

- Aged*
- 14 days water immersion at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(74^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right)$
- wasp spray exposure (Bellcore)
*Aged 30 days at $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ( $185^{\circ}$ ) and $85 \%$ relative humidity
Test Procedures
Akcatel uses the following test procedures in specifying and characterizing its optical fiber.
MECHANICAL
EIA RS -455-28B Dynamic Tensile Test
EIA RS -455-31C Fiber Proof Test
EIA RS -455.33A Cable Tensile Loading and Bending.
EIA RS -455-76
EIA RS -455.97
ETA RS -455-178A
EAA RS -455-111


## Dynamic Fatigue (with proposed FÖTP

modifications)
Static Fatigue
Coating Strip Force
Fiber Curl
OPTLCAL/GEOMETRICAL
EA RS-455-176
Fiber Geometry
EIA RS 455-173 Fiber Coating Geometry
EIA RS -455-59A Point Discontinuities (OTDR)
EIA/TLA-455-60A Fiber length (OTDR)
ETA RS-455-61A
ElIA RS -455-62A
ETA RS -455-78A
ETA RS -455-80A
ElIA RS -455-167A
ElIA RS-455-168A
EIA/TIA-455-113
ENVIRONMENTAL
ElIA RS -455-3A
EIA RS -455.73
EIA RS -455-75
Attenuation Measurement (OTDR)
Fiber Macrobend
Attenuation Measurement (Cutback)
Cutoff Wavelength (uncabled)
Mode Field Diameter
Chromatic Dispersion
Polarization Mode Dispersion (PMD)
Temperature Cycling
Temperature/Humidity Cycling
Fluid Immersion

Alcoa reserves the right to improve, entrance, or modify the fiber features and specifications.
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## Engineering Report - Pietown to VLA Project <br> Ron Beresford 29 Jan 99

This report is intended as a brief discussion on the results of the Nov/Dec "first fringe" tests that are going to impact further engineering and construction effort.
Although first fringe tests were highly successful a number of aspects concerning the initial design B12625B001 Rev 2 need to be addressed before "real" observing can be performed.

1. The VLA EFOS Maser is a fairly poor time standard for the integration intervals required of the system (seconds to minutes) causing major drifts in visibility phase ( approximately 10 deg $@ 4.8 \mathrm{GHz}$ in 10 sec integration periods).
As per the Rev2 block diagram a 1200 MHz carrier intensity modulates the PT optical carrier. This is derived directly (via L1, L2, and L3) from the PT 5 MHz maser standard.
It can be seen from the L11 one way phase plots that for the few hours of operation during tests on Dec $8^{\text {th }}$ the difference between the 1200 HMz at PT and the 1200 MHz from the Master LO at the VLA is five complete turns ( 1800 deg of phase in 2.4 hrs or $0.2 \mathrm{deg} / \mathrm{sec}$ ) or 5 parts in $10^{13}$. The Masers at both sites are continually checked and logged via the GPS lpps standard. From this data the Sigma Tau stays within $0.1 \mu$ S over many days of time. The EFOS is prone to wander $1.2 \mu \mathrm{~S}$ in as little as 48 hrs .


The above shows the number of degrees per GHz per second of instrumental phase error due to the Maser frequency differential. Actual visibility phases would be even worse than this when all the hardware and electronics between the aperture of the antenna and the correlator is considered.

The graph below shows the same as accumulated phase error in a 10 second integration period.
$\phi(f)$ is the phase as realized by the existing system.
$\phi 1$ degspec $(f)$ is the phase specification based on a 1 deg/GHz $/ \mathrm{hr}$ drift.
$\phi 1$ radspec $(f)$ is the phase specification based on a 1 radian drift at any observing frequency in the time between calibrators (say 20 minutes).


Another interpretation of the "tough" ldeg/GHz/hr specification is that the Masers used should be matched to about 2 parts in $10^{15}$. Even the best active masers will have difficulty meeting this. As shown in the plot below of fraction frequency stability for several precision standards. A more realistic approach (assuming the Maser differential drift rates are stable over short time Intervals) is to measure the phase drift and apply a correction to either the visibility phase or servo a anti- phase into the PT phase rotator.


Another necessary measurement is that of the transmission line itself, since the change in electrical length with temperature (perhaps as much as 50 mm in a diurnal cycle) will effect the 1200 HMz Maser phase calculation and hence $F_{\text {sky }}$ calculation. In addition the electrical line length will affect the IF transmission phase at $1325,1425,1575,1675 \mathrm{MHz}$. Thus the electrical line length change affects the visibility phase in two distinct ways.

Over the years many methods have been suggested to measure transmission line length with the accuracy required to perform high frequency receiving, ALL require sending a signal of suitable wavelength to provide the sensitivity to changes into the transmission line and then reflecting the same or similar signal from the far end of the transmission line and hence compare the out going with the returned.
Below is a crude sample of deviation from the linear of the 1200 MHz carrier from PT for a set of 25 points at 80 sec intervals. Also shown is the L11 monitor point data for the 1200 MHz one way phase.

2. The digital transmission link established by the M32 Digital Transceiver modules intended for each site worked with a small optical power margin ( 2 dB ) at $600 \mathrm{Mbit} / \mathrm{sec}$ on the bench over a 104 km test spool. The same hardware out at the VLA was generating intermittent L8 sync errors as fiber optic connectors were reconfigured during the early test sequences. The link bit rate was reduced to $200 \mathrm{Mbit} / \mathrm{sec}$; the optical power margin was now a healthy $\mathbf{6 d B}$. But still there were problems. When the link was established between PT and the VLA command strings were being lost to the $L 7$ phase rotator every 5 minutes or so. Again it was suspected that reflections from dirty and now well used connectors were at fault.
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For thermally noise limited digital transmission standard error functions provide a good estimate of the BER. As depicted above a SNR of better than 22 dB is required for BER $1 \times 10^{-10}$. For a high performance data link BERs are typically $1 \times 10^{-9}$ to $1 \times 10^{-13}$ or better.
3. The "70MHz" bandpass filter used in the Pietown T201A IF converter module was originally a RLC 76 MHz 3 pole filter centered at 1325 MHz (BPF -500-1325-76-3-RF). This was later changed to a K\&L 50 MHz 8 section filter centered at 1325 HMz ( $8 \mathrm{~B} 120-1325 / 50-\mathrm{O} / \mathrm{OP}$ ). The steeper skirts provided better rejection of the $2^{\text {rd }}$ harmonic of the L 6539.9 MHz mixer LO, a common LO for USB conversion at A channel. This $2^{\text {nd }}$ Harmonic at 1080 HMz mixes with the 1200 MHz LO in the T 3 image reject mixer module to generate a LSB product at 120 MHz .The 120 MHz then beats with the IRM LO at 100 MHz , (setting at 50 MHz BW ) to put a substantial spike in the autocorrelation spectrum only of PT, at 20 MHz .
Further perusal of the VLA F7 and F8 modules revealed that Interdigital filters are actually used.
Eg. RLC F-3685A 60MHz bandpass.
The group delay and bandpass amplitude characteristics will now being examined in the laboratory with the HP8510 VNA to provide a bandpass filter that meets the PT link requirements and the VLA crosscorrelation requirements. Hopefully the strange 2-3dB " saddle" in visibility amplitudes involving PT will be explained if not removed.
The following plot was obtained from the fiber optic analog receiver module front panel test port.
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# SYSTEM DESIGN MODIFICATION PROPOSALS <br> rib 29Jan99 

At present there are 5 practical ways that the existing V2.0 system design could be altered to implement a working 4 IF ,round trip $\Phi_{\text {measurement system ,utilizing a SINGLE fiber core. }}^{\text {I }}$

1. Phase lock a 5MHz VCXO at Pietown to the VLA Maser. This would entail disconnecting the PT maser from the PT LO conversion chain for the duration of the
dispersion
concerned

*1 above? extended A configuration observing. (Oc t.21, 2000 -Jan. \&,2001). A relatively low power DFB laser ( +10 dbm )at $\lambda 2$ could be externally modulated at the VLA with the standard MLO 1200 \& 1800 MHz carriers. The addition of a L4 Antenna RX module and a LS LO control/loop filter module at the PT end will lock the L1 at Pietown to the VLA. In the VLA the L1 normally free wheels for 51 ms in the VLA TDM waveguide cycle , in this design the L1 VCXO will be continually locked. The changes in 104 km of transmission line can be calculated by comparing the phase of the 1200 MHz carrier (sent with the IF A,B,C,D at $\lambda 1$ ) returned to the VLA with the seems to
automatically
fix the
18 problem MLO 1200 MHz as per existing setup.
Bidirectional data transmission can continue with the current scheme using $\lambda 3, \lambda 4$ optical channels.
2. Continue with the current V 2.0 design but reflect a received 1800 MHz carrier from the VLA ,back to the VLA. The addition of an L14 Central LO filter module (NB PLL) will be required at the VLA. The 600 MHz ZXD output can be fed into the spare ( 5 MHz ambiguity port) of the current donor D rack L11.
There is enough signal to noise in the system without a narrow-band PLL at PT to recover the 1800 MHz from the VLA and retransmit it alongside the PT IF's A,B,C,D and 1200 MHz , and still measure the electrical length to about 1 degree in phase at 1800 MHz . The $\Delta$ at 1800 MHz will apply to IF phase correction and when subtracted from the $\Delta$ at 1200 MHz will provide the Maser differential phase as applied to the PT LO chain and required anti-setting in the PT phase rotators.
3. Continue with the $\mathbf{V} 2.0$ design but align Masers to provide a differential drift rate in which the $\Delta$ phase is monotonic with time. Again the $\Phi$ offset required as a anti-setting in the L7 phase rotators at PT can be calculated. The problem with this approach is the uncertain erratic behavior of the VLA EFOS Maser .
If the EFOS were replaced with a new maser, that could be aligned to better than 2 in $10^{15}$ then the LO chains at both sites could be considered coherent enough to meet the $1 \mathrm{deg} / \mathrm{GHz} / \mathrm{hr}$ specification without measuring the $\Phi$. The transmission line effects, most likely can be measured directly by a one way $\Phi$ measurement of the 1200 MHz carrier from PT to the VLA only.
4. With the current V2.0 layout switch the 1200 MHz signal at PT from the PT reference derived 1200 MHz to a VLA Fiber optic distributed 1200 MHz at $\lambda 2$ from the VLA Master LO. This could be done every alternate waveguide cycle. The addition of an active switch at the PT end will suffice the switching, in synchronism with the bucketing of L 11 results into two bins 1200 MHz (Maser) and 1200 Mhz (Transmission line). This may be a tricky implementation to code.
This system still requires 4 optical channels $\lambda 1, \lambda 2, \lambda 3, \lambda 4$.

Summary. Option 3 holds the best promise for making a system that meets the phase stability specifications while minimizing the amount of additional hardware . Unfortunately it is adding further complexity to the optical filtering and MUX/DEMUX requirements. A bi-directional optical system with 4 optical channels $\lambda 1, \lambda 2, \lambda 3, \lambda 4$, where $\lambda 1, \lambda 3$ are in one direction and $\lambda 2, \lambda 4$ in the opposite direction and where $\lambda 1, \lambda 2$ are high SNR analog channels and $\lambda 3, \lambda 4$ digital channels, all requiring approximately 50 dB of adjacent channel rejection will be a challenging construction.

This challenging DWDM approach is made even more so by the limited availability of 1550 nm laser devices that are wavelength selected and also meet the power requirements needed for the high optical loss of 104 km of fiber plus notable losses of passive optical MUX/DEMUX devices.

The DWDM approach in option 3 is in the spirit of using the most up to date techniques with a view to applications in other phased array developments.

Any shortfalls in the implementation for the June99 VLA "A" configuration can be overcome by resorting to a 2 fiber system greatly easing the optical requirements.


Subject: thoughts on SW issues for the Fall test<br>Date: Mon, 6 Apr 1998 10:03:06 -0600 (MDT)<br>From: Ken Sowinski [ksowinsk@arana.aoc.NRAO.EDU](mailto:ksowinsk@arana.aoc.NRAO.EDU)<br>To: julvestad@arana.aoc.NRAO.EDU, reresford@arana.aoc.NRAO.EDU, dsramek@arana.aoc.NRAO.EDU, wkoski@arana.aoc.NRAO.EDU, cbroadwell@arana.aoc.NRAO.EDU<br>CC: bsahr@arana.aoc.NRAO.EDU

The following message is a list of the things $I$ have thought of so far. I welcome any comments, corrections or notices of omission.

This is a list of what software and information is needed to be able to conduct simple tests using pietown this fall. I will try to keep this list up to date as we think of new things as well as when tasks are completed. I assume that what we want is only enough support to make simple tests.

At Pietown. For this purpose all we need is a VLBA observe file which looks at our favorite calibrator at some interesting frequency. If any LOs at PT are to be controlled by the PT computer it is sufficient to be able to set them manually.

At the VLA. This will be a longer list. To calculate phases and delays we need to know:

1) the position of PT in the VLA coordinate system. I have calculated this given that PT is at $341803.61,1080707.24$ and 2371 m but these coordinates are only accurate to a foot or so. Does anyone care to verify this or do better?
2) the $k$-term for PT I have been told is about 2 m . We don't need this to be right to make fringes but it would be nice to have it close. Is bns good enough?

The first step is to get the antenna coordinates into the system. Changes are required to the function that reads the BASELINE file and to the program that estimates the antenna "cable" delay. These changes have been made and will be in the mid-April update. After that I will extend the BASELINE file to include PT.

Next we will have to decide how to deal with communicating to the correlator the expanded delay space that is required. Chuck tells me that he expects to be able to work on the changes needed to the system controller to be able to accept larger delay values and send them on to the physical delay lines. If he gets this done in time only two changes are needed to accomodate PT. The first is to change a constant to shift the range of delay space that is occupied by the delay values sent to the correlator. This change is valid only for PT-related observations when all the relevant antennas have expanded delay lines. The second is to modify the task in spectre that sends delays to the correlator. This change, as well as Chuck's to the system controller may be left in place, once tested, with no adverse effect on ordinary observing. If Chuck is not able to have the system controller ready in time there are other, kludgy, ways to deal with the expanded delay space. I would strongly prefer not to have to do this.

With these changes in place if an antenna is marked in the ANTENNAS file as being on the "pad" called PT, then I claim that I will properly calculate everything necessary to correctly run the delay lines and the lobe rotaters. This will be verified in May or June.

It will also be necessary to examine the LO chain with care to be sure that the relationship between the 10.1 MHz which has the fringe frequency imposed on it and the signal to be mixed is the same as in the existing antennas. If there are and LOs to be controlled by the Modcomp and unique to the PT antenna it will proably be necessary to set them manually.

If phase switching is not put in place or not synchronized properly at PT we can do the experiments with phase switching disabled for the PT antenna.

If we have no reliable sync detector measurement, tests should be made with the system temperature correction disabled.

I suggest that we ignore the data-invalid overrun for these tests. Either we do nothing or construct a little box that will generate a suitable gating signal so that the correlator is blanked during the time that valid data is known not to be present. If we decide to slide data invalid, the necessary changes in the system controller *may* be complicated enough that we could not get it done in time. We should decide soon whether this is important.

I envision the following sequence to replace a VLA antenna with PT.

1) Secure the VLA antenna that we wish to set idle for the test.
2) Modify the ANTENNAS file so that that antenna is on the PT pad" and has a K -term of about 6 ns .
3) Make all necessary cabling changes so that PT IFs wind up in the purloined D-rack and so that monitor and command data Elow to the right places. For this test $I$ expect that all equipment related to PT will use the DCS number of the purloined antenna. Is that a problem?
4) Invoke an appropriate observe file at PT and at the VLA.
5) Invoke a modified version of the VLA source change program that understands what delay range must be used.
6) Watch the fringes on D10.

With regard to online software I see only two important things that must be settled before the Fall test:

1) Do we want to shift data invalid as seen by the correlator?
2) Is it acceptable to control all PT-related equipment using the DCS number of the idled antenna?

Software requirements for first VLA-PT fringes, as seen by JSU
(1) Supply a CRD file for PT that observes the correct source at the right time and frequency band, and puts the proper IF frequency into the spigot heading for the conversion modules for the fiber.
(2) Provide the proper commands to the conversion modules at PT to make the VLBA IF into an apparent VLA IF. Provide a means for adjusting things in real time, if necessary.
(3) Control the fiber link by whatever means necessary.
(4) Provide someone at the VLA with the capability of monitoring the link and (possibly) of checking the performance of the VLBA front end.
(5) Get the PT IF signal sent to the appropriate D-rack in the VLA electronics room, and properly identify the antenna using that D-rack. Command PT equipment using the appropriate DCS number.
(6) Set the delays in the correlator as necessary for the test with four VLA antennas plus PT.
(7) Get PT fed into a standard display so that it's possible to see whether there really are fringes.
(8) Items that must be dealt with eventually, but are probably not required for first fringes, include phase-switching, Tsys measurement, and fixing the over-run of the data-invalid period.

There is no need for 9.6 Hz Nasecal for initial tests teen suggest contolitin the NCAL from VEBA equipment. PT IF is initially single frequency and single polanzation

Subject: VLA-PT Link Software Meeting, 13 May 1998
Date: Fri, 15 May 1998 12:37:05-0600 (MDT)
From: Jim Ulvestad [julvesta@arana.aoc.NRAO.EDU](mailto:julvesta@arana.aoc.NRAO.EDU)
To: dbagri@arana.aoc.NRAO.EDU (Durgadas Bagri), rberesfo@arana.aoc.NRAO.EDU (Ron Beresford), sblachma@arana.aoc.NRAO.EDU (Steve Blachman), cbroadwe@arana.aoc.NRAO.EDU (Chuck Broadwell), bbrundag@arana.aoc.NRAO.EDU, jcampbel@arana.aoc.NRAO.EDU (Jack Campbell), bclark@arana.aoc.NRAO.EDU (Barry Clark), rferraro@arana.aoc.NRAO.EDU (Ray Ferraro), wkoski@arana.aoc.NRAO.EDU (Wayne Koski), rlong@arana.aoc.NRAO.EDU (Robert Long), merley@arana.aoc.NRAO.EDU (Rick Perley), ksowinski@arana.aoc.NRAO.EDU, dsramek@arana.aoc.NRAO.EDU (Dick Sramek), cwalker@arana.aoc.NRAO.EDU (Craig Walker), jwrobel@arana.aoc.NRAO.EDU (Joan Wrobel)

15 May 1998

TO: Distribution
FROM: Jim Ulvestad
SUBJECT: Minutes of VLA-PT Link Software Meeting, 13 May 1998

```
ABSTRACT
On 13 May, a meeting was held to discuss the software requirements
for the first 1-IF fringe tests for the VLA-PT link, currently
scheduled for late 1998. Since a number of decisions for the
software for the operational 4-IF system will be delayed until
after the experience gained in these tests, the software
modifications at this stage will emphasize the minimal
changes needed to make the link work in a "hands-on" mode.
```


## ATTENDEES

Beresford, Blachman, Campbell, Clark, Sowinski, Ulvestad, Walker

AGENDA
There was no formal agenda. Instead, the meeting worked through two overlapping lists of items that need to be addressed. One list was generated in an e-mail from Ken Sowinski in early April, while the supplementary list was prepared by Jim Ulvestad on the day of the meeting.

## SUMMARY

(1) The (summed) first Lo from the VLBA needs to be communicated to Ken Sowinski, so that he can compute the proper frequencies for the conversion equipment that will make the VLBA IF signal "look like" a VLA IF. The value of this LO will be built into the VLA OBSERV file.
(2) In order to properly operate the modified L7s at PT, it is necessary to know the sideband where the fringe rotation is applied, relative to the sideband where it is applied at the VLA antennas. This will be different for different observing bands, since PT will nominally use upper sideband, while the different VLA bands may be either upper (e.g.. 5 GHz ) or lower (e.g., 8 GHz ) sideband.
(3) The modified L6 has a switch for HI/LO output, related to the sideband conversion, that will be controlled in software from the VLA Modcomps. The exact mechanism for this will be sorted out in the next 2 months.
(4) Nothing special needs to be done to turn the fiber link on and off at this stage.
(5) VLBA monitor screens will be provided at the VLA, as they are now available for the VLA when it participates in VLBI observations. This is not a problem.
(6) It has been decided not to invent a new DCS number, DCS 34 , at this stage, because implementation of that number will take more work now than later. Instead, PT will be assigned the DCS number corresponding to the D-rack that is being borrowed from a VLA antenna. This will require moving some cables around. Using an existing DCS number means that the existing VLA displays (e.g., D10 fringe display) will handle the PT antenna with no additional modifications needed.
(7) An equivalent to the round-trip phase information will be sent over the fiber line to the L14 module at the VLA. The round-trip phase correction can be enabled or disabled on an antenna-by-antenna basis in the VLA software, and this will be done as the need arises.
(8) The new code to handle the larger delays that are used in the VLA correlator will be in place by late August. Since only a few delay boards will be modified by that time, this may necessitate some switching back and forth, which will probably cause there to be two possible values for the phased-VLA delay for VLBI observations. This is an operational issue that needs to be carefully monitored.
(9) Geodetic PT coordinates must be properly rotated and specified in the VLA coordinate system.
(10) The $k$-term (axis offset of the phase center must be properly applied at PT, and no one knows the sign of that term relative to the VLA antennas from first principles. The delay offset will be about 6 nsec , which should not be a significant issue. The sign will eventually be figured out from experience.
(11) The $L 8$ will be in place to enable PT phase-switching, although that does not seem to be necessary for first fringes. The phase switching will be used or turned off, as necessary.
(12) There was some discussion of using the pulsar gate to gate the correlator, if necessary, to fix up the non-overlapping data-invalid intervals. However, this is not thought to be necessary for first fringes, where we can tolerate the (roughly) $0.5 \mathrm{millisec} \mathrm{m}^{2}$ of invalid data that get to the correlator outside the correlator's data-invalid period.
(13) Throughout the meeting, there were tangential discussions on the plans for the $1-I F$ tests. Rather than report the various ideas now, it was agreed that Jim Ulvestad would start preparing a draft test plan over the next month or two.

Distribution: Bagri, Beresford, Blachman, C. Broadwell, Brundage, Campbell, Clark, Ferraro, Koski, Long, R. Perley, Sowinski, Sramek, Walker, Wrobel

Subject: vlba LO settings
Date: Thu, 14 May 1998 10:10:22 -0600 (MDT)
From: Ken Sowinski [ksowinsk@arana.aoc.NRAO.EDU](mailto:ksowinsk@arana.aoc.NRAO.EDU)
To: julvestad@arana.aoc.NRAO.EDU, rberesford@arana.aoc.NRAO.EDU
Someone asked to see the table of LO settings I had compiled.

| Band | AC | BD | VLBA first LO |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 4860.1 | 4810.1 | 3.9 or 4.1 |
| U | 14.9899 | 14.9399 | 14.4 |
| K | 22.4601 | 22.4101 | $\begin{aligned} & 21.5(8.9 \& 12.6 \\ & \text { or } 21.8(8.9 \& 1 \end{aligned}$ |
| L | 1.4399 | 1.3601 | 2.1 (LSB) |
| X | 8.4601 | 9.5101 | 7.6 or 9.4 (LSB) |
| Q | 43.2899 | 43.3399 | 42.4(7.6\& 11.6 |

TO: Dick Sramek
FROM: Jim Ulvestad
SUJECT: VLA-PT lin' budget accounting
CC: Ron Beresford, Jack Campbell

Despite repeated requests to the business office. I have not yet received any accounting of the actual dollars spent in the VLA-PT link project, Project Account 12625. I have attempted to reconcile the budget numbers based on the information I have on hand. However, I find myself unable to do so completely, for (at least) the following additional reasons:
(1) There may have been purchase orders that went out early in the lifetime of the project, and for which I did not receive copies.
(2) Since $I$ have no signature authority on the purchase orders, I never get a copy of anything back after orders have been placed. Therefore, I can only rely on the copies I make of the initial purchase orders that go out, with no knowledge of price adjustments, cancellations, or any other information on the status of the requistions.

With the above caveats in mind, and with a summary supplied by Ron Beresford, I have derived the following numbers. There are two budgets for 1998, one from Dick Sramek dated 6 February. and a revision by Jim Ulvestad dated 29 May, based on updated information from Beresford and a subsequent meeting among Sramek, Beresford, and Ulvestad. There are two numbers for the amount spent, one from a summary supplied by Beresford, dated 26 June, and one from the purchase orders initialed by Ulvestad, as of 11 July. As can be seen below, the "as-spent" numbers are not in great agreement with each other, but are actually surprisingly close, given the nature of the input data.

| Proj. Code |  | Budgets (\$K) |  | Actuals (\$K) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Sramek 6 Feb | Ulvestad 29 May | Beresford 26 June | Ulvestad <br> 11 July |
| . 2030 | Test Eq. | 89.0 | 89.0 | 75.4 | 60.7 |
| . 3030 | General | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.4 | 13.4 |
| . 3031 | Trans/FO | 75.0 | 74.0 | 28.1 | 29.7 |
|  |  |  |  | $(=43.5)^{(.303}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 031) \\ & (=43.1) \end{aligned}$ |
| . 3032 | LO/IF | 33.0 | 23.0 | 1.3 | 0.5 |
| . 3034 | M/C | 4.0 | 5.0 | 3.1 | 6.9 |
| . 3036 | Data Comm. | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| . 3037 | Correlator | 55.0 | 55.0 | 0.3 | 0.8 |
| . 3038 | VLA modules | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| . 3039 | Misc. | 5.0 | 5.0 | 2.1 | 2.4 |

Note the following explanations for discrepancies:
(1) It appears that there was at least one major purchase of test equipment for which I did not receive any copies of the purchase order.
(2) The equipment purchased early on in the general code . 3030, before it was broken down into the more specific items, was dominated by the purchase of over 100 km of optical fiber, which belongs in code . 3031 . Therefore, I have added figures (in parentheses) indicating the sum of the purchases under these two numbers.
(3) There are discrepancies of a few hundred dollars accounted for by purchases that have gone out since about mid-June, that are included in my figures but not in Beresford's. Also in this category is a $\$ 750$ purchase order under account . 3037, which was just submitted on July 8.
(4) In account . 3034, Beresford's numbers include only the evaluation kits ordered in early April. They do not include the PC order for about $\$ 1.7 \mathrm{~K}$ placed in early February, a recent $\$ 1.2 \mathrm{~K}$ order for PC boards, and a host of small miscellaneous orders adding up to another $\$ 0.8 \mathrm{~K}$. I suspect that the $\$ 1.7 \mathrm{~K}$ purchase was never made, and may have been superseded, but I have received no information to that effect.

Based on the above accounting, I am initialing and passing on to Sramek purchase orders totaling $\$ 8.5 \mathrm{~K}$ in code .3032 . $\$ 5.3 \mathrm{~K}$ (plus one item uncosted) in code .3031 , and $\$ 0.2 \mathrm{~K}$ in code .3034 . I note that we appear to be overspending the budget in code . 3034. However, this depends on whether the February PC order was placed or disappeared. It also appears possible that a lot of miscellaneous components are being ordered under . 3034 that may belong in .3039 , but $I$ have no insight into whether random resistors, capacitors, and integrated circuits should be identified specifically with monitor and control. If these items really belong under code . 3034, we may need to consider increasing that budget item by several thousand dollars.

I'll be out of the country until July 24 , but Josette Chavez has my itinerary if there is any need to reach me.

## Subject: Re: PT tests

Date: Mon, 2 Nov 1998 15:18:30-0700 (MST)
From: Ken Sowinski [ksowinsk@arana.aoc.NRAO.EDU](mailto:ksowinsk@arana.aoc.NRAO.EDU)
To: dvanhorn@arana.aoc.NRAO.EDU, phicks@arana.aoc.NRAO.EDU
CC: vlaops@arana.aoc.NRAO.EDU, rberesford@arana.aoc.NRAO.EDU, cbroadwell@arana.aoc.NRAO.EDU

I wrote:

## > Dave,

$>$
> For the PT tests tomorrow, Nov 3, we would like to have the > following antennas operational and available:
$>$
$>4,10,16,23$ and 25.
$>$
> The fibre will be connected in the signal path for antenna 25
$>$ (DCS 110 ) pad CW1.
$>$
> Please let us know if you need any of these antennas for other work;
> if necessary they can be replaced, given sufficient notice.
Unfortunately I got it wrong. We intend to connect the fibre in the signal path of antenna 10 (DCS '2) pad CW2. Antenna 25 will not be required. We will need one more antenna not on this list for a total of 5 and prefer that it be antenna 22. The complete list of required antennas then is:

4, 10, 16, 22 and 23.
Ron will do his work on antenna 10 and Chuck will have to install modified delay cards for antenna 22.

Sorry for the confusion, Ken

Subject: "plan" for PT tests on Wednesday (and Friday, I suppose)
Date: Mon, 16 Nov 1998 15:38:56-0700 (MST)
From: Ken Sowinski [ksowinsk@arana.aoc.NRAO.EDU](mailto:ksowinsk@arana.aoc.NRAO.EDU)
To: vlaops@arana.aoc.NRAO.EDU, julvestad@arana.aoc.NRAO.EDU, rberesford@arana.aoc.NRAO.EDU
CC: bsahr@arana.aoc.NRAO.EDU

Protocol for Test 2 -- November 18, 1998
(from the software/operations point of view)

1. Park and secure antenna 10.
2. Select signal donor antenna (preferably 22 or 4 ??).
3. Rewire as necessary.
4. Modify ANTENNAS file to put antenna 10 on pad VPT and swap delay line numbers for antenna 10 and the donor antenna.
5. Modify BASELINE file to put VPT at $(0,0,0)$ so L7 cmds to PT rack are identically zero and adjust delay term for donor antenna if necessary.
6. Set the "IF B" L6 of antenna 10 (PT) to 539.9 by asking for 3540. This will mix the VLA IF to where we would expect it had PT really been used.
7. Use observe file which specifies $f(P T)$ for $C$ band as 4.1 GHz .
8. Tune delays for test signal by modifying delay term in BASELINE for the donor antenna.
9. Verify data quality
10. Decide what changes are necessary if we wish to go on to test 4 which does fringe rotation in the PT rack rather than at the VLA donor antenna. Answer: 1) VPT must be placed at the location of the donor antenna and 2) G10 must be run from T32 to guarantee that the fringe rotation commands for the other L7 in the PT rack remain identically zero.

Phase switching should work properly if the delay line numbers are switched as described in item 4.

This test could be done with antenna 10 in one subarray and all the rest in another, but I see nothing to be gained at this stage from such a complication.
7י
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## Subject: Re: Pie Town position error

Date: Thu, 3 Dec 1998 17:18:23-0700 (MST)
From: Ken Sowinski [ksowinsk@arana.aoc.NRAO.EDU](mailto:ksowinsk@arana.aoc.NRAO.EDU)
To: ksowinsk@cv3.cv.nrao.edu, mclausse@cv3.cv.nrao.edu, julvesta@cv3.cv.nrao.edu, rberesford@arana.aoc.NRAO.EDU

I looked up my notes on the PT position calculation and it looks like Jim's argumnet is plausible.

I initially calculated a rough position using a survey position for pietown. Later Barry used more precise VLBA positions for the VLA and PT. These were differecned and that vector transformed to the VLA coordinate system. The difference in these two positions is about 36ns, more or less 11 m. . and about the delay differecne we find. Unfortunately I never modified the baseline file to use the refined position!

Further, I calculate that the $K$ term can contribute no more than 10 degrees of phase per 10 seconds of time in the worst case at $x$ band. We know that the cloeck difference can ontribute no more than about 8 degrees of phase per 10 seconds at $C$ band. All these estimates seem to hold together reasnably well.

I will put in the refined PT position. Someone should try to verify that the we think we know the correct sense of the $K$ term at PT. I will see about trying to properly interpret the sense of the RT phase measurement for the PT antenna and will go through the algebra again to see if if can turn RT phase in to visibility phase.

Doing all this should help phase stability a lot. Anyone care to look at and explain bandpasses?

Cheers,
Ken

# Subject: First VLA-PT fringes <br> Date: Fri, 04 Dec 1998 07:23:50 -0700 <br> From: Jim Ulvestad [julvesta@arana.aoc.NRAO.EDU](mailto:julvesta@arana.aoc.NRAO.EDU) <br> Organization: National Radio Astronomy Observatory 

To: mgoss@cv3.cv.nrao.edu, pvandenb@cv3.cv.nrao.edu, rbrown@cv3.cv.nrao.edu, rperley@cv3.cv.nrao.edu, abridle@cv3.cv.nrao.edu, dsramek@cv3.cv.nrao.edu, kkellerm@cv3.cv.nrao.edu
CC: ksowinsk@cv3.cv.nrao.edu, rberesfo@cv3.cv.nrao.edu, cbroadwe@cv3.cv.nrao.edu, jwrobel@cv3.cv.nrao.edu, cwalker@cv3.cv.nrao.edu, mclausse@cv3.cv.nrao.edu, pperley@cv3.cv.nrao.edu, julvesta@cv3.cv.nrao.edu, dfinley@cv3.cv.nrao.edu, bclark@cv3.cv.nrao.edu

Hi all,
I thought I should pass on a bit more information on the first VLA-PT fringes. First fringes were acquired on 3C 345 at 6 cm , on Thursday December 3, at about 1730 UTC. Fringes were in Right Circular Polarization, using the modified delay 'piggybacks.' VLA antennas used were 23 (CW3), 4 (CW4), 17 (CW5), 16 (CW7), and 1 (CW8), with the Pie Town antenna "borrowing" the D-rack belonging to antenna 10, at CW2. Fringes were found within about 5 minutes of the VLA antennas getting on source. The measured delay was about 1.6 microseconds relative to the prediction based on the fiber delay minus the hypothetical waveguide delay to Pie Town. This means that the predicted fiber delay of about 505 microseconds was correct to within about 1.5 microseconds.

There was a considerable phase wind of about 35 to 40 degrees in 10 seconds at IF, compared to an estimate of 5 degrees per 10 seconds from the measured round-trip phase (the latter being consistent with the relative maser drift).

A source change to $3 C 454.3$ was made to try to investigate the nature of the phase drift. Fringes were found at 6 cm easily. Then, after swapping the IF distributor switch at Pie Town, fringes were found at 1.3 cm and (after correcting an error in the calculated LO) at 3.6 cm . The delay was quite different from 3C 345, by about 20 nsec . Then we went back to 3C 345 and found fringes at 1.3 and 3.6 cm , with the delay 20 nsec different from what it had been 3 hours previously.

Following the tests, we determined that an incorrect Pie Town position was in the VLA antennas file, with an error of about 11 meters, corresponding to about 36 nsec of delay. We consider it likely that this was responsible for the bulk of the phase wind and delay changes.

Bandpasses have somewhat strange amplitude shapes, similar to some strange shapes we saw in the VLA-only tests. This will have to be sorted out and fixed before we can hope to move toward an operational system. Bandpass plots will be made in AIPS when the data are available.

We have 3.5 hours of test time on Monday, December 7, and will hold a meeting today to decide our highest priorities for that time. Current thinking centers on doing software tests, characterizing the bandpass, getting fringes at all the other VLA/VLBA
frequencies (which requires getting all the sidebands and LOs correct, a non-trivial task), and seeing if the Pie Town position error was responsible for the bulk of the delay change over

Subject: Apparent station delays at PT relative to VLA
Date: Tue, 08 Dec 1998 18:29:19-0700
From: Jim Ulvestad [julvesta@arana.aoc.NRAO.EDU](mailto:julvesta@arana.aoc.NRAO.EDU) Organization: National Radio Astronomy Observatory

To: ksowinsk@cv3.cv.nrao.edu, rberesfo@cv3.cv.nrao.edu CC: julvesta@cv3.cv.nrao.edu, cwalker@cv3.cv.nrao.edu

Approximate delay measurements for PT, after 5-GHz delay is found and set to about 0 nsec, all relative to a single VLA antenna while observing 3C 345:
1.5 GHz: - 600 deg. in $50 \mathrm{MHz}-->-33 \mathrm{nsec}$
$5 \mathrm{GHz}: 0$ deg. in $50 \mathrm{MHz}-->0 \mathrm{nsec}$
8.4 GHz (LSB): -460 deg . in $50 \mathrm{MHz}-->-26 \mathrm{nsec}$
$15 \mathrm{GHz}:+220 \mathrm{deg}$. in $50 \mathrm{MHz}-->+12 \mathrm{nsec}$
$22 \mathrm{GHz}:+150 \mathrm{deg}$. in $50 \mathrm{MHz}-->+8 \mathrm{nsec}$
$43 \mathrm{GHz}:-300 \mathrm{deg}$. in $50 \mathrm{MHz} \rightarrow-17 \mathrm{nsec}$
These are probably good to 1-2 nsec.

3C 454.3, $5 \mathrm{GHz}:+30 \mathrm{deg}$. in $50 \mathrm{MHz}-->1.5 \mathrm{nsec}$, measured at 2030 UT. Phase drift is 200 deg. in 7 minutes. This is about 2 hours after the delay was set on 3C 345. Total drift in 120 minutes would be about 9.5 cycles at 5 GHz , or about 1.9 nsec . consistent in magnitude with the accumulated error. In any case, this corresponds to a PT position error of only about 0.5 meters, rather than about 2-3 meters as we thought in real time.

It's possible that all the signs need to be reversed, of course.

- ju -


# VLA Computer Memo xxx <br> The LO Chain and Round-trip-phase Corrections 

K. Sowinski

December 22, 1986

I have expended some effort lately to understand the VLA local oscillator chain, and particularly to be able to construct the round-trip phase correction. With a great deal of help from Barry Clark, I think I now understand matters and set that understanding down here so I will know what to say when someone asks me about it some years hence.

There are several quantities related to the LO chain that need to be determined to properly run the fringe stopping module (L7). These are: the sign convention for the 10.1 MHz signal which modulates the LO phase; the net sideband; the "sky frequency", called here the signed sum of the LOs and, if the round-trip phase correction is to be applied, a multiplicative factor to convert a phase change at 600 MHz to a change of antenna visiblity phase. Some of these quantities must be preserved for other uses as well. This memo will derive these quantities and define the form in which they will be available to the online system; in carrying out the latter, it may delve deeper into jargon than reader will be comfortable with.

I present first a conceptual summary of the LO chain. There are five local oscillators in the signal path from feed to sampler:

1. First LO (F3, F2 or F12)
2. Second LO (L6)
3. IF Offset (Takes 1025/50 to 1325, 1425, 1575 and 1675)
4. Pre-baseband Mixer (Mixes the IFs with 1200 (USB) or 1800 (LSB))
5. Fluke derived (Takes the IFs to baseband; C and D are LSB)

Following Barry's notes I define:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{n}=\text { Frequency of Local Oscillator } n \quad \text { (negative frequency is taken to mean up-conversion) } \\
& s_{n}=\text { Sideband of LOn }( \pm 1) \\
& \phi_{n}=\text { Phase of Local Oscillator } n \\
& \psi_{n}=\text { Phase of IF after mixing with LO } n
\end{aligned}
$$

We must remember that all these quantities must be defined independantly for each of the four IFs.
Typical values of ' $f$ ' and ' $s$ ' for each IF at 6 cm might be:

| freq | A | B | C | D | s | A | B | C | D |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2 | 3860.1 | 3810.1 | 3860.1 | 3810.1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 3 | -300 | -400 | -550 | -650 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 4 | 1200 | 1200 | 1800 | 1800 |  | 1 | 1 | -1 | -1 |
| 5 | 100 | 200 | 250 | 150 |  | 1 | 1 | -1 | -1 |

The values of $f_{3}, f_{4}, s_{2}, s_{3} s_{4}$, and $s_{5}$ are fixed by the design of the LO system; $s_{4}$ and $s_{5}$ are - 1 for IF C and D , the remainder of the $s_{n}$ are +1 . The values of $f_{1}, f_{2}$ and $f_{5}$ are controlled by the LO card. The values of $s_{1}$ depend on observing band and are -1 at XX band and UU band. In the case of the alternate input signal path used at low frequencies we will, by convention, take $f_{1}=0$ and $f_{2}=-(L 6-3000)$.

We can now write the signed sum of the LOs and the frequency of the IF relative to the waveguide channel carrier:

$$
\begin{gathered}
f_{S S L O}=f_{1}+s_{1} f_{2}+s_{1} s_{2} f_{3}+s_{1} s_{2} s_{3} f_{4}+s_{1} s_{2} s_{3} s_{4} f_{5} \\
f_{W G}=f_{4}+s_{4} f_{5}+s_{4} s_{5} f_{B W H}
\end{gathered}
$$

where $f_{B W H}$ is one-half the bandwidth.

Suppose now, that from the 600 MHz round-trip phase measurement we derive a path length change of D seconds in the waveguide, then:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi_{1}=f_{1} D \\
& \psi_{1}=-s_{1} \phi_{1}=-s_{1} f_{1} D \\
& \phi_{2}=f_{2} D \\
& \psi_{2}=s_{2}\left(\psi_{1}-f_{2} D\right)=-s_{2} D\left(f_{2}+s_{1} f_{1}\right) \\
& \phi_{3}=f_{3} D \\
& \psi_{3}=-s_{3} D\left(f_{3}+s_{2} f_{2}+s_{1} s_{2} f_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

And after coming back through the waveguide:

$$
\psi_{3}=-s_{3} D\left(f_{3}+s_{2} f_{2}+s_{1} s_{2} f_{1}\right)+f_{W G} D
$$

$f_{4}$ goes through the waveguide twice before being used to mix down the IF:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi_{4}=2 f_{4} D \\
& \psi_{4}=-s_{4} D\left(2 f_{4}+s_{3} f_{3}+s_{2} s_{3} f_{2}+s_{1} s_{2} s_{3} f_{1}-f_{W G}\right) \\
& \phi_{5}=0 \\
& \psi_{5}=s_{5} \psi_{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $s_{n e t}=s_{1} s_{2} s_{3} s_{4} s_{5}$ be the net sideband, and we know $s_{n}=1 / s_{n}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_{5} & =-s_{n e t} D\left(f_{1}+s_{1} f_{2}+s_{1} s_{2} f_{3}+2 s_{1} s_{2} s_{3} f_{4}-s_{1} s_{2} s_{3} f_{4}-s_{1} s_{2} s_{3} s_{4} f_{5}-s_{1} s_{2} s_{3} s_{4} s_{5} f_{B W H}\right) \\
& =-s_{n e t} D\left(f_{S S L O}-2 s_{1} s_{2} s_{3} s_{4} f_{5}-s_{n e t} f_{B W H}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then,

$$
\phi_{c o r r}=\frac{\phi_{r t}}{1200}\left(s_{\text {net }} f_{S S L O}-2 s_{5} f_{5}-f_{B W H}\right)
$$

is the correction to be applied given a round-trip phase measurement $\phi_{r t}$.
The round-trip phase correction is combined with the calculated geometric interferometer phase and applied along with the phase rate to the $L 7$ module. This produces a 10.1 MHz signal modulated with the phase information to be used by the L6 to produce $f_{2}=n 50 \pm 10.1 \mathrm{MHz}$. The sign of the phase derivative is given by:

$$
s_{f \text { ringe }}=s_{1} \operatorname{sign}\left(f_{2}\right) \operatorname{sign}(10.1 \mathrm{MHz})
$$

To drive the L7 we must maintain $s_{f r i n g e}$ and $f_{S S L O}$ for each IF; in addition $\phi_{\text {corr }} / \phi_{r t}$ is required to perform the round-trip phase correction.
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Fig. 1. Block diagram of 2- $\operatorname{lig}_{\mathrm{G}} \mathrm{GHz}$ synthesizer.
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## SCIENCE RESULTS

## First Images on VLA - Pie Town Link

The VLA-Pie Town real-time link has recently obtained its first images, following the first fringes reported in NRAO Newsletter No. 78 (1 January 1999). On August 12, 1999, fringes were detected from Pie Town (PT) to all the antennas of the VLA in 2 IF bands (the AC IF pair), with the VLA in the A configuration. Along with test data of various types, a 30 -minute snapshot was obtained on the radio galaxy 3C84 at 6 cm wavelength. A "first image" using the VLA+PT was made from this observation; the source was completely unresolved, with the synthesized beam reduced by nearly a factor of two in east-west extent. (A full synthesis track is required to obtain the best two-dimensional resolution.) The dynamic range for the VLA + PT image is as good as that for the VLA-only image, well over $10,000: 1$ with rudimentary self-calibration, indicating that phase-closure errors are quite small. The first image is shown below; further information and ( $u, v$ ) coverage can be accessed at http://www.nrao.edu/~julvesta/vlapt_dir/first-images.html.

In August and September 1999, the first scientific observations were made with the VLA+PT. Four projects selected by the Scheduling Committee were observed; all were mainly spectroscopic observations. The first science observation using VLA+PT was a project to study redshifted HI absorption toward the gravitational lens PKS 1830-211, at a frequency of 1191 MHz . This project (scientific investigators were Chris Carilli, Marc Verheijen, and Karl Menten) was observed on August 20, 1999, and was deemed very successful, resolving the HI absorption components against the two main sources in the gravitational lens. Results from the other three science
projects are pending. These scientific observations made use of translator software written by Barry Clark, which converts a VLA observing file into the file format needed for the Pie Town VLBA computer to operate the antenna and frontends at PT.

During the next several months, the final design of the link hardware will be implemented and tested. Included in this design and implementation will be a full 4 IF capability. Testing and characterization of the phase stability of the link, as well as testing and implementation of phase-correction algorithms, will be performed. Development of necessary user calibration techniques as well as definition of operational requirements will also be a focus of the near-term future. Full operation of the link as a user facility is expected for the next VLA A configuration in the fourth quarter of 2000. NRAO plans to advertise the official availability of the VLA-PT link well in advance of the June 1, 2000, proposal deadline for that configuration.

NRAO would like to give a special acknowledgment to project engineer Ron Beresford, who is responsible for the design and much of the implementation of the fiberoptic connection hardware at Pie Town and the VLA. Ron has been in Socorro since June 1997, on leave from the Australia Telescope National Facility, and will be returning to Australia near the end of this year.

M. J. Claussen and J. S. Ulvestad



## Status of VLA Q-band ( 7 mm ) Receivers

Additional antennas equipped with 7 mm receivers will become available for the upcoming VLA configurations. Until recently, only 13 of the 27 VLA antennas were equipped with Q-band ( 7 mm ) receivers. Starting in August 1999, new Q-band receivers are being installed on other VLA antennas. Antennas 18,19 and 21 will be out fitted with new receivers by mid October 1999. Three more antennas are expected to be equipped
by the end of the year, bringing the total number of antennas with $Q$-band receivers to 19. If things proceed as scheduled, then we expect 25 VLA antennas to be equipped with Q-band receivers by the end of 2000.

## Engineering Services Status

Engineering has embarked on a project which promises to be the most significant hardware improvement to VLA antenna blind pointing since the yoke and major structural members were insulated in the mid 1980s. Currently, electronics for the Inductosyn absolute position encoders used on the VLA permit cyclical excursions of several arcseconds in pointing accuracy. In addition, an unreliable overlap between coarse and fine encoding causes frequent telescope failure. The new electronics are expected to reduce the cyclical errors to the subarcsecond level and eliminate the unreliable overlap problem altogether. Additional bits in the new encoder system will provide higher resolution in measuring absolute position and provide improved reliability in detecting the state of the azimuth cable wrap-up.

A prototype of the new encoder electronics has been installed on Antenna 24 for evaluation and is working satisfactorily. Together with mechanical projects such as improved encoder couplings and replacement of failed azimuth bearings, the new encoder electronics project is expected to lead to 6 arcsecond blind pointing on the VLA antennas. Ten arcsecond pointing is the current mean. The project may also have important implications for VLBA pointing improvements since the same type of absolute position encoders are used for the VLBA antennas. The design is based on earlier encoder electronics work at the UA/SAO MMTO. More information is available in VLA Test Memo 218 or e-mail bbroilo@nrao.edu.

## C. C. Janes and B. M. Broilo

## New Mexico Computing Developments

After one year of centrally administered Linux support, the AOC has now approximately 40 workstations and laptops running this operating system. Thirty of these are new purchases, and ten are machines that used to be running Windows. Nearly all standard software packages available under Solaris have been installed for use under Linux. These packages include AIPS, AIPS ++ , IRAF, miriad, gipsy, sched and jobserve. For the foreseeable future, we intend to continue full local support for both Solaris and Linux.

We are completing our preparations for dealing with the Y2K bug. We intend to complete the final phases of ensuring operating system Y2K compliance over the next few months. All SGI systems have been upgraded to IRIX 6.5.3. All Sparc systems at both the AOC and VLA will be upgraded to Solaris 2.6, and the Linux systems will be upgraded to Redhat 6.0. All system upgrades should be completed by early November at the latest. At the VLA site, the operating system for the VLA control computers has now been updated with the Y2K patches. Y2K compliant application software was installed during the September update of the VLA online software.

The AOC help desk has been in existence since the beginning of July. During the first 2.5 months, approximately 200 requests were received, 130 of those requests have been resolved. Many of the remaining requests are long term projects. The help desk is staffed Monday through Friday 9 a.m. to 12 p.m. and 1 p.m. to 4 p.m. The office may be reached at (505) 835-7213.

Requests may be submitted either by sending mail to helpdesk@aoc.nrao.edu or by filling out the form on http://helpdesk.aoc.nrao.edu. Users can track the status of their own and other outstanding items on the web as well. We feel that the introduction of the help desk has improved feedback to the users, and at the same time, allows more efficient use of the systems administrators' time.

Testing of the new VLA correlator controller has begun. This system will eventually replace the VLA correlator system controller, a Modcomp computer, and the Array Processor. Enough hardware and software is now complete to allow initial testing at the VLA site. The VLA expansion computing team is defining system requirements, evaluating technology, and developing a computing plan. Development of an interface to the VLA monitor and control system was begun. This interface will be used by the new control system during the transition from current to modified VLA antennas, and as a test bed for the VLA expansion computing design.

A prototype of a computer system which will deliver geometric delays for the VLA Upgrade and the VLBA correlator has been built. This model server is built on Goddard Space Flight Center Calc version 9.1 and runs on an independent computer. Requests from the instruments for delays come over a network connection. The prototype is under test with the VLBA correlator.
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# The Optical-Fiber Transmission Link Between the VLA and the Pie Town VLBA Station 

M. J Claussen, R. Beresford, K. Sowinski, J. S. Ulvestad (NRAO)

We report on the implementation of a $104-\mathrm{km}$ fiber-optic link between the NRAO's VLA and the Pie Town (NM) VLBA antenna (Pt), in order to transmit analog intermediate frequency (IF) and local oscillator (LO) signals, and also to transmit digital monitor and control signals to and from the two instruments. The IF signal from the Pt antenna is successfully returned to the VLA over the fiber-optic link, and is fed into the real-time correlator, where it is treated just as any other VLA signal (except for the larger delay). Using the VLA-Pt link, the angular resolution of the VLA can be effectively doubled for objects in much of the sky, while maintaining the full sensitivity of the 27 -antenna VLA. We will describe the details of the link and its implementation, and show the first images made with the link operating. We expect full operation of the VLA-Pt link as a user facility to be available in the next $\mathbf{A}$ configuration during the last quarter of 2000. NRAO's proposal deadline for that configuration will be 1 June 2000.

The success of the VLA-Pt link is founded on the efforts of a large number of individuals at The National Radio Astronomy Observatory. The NRAO is a facility of the National Science Foundation operated under cooperative agreement by Associated Universities, Inc.
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ing self-organization, they displaced silver atoms and formed islands of sulfur embedded in the silver sheet. The strain on the silver sheets caused them to distort to accommodate the sulfur islands and caused the flat ruthenium substrate underneath to warp slightly into the shape of a cup. These distortions caused the sulfur islands to repel each other and organize into an ordered pattern.
Once the lattice pattern had been formed, researchers were able to obtain the elastic constants of the lattice. This was done by measuring the thermal fluctuations of the centers of mass
of each vacancy island. They also were able to quantify the weak forces responsible for the lattice's stability.

According to researchers, those were roughly 10,000 times less than the electrical forces that operate at close range between atoms. These results are consistent with general theories of strain-mediated interactions between surface defects in strained films.

The discovery and quantifying of these forces may give researchers the clues they need to control and tune the pattern-forming interactions to create specific patterns. This is significant be cause of the extreme difficulty associ-
ated with patterning at such small scales. The substrate distortion produced by the self-organization phenomenon could lead to a way to make ordered arrangements.

The nanopattern research team recently received additional funding from Sandia Labs to try to determine how general the self-organizing phenomenon is, and whether it can be applied to other metals.

For more information on the ongoing research and experimentation into nanopatterns, check out the Sandia web site at urwosandia.gov.

Cheryl Afluni

## Fiber-Optic Link Provides Radio Telescopes A Four-Fold Increase In Image Detail

Scientists and engineers at the National Radio Astronomy Observatory (NRAO) in Socorro, N.M., have demonstrated the longest fiberoptic data link ever used in radio astronomy. The 65 -mile link connects two National Science Foundation (NSF) facilities-the Very Large Array (VLA) radio telescope in Socorro, and an antenna of the continent-wide Very Long Baseline Array (VLBA) system in Pie Town, N.M.

The link will allow scientists to use the two NSF facilities together in real time. It's also the first step toward expanding the VLA to include eight proposed new antennas throughout New Mexico. The project, funded by the NSF and Associated Universities Inc., links the VLA and the VLBA antennas through a Western New Mexico Telephone Co. iber-optic cable.
"Linking the Pie Town antenna to the VLA quadruples the VLA's ability to make detailed images of astronomical objects," said Paul Vanden Bout, NRAO's director. "This alone makes the link an advance for science, but its greater importance is that it clearly demonstrates the technology for improving the VLA's capabilities even more in the future."

The VLA is a system of 27 radiotelescope antennas distributed over the high desert west of Socorro in the shape of a giant "Y." Made famous in movies, commercials, and numerous published photos, the VLA has been
one of the most productive and versatile astronomical observatories in the world since its dedication in 1980 . The VLBA consists of 10 radio telescopes distributed across the continental United States, Hawaii, and St. Croix in the Caribbean.

The cosmic radio waves received by each antenna in the VLA and VLBA are combined with those received by every other antenna in the system. This produces images with extremely high resolution. The more widely separated the antennas, the greater the resolving power. The greatest separation between antennas in the VLA is 20 miles; in the VLBA, it's 5000 miles.

Yet, because of the way in which such multi-antenna radio telescopes, called interferometers, work, a gap exists between the levels of detail obtainable with the VLA and the VLBA. Linking the VLA to the VLBA Pie Town antenna is the first step toward filling in that gap and allowing astronomers to see structures of any scale-small, medium, or large-in objects such as stars, galaxies, and quasars. The eight additional proposed antennas would close that gap.

Adding the new antennas to the VLA "would provide the capability to image astronomical objects on all spatial scales, from the very largest to the very smallest," says Vanden Bout. "The combination of the VLA and VLBA then would be the only single instrument in astronomy covering
such a range of spatial scales."
Authorized by Congress in 1972, the existing array of antennas was built from 1974 to 1980. The additional antennas are part of a comprehensive plan that the NRAO developed for upgrading the VLA. Replacement of the original, 1970s-era electronic equipment also is included. The refurbishment will improve the VLA's scientific capabilities from tenfold to a hundredfold in all research areas. For a modest investment, it also would provide an enhanced facility many times more powerful than the original VLA.
"Though the VLA today is hundreds of times more capable than its original design, some of the technologies of the 1970s that still are in use threaten the instrument with premature obsolescence," said Miller Goss, NRAO's director of VLA/VLBA operations. "Replacing those with today's technology will ensure the VLA's continued role as one of the world's premier astronomical research facilities."

The link project involved designing, building, and testing specialized electronic equipment to connect the VLA and the Pie Town antennas to the fiberoptic cable. Additionally, hardware and software at the VLA was modified to allow using the Pie Town antenna as an integral part of the VLA. "This was an extremely complex undertaking, and it succeeded because of an outstanding team effort involving scientists, engineers and technicians," Goss said.

For more information, contact the National Radio Astronomy Observatory, P.O. Box 0, Socorro, NM 87801, or visit its web site at $u w w . n r a o . e d u$.

Joseph Desposito

## DATA SHEETS

## RF Fiber-Optic Small Integrated Receiver Unit (SIRU)



## Applications

- Cellular And PCS Antenna Remoting
- Microwave Delay-Lines
- Precise Frequency-Distribution Systems
- Radar System Calibration
- Phased Array Antenna Systems


## Features

- Integrates a high-frequency photodiode into a ruggedized package
- 0.01-3 GHz bandwidth
- Photocurrent monitoring circuit


## peçifications (SIRU I I |4)

Physical
Configuration Environmentally-sealed unit.Dimensions . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $0.61^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{H} \times 3.25^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} \times 2.25^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{D}$Custom Configurations Available
Operating/ Storage Temperature $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $+70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
Electrical
Frequency Range (see plots) . . . . . . 10 MHz to 3 GHz
Electrical Power Requirements ....+15V @ 0.25 A
Photocurrent monitor output ..... I V/mA
RF Connector ..... SMA (female)
Optical
Wavelength ..... $1300-1600 \mathrm{~nm}$
Connectors Diamond AVIM, angle-polished
Optical Input Power +3 dBm maximum
Responsivity at DC ..... $>0.85$ AW
Internal matching impedance ..... $.500 \Omega$

# Uniphase Telecommunications Products Transmission Systems Division 

## Direct Modulation SIRU FINAL ATP RESULTS

Model Number: SIRU1114
Serial Number: 2027
Environment: Ambient Temperature Testing

| PhotoDiode (PD) Current: | $\frac{.894}{}[\mathrm{~mA}]$ | Note 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PD Monitor Voltage: | $\frac{.921}{[V]}$ | Note 1 |
| PD Monitor Voltage: | $\frac{.035}{}[\mathrm{~V}] 3.5 \mathrm{mV} ?$ | Note 2 |
| Frequency Response | See S21 Plot | Note 1 |
| Bandwidth: | See S21 Plot | Note 1. |

+15 Volt Power Supply Current 33 [mA]

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { for ode (1000 wW) PD volts }=0.921 \\
\qquad 921 \mathrm{kV} / \mathrm{mW}
\end{gathered}
$$

Operator: Darren W. Gray Date: 7-21-98

## Notes:

1. Optical Input Power $=0 \mathrm{dBmo} \mathrm{at} \lambda=1310 \mathrm{~mm}$
2. No Optical Input to Receiver
3. Optical Input Power $=0 \mathrm{dBmo}$ at $\lambda=1550 \mathrm{~mm}$
4. Tested with Externally Modulated SITU

Host-seal.
21 Jul 1998 08: 14: 46




## UTP <br> Final Test Data Sheet

| Customer Name: | Associated Universities Inc. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Catalog Number: | S5-150-1-1-C2-P1-12-O2=S |
| Part Number: | S5150-001953 |
| Description: | 1550 nm 2.5 GHz MZM |
|  |  |
| Job Number | J 1208 |
| Serial Number: | $\mathbf{8 1 1 4 1 E}$ |
| Sliver ID Number: | $8114-1-\mathrm{E}$ |
|  |  |
| Input Fiber Type: | Fujikura SM-15-P-8/125-UV/UV-400 |
| Output Fiber Type: | Fujikura SM-15-P-8/125-UV/UV-400 |

## Optical Performance Parameters

| Parameter | Value | Units |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Insertion Loss: | 3.8 | dB |  |
| On/Off Extinction: | 27.0 | dB |  |
| Output Polarization Crosstalk: | 21.8 | dB |  |
| RF Electrode Half-wave Voltage: | 2.9 | V |  |
| Bias Electrode Half-wave Voltage: | 4.2 | V |  |
| Test Wavelength: | 1553 | nm |  |
| Source Type: | Laser Diode |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Electrical Performance Parameters |  |  |  |

$S_{n}$ (Return Loss) Plot Attached
S $_{21}$ (Thru Loss) Plot Attached
$\qquad$

S11 S/N 8114-1-E


S11 S/N 8114-1-EPM


S21 S/N 8114-1-E



# Operating Instructions for Standard Uniphase Electro-optic Intensity Modulators 



Fig. 1. Do not remove the cap on the BLAS PORT. No bias voltage is required for normal modulator operation.

This part does not require a bias voltage under normal operating conditions.
This device is designed for operation with AC coupled signal sources. The zero volt operating point of this modulator has been set to half intensity (quadrature) during device fabrication as shown in Fig. 2.

## Fiber Optic Connections to All Modulators:

- Connect the laser output fiber to the modulator input fiber. The input fiber is panda style polarization maintaining fiber. The polarization vector should be parallel to the fiber stress rods as shown in Fig. 3. If the modulator was purchased with an FC connector on the input fiber, the polarization vector should be aligned parallel to a line drawn through the key and the fiber core. Excessive optical loss will result if the polarization vector is not aligned properly with respect to the stress rods.
- The output fiber should be connected to a photodiode or other component. The output fiber is single mode; however, the light is polarized. In most applications, the orientation of the polarization at the fiber output does not affect system performance.
Polarization maintaining fiber at the output port may be specified when a modulator is ordered if output polarization orientation is important in an application.


## Digital Modulators: Electrical Comnections

- The shorting cap should not be removed from the BIAS PORT. No voltage is required at this port.
- The electrical signal should be connected to the SMA connector at the RF PORT. No DC offset voltage should be applied to the RF PORT.
- The amplitude of the applied signal should be adjusted to optimize the output signal.


## Analog Modulators: Electrical Connections

- No voltage to the BIAS PORT is required in most applications.
- In some applications a bias voltage may be used to tune the operating point and minimize even order distortion products in the optical output signal.
- No DC offset voltage should be applied to the RF PORT.
- A DC voltage applied to the BIAS PORT may induce drift in the operating point over time. A customer-supplied bias control loop may be required to stabilize the operating point of the modulator if a DC voltage is applied to the BIAS PORT.
If a zero volt operating point different than half intensity is required in an application, it can specified when a modulator is ordered.


Fig 2. Modulator Transfer Function. The bias point is set during the manufacturing process to half intensity at zero volts.


Figure 3: Standard fiber orientation for polarization maintaining fiber


For further information, request a copy of our UTP Designer's Guide to External modulation, or call us at (860) 769-3000 and ask for technical assistance on modulators, or fax us at (860) 769-3001.

## CQF938/50

## High power 1550 nm DFB laser with PMF fiber FEATURES

- 1550 nm DFB laser diode
- polarization maintaining fiber
- 50 mW output power
- $25 \Omega$ electrical matching
- built-in thermo-electric cooler
- built-in optical isolator


CHARACTERISTICS ( $R_{H}=10 \mathrm{k} \Omega, T_{\text {case }}=25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}_{0}=50 \mathrm{~mW}$ unless otherwise specified)

Symbol Parameter
$I_{\text {th }} \quad$ threshold current
Po output power from pigtail
$\lambda_{c} \quad$ central wavelength
SMSR side mode suppression ratio
RIN relative intensity noise
$\Delta \lambda$ linewidth

| Min | Typ | Max | Unit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| - | 25 | - | mA |
| 50 | - | - | mW |
| - | 1555 | - | nm |
| - | 35 | - | dB |
| - | - | -160 | $\mathrm{~dB} / \mathrm{Hz}$ |
|  |  | 1 | MHz |

The information presented in this document does not form part of any quotation or condract, is believed to be accurate and reliable and may be changed without notice. No liability will be accepted by the publisher for any consequence of its use. Publication thereof does not corvey nor imply any license under patent or other inckustrial or intellectual property rights.

Uniphase Netheriands B.V.
Ordering information: 992215556014

| LIMITING VALUES |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Symbol Parameter Conditions | Min |  | Max | Unit |
| Laser diode |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0} \quad$ radiant output power from pigtail | - |  | 100 |  |
| $V_{R} \quad$ reverse voltage | - |  | 2.0 | $v$ |
| If forward current | - |  | 600 | mA |
| Monitor diode |  |  |  |  |
| $V_{R}$ reverse voltage | - |  | 20 | $V$ |
| $I_{F}$ forward current | . |  | 10 | mA |
| Module |  |  |  |  |
| $T_{\text {op }}$ operating temperature range cooler active | -20 |  | +70 | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| $\mathrm{T}_{\text {stg }}$ storage temperature range | -40 |  | +70 | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| Fibre pigtail |  |  |  |  |
| R bending radius | 35 |  |  |  |
| F tensile strength fiore to case | 5 |  | 5 | $\mathbf{N}$ |
| CHARACTERISTICS ( $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{yh}}=10 \mathrm{k} \Omega$. $T_{\text {case }}=25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}_{0}=50 \mathrm{~mW}$ unless otherwise specified) |  |  |  |  |
| SymbolParameter Conditions | Min | Typ | Max | Unit |
| Laser diode |  |  |  |  |
| $P_{0} \quad$ radiant output power from pigtail | 50 | - | - | mW |
| $l_{\text {op }}$ operating current | - | 475 | 500 | mA |
| $\eta$ differential efficiencysee note 1 | - | 150 | - | mW/A |
| Ith threshold current <br> $V_{F}$ forward voltage | - | 25 | 40 | mA |
| $\lambda_{F} \quad$ forward voitage | 1547 | 1555 | 5 1560 | V |
| $\Delta \lambda$ linewidth | 1547 | 155 | 1560 | $\mathrm{nm}_{\mathrm{MHz}}$ |
| SMSA side mode suppression ratio | 30 | 35 | - | dB |
| ISO optical isolation | 30 | 35 | - | dB |
| RIN relative intensity noise $\quad 20-1000 \mathrm{MHz}$ |  | 5 | -160 | dB/Hz |
| Monltor clode ( $V_{R}=10 \mathrm{~V}$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| R monitor diode responsivity | 3 | 50 | - | mAWW |
| TE dark current ${ }_{\text {mat }}$ temperature tracking errorsee note 2 | - | - | 100 | nA |
| Thermistor |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\text {th }}$ resistance $\quad T_{\text {th }}=25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 9.5 | 10 | 10.5 | $k \Omega$ |
| $\Delta \mathrm{R} / \Delta T$ response | - | -4 | - | \% $K$ |
| Thermo-electric cooler ( $\Delta T=40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{I}_{\text {cool }}$ cooler current | - | - | 1.5 | A |
| Vcool cooler voltage | - | - | 4.0 | $V$ |
| Polarization maintaining fiber pigtail |  |  |  |  |
| 1 length of pigtail |  |  |  |  |
| E.R. extinction ratio | 18 | $20$ |  | $d B$ |
| Notes |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Tracting error is defined as the deviation of outpux power due to changes in case temperature over the maximum specified somperature range while the curment of the monitor diode and the resistance of the themistor are kept constant. Reference point is $P_{0}=10 \mathrm{~mW}, R_{m i n}=10.0 \mathrm{k} \Omega$ and $T_{\text {cese }}=25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The cooler current has to be controllod in suct a way that the thermistor resistance remains constant at 10.0 ke |  | $\begin{aligned} & \eta=\frac{P_{0} g}{P_{0}\left(T_{c o t}\right.} P_{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\left(I_{U}\right)-P_{0}( }{I_{L}-T_{t h}} \\ & \frac{0)-P_{0}(2 S}{0^{(25)}} \end{aligned}$ | $\left(I_{14}\right)$ $\stackrel{25)}{ } \times 100 \%$ |





## Variable Gain 10 to 1200 MHz

up to $20 \mathrm{~mW}(+13 \mathrm{dBm})$ output

|  | FREQ. MHz | GAIN, dB |  |  | MAXIMUM POWER, dBm |  | DYNAMIC RANGE |  | VSWR |  | DC POWER |  | CAPD <br> DATA <br> (seo rfatif <br> Descher Handbook) <br> Page | Case Style <br> Note B |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Pice } \\ S \\ \text { chy } \\ (1-9) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NO. | 4 | Min. | Fiatness Max. | Control range | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ouput } \\ & \text { ( } \mathrm{dB} \text {. } \\ & \text { Comp.) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{InpLI} \\ & \text { (no } \\ & \text { damage) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{NF} \\ & \mathrm{~dB} \\ & \mathrm{yp} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \mathrm{P} 3 \\ & \mathrm{dBm} \\ & \mathrm{yp} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Volt | Current (mA) |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ZFL-1000GH. } \\ & \text { ZFL-1000G. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10.1200 \\ & 10-1000 \end{aligned}$ | 24 17 | $\pm 1.5$ $\pm 1.5$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30^{\circ \circ} \\ & 30^{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +13 \\ & +3 \end{aligned}$ | +10 +10 | 15 12 | $\begin{aligned} & +25 \\ & +13 \end{aligned}$ | 2.2:1 | 2:1 | 15 15 | $\begin{aligned} & 170 \\ & 100 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3-33 \\ & 3-33 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Y39 } \\ & \text { Y39 } \end{aligned}$ | - | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 219.00 \\ 199.00 \end{array}$ |

- ZFL-1000GH and ZFL-1000G, all specifications at 0 Volts control voltage.
** Response time ( $\mathbf{1 0 \%}$ to $90 \%$ ) $25 \mu \mathrm{sec}$., control voltage 0 to 5 volts.


## High Isolation 2 to 2000 MHz

up to $500 \mathrm{~mW}(+27 \mathrm{dBm})$ output


- Active Directivity (dB) = isolation (dB) - Gain (dB)
*- Input VSWR of ZFL-IHAD in 10-20 MHz band increases to $1.45: 1$ at -55 deg.C. Below 50 MHz . NF increases to 11 dB typ at 10 MHz .
(a) Above $1 \mathrm{GHz}-5 \mathrm{dBm}$ min.

NOTES:

* Max. voltage Vdc

A Available only with BNC connectors
$\triangle$ Available only with SMA connectors
F SMA standard: Also available with BNC or type N connectors. please consult factory.
B. Connector types and case mounted options, case finishes are given in section 0 . see "Case styles \& outline drawings".
C. Prices and specifications subject to change without notice.
D. For Quality Control Procedures see Table of Contents, Section 0, "Mini-

Circults Guarantees Quality" article. For Environmental Specifications see Amplifier Selection Guide.

1. Absolute maximum power, voltage and current rating:
la. AMP models. ITV DC
1b. MAN models. 12.5V DC
lc. ZHL models. $28 V \mathrm{DC}$ (except ZHL -IHAD. ITVDC)
ld. ZFL modeks, ITV DC (except ZFL-AD. I6V DC)
le. ZIL ZKL modets. I3V DC

NSN GUIDE
MCL NO.
ZFL-1000H ZFL-1000VH
ZFL-2000
ZFL-20008
ZHL-6A

## NSN

59\%-01-299-5588
$599-01-454-6938$
5996-01-220-2213
5996-01-220-2213 $5996-01-330-3533$
pin connections

| PORT | $c \mathrm{c}$ | cd | $c e$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RFW | 1 | 2 | 5 |
| RFOU | 8 | 4 | 11 |
| DC | 5 | 1 | 2 |
| CASE GND | 2.3 .4 .6 | 3 | 1.3 .4 .6 .7 |
| NOT USED | 7 | - | 8.9 .10 .12 |

2. With no load output, derate maximum input power (no damage) by 10 dB .

## Mini-Circuits ${ }^{\circ}$



2ll


Z1.


ZFL-case SS98


ZRON


2HL-A


2-12-1042J

## Low Power 50 kHz to 7000 MHz

up to $16 \mathrm{~mW}(+12 \mathrm{dBm})$ output

| $\begin{gathered} \text { MODEL } \\ \text { NO. } \end{gathered}$ |  | GAIN, dB Typ. Min. Flatness Max. |  |  | MAXIMUM POWER, dBm Output ( dB Comp) Input (no damage) |  |  | DVNAMIC RANGE(1) |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { VSWR } \\ & \text { (IYP.) } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DC } \\ & \text { POWER } \end{aligned}$ |  | CAPD <br> DATA <br> (00eRFIF <br> Handbook <br> Page | Case <br> Style <br> Nole B | $c$ <br>  <br> $N$ <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 1 <br> 1 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Price } \\ \$ \\ \text { ary } \\ (1-9) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | PR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Typ. | Typ. | 17 | Out |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2ll-7G | 20.7000 | 107.5 | $\pm 1.0$ | - |  |  |  | +8 | +9 | +15 | 5.0 | $+24$ | 1.5:1 | 1.5:1 | 12 | 50 | 3-50 | BW459 |  | 99.95 |
| 2ll-6G | 20-6000 | 1310 | $\pm 1.6$ | - |  |  |  | +9 | $+10$ | +15 | 4.5 | $+24$ | 1.5:1 | 1.4:1 | 12 | 50 | 3-50 | BW459 |  | 114.95 |
| 2l.36 | 20-3000 | 1914 | $\pm 2.2$ |  | +8 | $+8$ | +13 | 3.8 | +22 | 1.4:1 | 1.6:1 | 12 | 45 | 3-51 | BW459 |  | 114.95 |
| ZFL-500 | 0.05-500 | 20 | - | $\pm 1.0$ | - | +9 | +5 | 5.3 | $+18$ | 1.9:1 | 1.9:1 | 15 | 80 | 3-37 | Y460 |  | 69.95 |
| 2FL. 750 | 0.2-750 | 18 | - | $\pm 0.5$ |  | +9 ${ }^{\circ}$ | +5 | 6.0 | $+18$ | 1.5:1 | 2.1 | 15 | 90 | 3-37 | Y460 |  | 74.95 |
| ZFL-1000 | 0.1-1000 | 17 | - | $\pm 0.6$ |  | +9* | +5 | 6.0 | $+18$ | 1.5:1 | 2:1* | 15 | 105 | 3-37 | Y460 |  | 79.95 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { AMP-3G } \\ & \text { AMP-74 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30-3000 \\ 5-500 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -\quad 8 \\ & -\quad 27 \end{aligned}$ | - | $\begin{aligned} & \pm 0.75 \\ & \pm 1.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} +9.5 \\ +7.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +9.5 \\ & +7.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +13 \\ & +13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.5^{\bullet \bullet} \\ & 5.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +20 \\ & +20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.6: 1 \\ 2: 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.5: 1 \\ 2: 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55 \\ & 44 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3-38 \\ & 3-36 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PP120 } \\ & \text { PP120 } \end{aligned}$ | cd | $\begin{aligned} & 89.95 \\ & 54.95 \end{aligned}$ |
| MAN-1 MAN-2 | $\begin{gathered} 0.5-500 \\ 0.5-1000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 28 \\ -\quad 18 \end{array}$ | 二 | $\pm 1.4$ $\pm 1.5$ | $\begin{aligned} & +8 \\ & +9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +8 \\ & +7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +15 \\ & +15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.5 \\ & 6.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +18 \\ & +19 \end{aligned}$ | 1.8:1 | $1.8: 1$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12 \\ & 12 \end{aligned}$ | $60$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3-36 \\ & 3-36 \end{aligned}$ | AOS | cc | $15.95$ |

$L_{w}=$ low range $\left(f_{L}\right.$ to $\left.f_{U} / 2\right) \quad U=$ upper range $\left(f_{U} / 2\right.$ to $\left.f_{U}\right)$
ZEL-1000 output VSWR 2.8:1 moxdrum over $750-1000 \mathrm{MHzE} 1 \mathrm{~dB}$ compression +7 dBm ot $500-1000 \mathrm{MHzz} \cdots+7 \mathrm{dBm}$ from 500 to 750 MHz


## Medium Power 2.5 kHz to 8000 MHz

up to $320 \mathrm{~mW}(+25 \mathrm{dBm})$ output


$$
L_{w}=\text { low range }\left(f_{L} \text { to } f_{U} / 2\right) \quad U=\text { upper range }\left(f_{U} / 2 \text { to } f_{U}\right)
$$

- +15 dBm below 1000 MHz
". Input VSWR 2:1 max, increasing below 20 MHz to $2.25: 1$ max at 10 NHZ
-.. NF specified above 10 NH Z and output VSWR 28:1 below 30 MH tz
- Max. VSWR in 2.0:1. Out 2.5:1
$\star$ Measured of $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
(1) Zl modes: flomess specined to $0.75 \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{i}}$ dymaric range of 2 GHz



## Low-Noise Amplifiers


up to +16 dBm output

| MODEL NO. |  | $\overline{N F}$$\mathrm{dB}$typ. | GAIN dB |  |  | MAXIMUM POWER, dBm |  | INTERCEPT POINT, CBm | $\begin{gathered} \text { VSWR } \\ \text { TYP. } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DC } \\ & \text { POWER } \end{aligned}$ |  | CAPDDATA(seerffilDestonerHandbook)Proge | CASE STYE <br> Note B |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Price } \\ \$ \\ \text { Cry } \\ (1-9) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | loth |  | Outpur ( 1 dB | Input (no | P3 |  |  |  | Current |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Min | m | Max | Comp.) | damage) | Typ. | In | Out |  | $(\mathrm{mk})$ |  |  |  |  |
| AMP-11-2 | 5-1000 | 3 | 14 | $\pm 1.0$ | $\pm 1.0$ | -3.5 | +13 | +13 | 2:1 | 2:1 | 15 | 12 | 3-27 | PPI20 | cd | 44.95 |
| AMP-15 | 5-1000 | 2.8 | 13 | $\pm 0.6$ | $\pm 1.2$ | +8 | +13 | +22 | 2.1 | $2: 1$ | 15 | 29 | 3-27 | PP120 | cd | 49.95 |
| ANP-75 | 5.500 | 2.4 | 19 | $\pm 0.4$ | $\pm 1.0$ | +12 | +13 | +28 | $2: 1$ | $2: 1$ | 15 | 29 | 3-27 | PP120 | ca | 49.95 |
| AMP-76 | 5-500 | 3.1 | 26 | $\pm 0.7$ | $\pm 1.0$ | +13.5 | +6 | +28 | $2: 1$ | $2: 1$ | 15 | 68 | 3-35 | PP120 | ca | 78.95 |
| AMP-77 | 5-500 | 3.3 | 15 | $\pm 0.4$ | $\pm 1.0$ | +16 | +13 | +32 | 2:1 | $2: 1$ | 15 | 56 | 3-28 | PP120 | cd | 55.95 |
| MAN-ILN* | 0.5-500 | 3.0 | 28 | $\pm 0.5$ | $\pm 1.4$ | +7 | +15 | +18 | 1.8:1 | 1.8:1 | 12 | 60 | 3-28 | A0S | $\bigcirc$ | 19.95 |
| MAN-IHLN | 10-500 | 3.7 | 10 | $\pm 0.5$ | $\pm 0.8$ | +15 | +15 | +30 | 1.8:1 | 1.8:1 | 12 | 70 | 3-28 | A06 | $\infty$ | 19.95 |
| ZFL-500HN | 10.500 | 3.8 | 19 | - | $\pm 0.4$ | +16 | +15 | +30 | 2:1 |  | 15 | 110 | 3-29 | Y 460 | - | 99.95 |
| TL-500 N* | 0.1-500 | 2.9 | 24 | - | $\pm 0.5$ | +5 | +5 | +14 | 1.5:1 |  | 15 | 60 | 3-29 | Y460 | - | 79.95 |
| TL-10001N | 0.1-1000 | 2.9 | 20 | - | $\pm 0.5$ | +3 | +5 | +14 | 1.5:1 | 2:1 | 15 | 60 | 3-29 | Y460 |  | 89.95 |

$m=$ mid range ( $2 f_{L}$ to $f_{u} / 2$ )

## features

- ideal for printed-circuit designs (MAN \& AMP series)
- smooth response over entire band. no external resonances
- low impedance. less susceptible to EMI
- easy to use, $\mathbf{5 0}$ ohm input/output
- all models are cascadable

NOTES:

- VSWR 1.6:1 maximum from 0.1 to 0.2 MHz . Also available with BNC connectors.
-* Below 5 MHz 1 dB compression point decreases to 6.5 dBm .
$\triangle$ Avallable only with SMA connectors
B. Connector types and case mounted options, case finishes are given in section 0 . see "Case styles \& outline drawings".
C. Prices and specifications subject to change without notice.
D. For Quality Control Procedures see Table of Contents. Section 0. "Mini-Circults Guarantees

Quality ${ }^{2}$ article. For Envronmental Specifications see Amplifier Selection Guide.

1. Absolute maximum power, voltage and current rating:
la. AMP models. ITV DC.
1b. MAN modets. $12.5 V$ DC.
2. With no load at output. derates maximum input power (no damage) by 10 dB .
3. ZEL and TO modes. NF specified at room temperature, increases to 2 dB typical at +85 deg.C.
4. ZHL models. NF specified at room temperature. increases to 2.3 dB maximum at +65 deg.C.

NSN GUIDE

| MCL NO. | NSN |
| :--- | :--- |
| AMP-15 | $5895-01-350-9550$ |
| AMP-75 | $5895-01-350-9551$ |
| AMP-77 | $5895-01-350-9549$ |
| ZFL-100ON | $5996-01-412-3031$ |



## features

- very low noise
- high dynamic range (ZHL-HLN series)
- ideal for printed-circuit designs (TO series)
- smooth response over entire band. no external resonance
- easy to use, $\mathbf{5 0}$ ohms input/output
- all models are cascadable
pin connections

| OIn COnnections |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PORT | Cc | co | ce |
| RF IN | 1 | 2 | 5 |
| RF OUT | 8 | 4 | 11 |
| DC | 5 | 1 | 2 |
| CASE GND | 23.4 .6 | 3 | 1.3 .4 .6 .7 .8 .9 .10 .12 |
| NOT USED | 7 | - | - |

# CQF915/28\#\# - New bigital laser 1550 nm Directly Modulated DFB laser for WDM telecom FEATURES <br> - 1550 nm DFB laser diode <br> - 2.5 Gbit/s <br> - $25 \Omega$ electrical matching <br> - dispersion $1800 \mathrm{ps} / \mathrm{nm}$ <br> - internal bias-T network <br> - built-in thermo-electric cooler <br> - built-in optical isolator <br> - 0.8 nm ( 100 GHz ) spacing <br> - $0.4 \mathrm{~nm}(50 \mathrm{GHz})$ spacing optional 


8.9
CHARACTERISTICS $\left(R_{\text {th }}=10 \mathrm{k} \Omega\right)$

| Symbol | Parameter | Conditions | Min | Typ | Max | Unit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $t_{\text {th }}$ | threshold current |  | - | 25 | 35 | mA |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | output power from pigtail |  | 100 | 5 | $\bigcirc$ | mW |
| $\eta$ | differential efficiency | $P_{0}=5 \mathrm{~mW}$ | 100 | - | 200 | mW/A |
| $\lambda_{c}$ | central wavelength |  | 1530 | - | 1560 | nm |
| $\lambda_{\text {set }}$ | Laser set temperature for $\lambda_{c}$ |  | 25 | - | 35 | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| SMSR | side mode suppression ratio | $\mathrm{P}_{0}=5 \mathrm{~mW}$ | 30 | 35 | - | dB |
| $\mathrm{B}_{-3 \mathrm{~dB}}$ | bandwidth (-3dB) |  | 3 | - | - | GHz |

$V=I R \quad I=\frac{0.720}{10}=72 \mathrm{ml}$

The information presented in this document does not form part of any quotation or contract, is believed to be accurate and reliable and may be changed without notice. No hability will be accepled by the publisher for any consequence of its use. Publication thereof does not convey nor imply any license under patent or other industrial or intellectual property rights.


The CaF915 has been especially deveioped for use in WDM systems where it is used as a directly modulated wavelength selected source. The wavelengths that can be selected fully comply with the ITU recommendation for multichannel systems both in range (43 channels ranging from 1530.33 to 1563.86 nm ) and in channel defintion, adhering to the 100 GHz grid ( 0.8 nm ) relative to a frequency of 193.1 THz (i.e. a wavelength of 1552.52 nm ). An advanced logistic systern has been adopted which allows optimum handling and planning of different wavelengths. This logistic system also enables customization of the wavelength spacing to a 50 GHz grid $(0.4 \mathrm{~nm})$. The wavelength of each laser is accurately measured and each laser is accompanied by a datasheet with the laser performance at the termperature $T_{\lambda}$ where the required wavelength channel is reached. The laser chip relies on multi-quanturn-well tectinology and a semi-insulating planar buried heterostructure, and shows excellent side-mode suppression ratios (ypp. 45 dB ) and small linewidths ( $<5 \mathrm{MHz}$ ). Long term wavelength dritt experiments have been performed to warrant longterm wavelength stability. The $25 \Omega$ butierfly packaged laser is pigtailed with a single mode fiber and shows excellent thermal stability (e.g. wavelongth dritt with case temperature is better than $0.001 \mathrm{~nm} /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). The package also features a cooled isolator thereby. reducing the dependence of the optical isolation on case temperature. The internal bias-T network and built-in monitor diode enables simple DC-bias conditioning and output power stabilization of the laser diode. Under small signol modulation conditions, the minimum bandwidth of the device exceeds 2.5 GHz . Due to the intrinsic low chirp characteristic of the OFB laser, the device is especially suitable for digital transmission at 1550 nm , based on standard single-mode fibre with non-zero dispersion, the maximum dispersion penalty at $\mathrm{BER}=10^{-10}$ is e.g. less than 1.5 dB for a total dispersion of $1800 \mathrm{ps} / \mathrm{nm}$.

TYPICAL PERFORMANCE CHARACTERISTICS


Each COF915 laser is separately tested for dispersion penally using a set-up with a total fiber length of 102 km ( $1800 \mathrm{ps} / \mathrm{nm}$ © $18 / \mathrm{ps} / \mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{km}$ ).

Typical BR Error Rate measurement and eye-diagram (2.5 Gt/s, NRZ, ER $\leq 10 \mathrm{~dB}$, PRBS: $\mathrm{n}=31$ ). Dispersion Penalty $D P$ is better than 1.5 dB at $B E R=10^{-10}$.


The spectral behaviour measured at an outpur power of 5 mW .


Typical RF beheviour measured at an average output power of 5 mW .

## CQF915/28\#\#

| central | optical |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| wavelength (vacuum) | frequency |  |  |  |
| $\lambda_{c}(\mathrm{~nm})$ | $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{c}}$ ( $\mathrm{TH}^{\text {L }}$ ) | channel ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Typenr. | Ordering Code |
| 1530.33 | 195.9 | 01 | COF915/2801 | 992215853714 |
| 1531.12 | 195.8 | 02 | COF915/2802 | 992215853814 |
| 1531.90 | 195.7 | 03 | COF915/2803 | 992215853914 |
| 1532.68 | 195.6 | 04 | CQF915/2804 | 992215854014 |
| 1533.47 | 195.5 | 05 | COF915/2805 | 992215854114 |
| 1534.25 | 195.4 | 06 | COF915/2806 | 992215854214 |
| 1535.04 | 195.3 | 07 | COF915/2807 | 992215854314 |
| 1535.82 | 195.2 | OB | COF915/2808 | 992215854414 |
| 1636.61 | 195.1 | 09 | CQF915/2809 | 992215854514 |
| 1537.40 | 195.0 | 10 | COF915/2810 | 992215854614 |
| 1538.19 | 194.9 | 11 | COF915/2811 | 992215854714 |
| 1538.98 | 194.8 | 12 | COF915/2812 | 992215854814 |
| 1539.77 | 194.7 | 13 | COF915/2813 | 992215854914 |
| 1640.56 | 194.6 | 14 | COF915/2814 | 092215855014 |
| 1541.35 | 194.5 | 15 | COF915/2815 | 992215855114 |
| 1542.14 | 194.4 | 16 | CQF915/2816 | 992215855214 |
| 1542.94 | 194.3 | 17 | CQF915/2817 | 992215855314 |
| 1543.73 | 194.2 | 18 | COF915/2818 | 992215855414 |
| 1544.53 | 194.1 | 19 | COF915/2819 | 992215855514 |
| 1545.32 | 194.0 | 20 | CQF915/2820 | 992215855614 |
| 1546.12 | 193.9 | 21 | COF915/2821 | 992215856714 |
| 1546.92 | 193.8 | 22 | COF915/2822 | 992215855814 |
| 1547.72 | 193.7 | 23 | COF915/2823 | 992215855914 |
| 1548.51 | 193.6 | 24 | COF915/2824 | 992215856014 |
| 1549.32 | 193.5 | 25 | COF915/2825 | 992215856114 |
| 1550.12 | 193.4 | 26 | COF915/2826 | 992215856214 |
| 1550.92 | 193.3 | 27 | COF915/2827 | 992215856314 |
| 1551.72 | 193.2 | 28 | COF915/2828 | 992215856414 |
| 1552.52 | 193.1 | 29 | COF915/2829 | 992215856514 |
| 1553.33 | 193.0 | 30 | COF915/2830 | 992215856614 |
| 1554.13 | 192.9 | 31 | CQF915/2831 | 992215856714 |
| 1554.94 | 182.8 | 32 | COF915/2832 | 992215856814 |
| 1555.75 | 192.7 | 33 | COF915/2833 | 992215856914 |
| 1556.55 | 192.6 | 34 | COF915/2834 | 992215857014 |
| 1557.36 | 192.5 | 35 | COF915/2835 | 992215857114 |
| 1558.17 | 192.4 | 36 | COF915/2836 | 992215857214 |
| 1558.98 | 192.3 | 37 | COF015/2837 | 992215857314 |
| 1559.79 | 192.2 | 38 | COF915/2838 | 992215857414 |
| 1560.61 | 192.1 | 39 | COF915/2839 | 992215857514 |
| 1561.42 | 192.0 | 40 | COF915/2840 | 992215857614 |
| 1562.23 | 191.9 | 41 | COF915/2841 | 992215857714 |
| 1563.05 | 191.8 | 42 | COF915/2842 | 992215857814 |
| 1563.86 | 191.7 | 43 | COF915/2843 | 992215857914 |



## CQF915/2801 \# 43274

Trie: 12:46:35
Power: (avg)
$7.00 \mathrm{dBm} \quad(\mathrm{I}=47.4 \mathrm{~mA})$
E.R.:
$10.1 \mathrm{~dB}(2.00 \mathrm{~V})$
Dispersion: $1800 \mathrm{ps} / \mathrm{nm}$ ( 102 km @ $18 \mathrm{ps} / \mathrm{nm} / \mathrm{km}$ )

$\rightarrow-\bar{m}$

| Power: $(\mathrm{avg})$ | 7.00 | dBm | $(\mathrm{l}=47.4 \mathrm{~mA})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| E.R.: | 10.1 | dB | $(2.00 \mathrm{~V})$ |


|  |  |  | T |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | rur |  |  |
|  |  |  | 10 | $\cdots$ |  |  |  | \% |  |
|  | -- |  | : | -- | -- | --- | -- | - |  |
| $\cdots$ |  | mis |  |  |  | ---1 |  | $\pm$ |  |
|  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | , |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | ! |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | ! |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



## CQF915/2801 \#43274



Pf
5.0
mW


Approved by

## Airpak transmitter and receiver modules

## Product changes

In seeking to provide greater value to our customers, Laser Diode, Inc. may make changes to its products that deviate from the information in this document.

## Personal hazard

Normal aversion reactions will protect from radiation hazards to the eye associated with devices of this kind. Direct and prolonged exposure to a laser beam may cause eye damage. Observe precautions accompanying the product and precautions appropriate to a Class IIIb laser.
Handling precautions
Handle optical fiber with normal care, avoiding stretch, tension, twist, kink, or bend abuse. Products are subject to the risks normally associated with sensitive electronic devices including static discharge, transients, and overload.

## Special orders

Some products can be supplied with performance characteristics that will meet special customer requirements and that are different from those indicated herein. Contact the Laser Diode Sales Department or your local Laser Diode representative to discuss your requirements.

## Ordering

Products can be ordered directly from Laser Diode, Inc. or from its representatives. Refer to the following order numbers:

Transmitter ordering information

| Part Number | Typical Rate (NRZ Mb/s) | Central Wavelength (nm) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Typical } P_{\text {avg }} \\ & (\mathrm{dBm}) \end{aligned}$ | Interface Type | Operating Temperature ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TL 2063PT-010FC | 155 | 1310 | -8 | 9/125 pigtail, FC/PC connector | -40 to +85 |
| TL 2063PT-005FC | 155 | 1310 | -3 | 9/125 pigtail, FC/PC connector | -40 to +85 |
| TL 2065PT-010FC | 155 | 1550 | -8 | 9/125 pigtall, FC/PC connector | 0 to +65 |
| TL 2083PT-010FC | 622 | 1310 | -8 | 9/125 pigtail, FC/PC connector | -40 to +85 |
| TL 2083PT-005FC | 622 | 1310 | -3 | 9/125 pigtail, FC/PC connector | -40 to +85 |
| TL 2085PT-010FC | 622 | 1550 | -8 | 9/125 pigtail, FC/PC connector | 0 to +65 |

Receiver ordering information

| Part Number | Typical Rate <br> (NRZ Mb/s) | Sensitivity <br> $(\mathrm{dBm})$ | Interface <br> Type | Operating |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RT 2000PT-052FC | 52 | -40 | $50 / 125$ pigtail, FC/PC connector | -40 to +85 |
| RT 2000PT-155FC | 155 | -38 | $50 / 125$ pigtail, FC/PC connector | -40 to +85 |
| RT 2000PT-622FC | 622 | -32 | $50 / 125$ pigtail, FC/PC connector | -40 to +85 |

Note:
FC/PC connectors on the fiber pigtail are standard. Other connector types such as SC/PC are available through the sales department.


## Laser Diode Incorporated

4 Olsen Avenue, Edison, New Jersey 08820 USA
Voice: 732-549-9001, Fax: 732-906-1559
Internet: www.laserdiode.com
e-mail: sales@laserdiode.com

## Receiver Functional Diagram

The Airpak 2000 Series receivers are high-performance cost-effective optical modules optimized for use in SONET and SDH systems. The wide dynamic range transimpedance design accepts a maximum optical input power of 0 dBm while giving excet lent sensitivity of -40 dBm at $52 \mathrm{Mb} / \mathrm{s},-38 \mathrm{dBm}$ at $155 \mathrm{Mb} / \mathrm{s}$ and -32 dBm at $622 \mathrm{Mb} / \mathrm{s}$. A single +5 volt supply is required without the need for additional negative detector bias. However, to use the optical power-monitor function and to enhance sensitivity at $622 \mathrm{Mb} / \mathrm{s}$, a negative voltage may be applied through Pin 10 of the module. Data outputs are differential PECL compatible. This highly compact receiver complies with an industry standard. 20-pin, dualin-line footprint and has a mut timode fiber pigtail, making it compatible with both singlemode and multimode systems.

## Functional Description

## Optical Frontend

The optical frontend consists of an InGaAs photodetector coupled to a wide dynamic range transimpedance amplifier for low noise and high bandwidth. An internal AGC circuit allows for input power up to 0 dBm .

## Amplifier and Quantizer

The amplifier stage has high gain and limits largeamplitude signals to maintain wide dynamic range.
...continued on inside overteaf.


## Recommended Receiver

 Bias for PECL Applications

Recommended Receiver Bias for ECL Applications


## HTC Series - Hybrid Temperature Controllers


#### Abstract

General Description The advanced and reliable circuitry of the HTC series easily achieves $0.001^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ temperature stability. Its small, tow profile package is ideal for designs with space constraints. The linear, PI control loop and bipolar current source are manufactured on a hybrid substrate to maximize performance.

The HTC temperature controllers are easily configured for any design. Virtually any type of temperature sensor can be used with the HTC and a built in sensor bias current source simplifies use with resistive temperature sensors. The independently adjustable Proportional Gain (P) and Integrator Time Constant (I) can be modified to optimize temperature overshoot and stability.


Other features offer added flexibility. A single resistor sets the maximum output current to your load. Add a low current silicon diode to operate resistive heaters with a unipolar output current. An onboard reference voltage simplifies potentiometer control of the temperature setpoint. You can also choose to operate remotely with an external setpoint voltage. Two monitor pins provide access to the termperature setpoint voltage and the actual sensor voltage.
Features

- Compact Size - 1.5 and 3.0 Amp Modets
- Interfaces with Thermistors, IC Sensors, \& RTDs
- Single supply operation +5 V to +12 VOC
- Greater than +8 V compliance with +12 V input
- Stabilities to < $0.001^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ - even at ambient
- Temperature Setpoint, Output Current Limit,
Sensor Bias, Proportional Gain, and Integrator
Time Constant User Adiustable
- Monitor outputs for Temperature Setpoint and
Actual Temperature
- Linear Bipolar or Unipolar Output- operates
thermoelectrics or resistive heaters
- Two Year Warranty


## Ordering Information

| HTC-1500 | 1.5 A Temperature Controlier |
| :--- | :--- |
| HTC-3000 | 3.0 Amp Temperature Controller |
| HTCPCB-1500 | HTC-1500 moumed to evaluation PCB |
| HTCPCB-3000 | HTC-3000 mounted to evaluation PCB |
| PWRPAK-5V | +5V Q 8A Power Supply |

Functional Diagram


Call 1-406-587-4910 for technicsi support.
www.wavelengthelectronics.com

## PLD Series +5V Laser Diode Drivers


#### Abstract

General Description The PLO series of Laser Diode Drivers combines the high performance you expect from a Wavelength component with two distinct improvements: low voltage operation from +5 VOC , and an Active Current Limit

Operating from a single +5 V supply minimizes heat dissipation. Modular packaging makes it easier to integrate the PLD into your system. For applications that require lasers in series, a separate laser diode power supply input tets you provide a higher compliance voltage. The Active Current Limit not only protects your laser diode, but ensures that you are operating with maximum stability. When the laser current reaches the level set by the Limit I Trimpot, the output disables and the Limit LED and Limit Status indicate the current limit has been reached.

Two photodiode ranges provide variable sensitivities for optimum operation. You can maintain excellent stability when operating in constant current, constant power, or modulated mode. All trimpots and switches are easily accesssible and ofter precision control. A slow start circuit, mechanical shorting relay, and Active Current Limit offer maximum protection for your laser diode even when power is removed.


|  | Ordering Information |
| :--- | :--- |
| PLD-200 | 200 mA Laser Diode Driver |
| PLD-500 | 500 mA Laser Diode Driver |
| PLD-5000 | 5 Amp Laser Diode Driver |
| PLDPCB-200 | PLD-200 mounted to evaluation PCB |
| PLDPCB-500 | PLD-500 mounted to evaluation PCB |
| PLDPCB-5000 | PLD-5000 mounted to evaluation PCB |
| PWRPAK-5V | +5V © 8A Power Supply |

Pin Descriptions


- $200 \mathrm{~mA}, 500 \mathrm{~mA}$, and 5 Amp models
- Single supply operation: +5 VDC
- < 20 ppm constant current stability ( 24 hours)
- < 0.02\% constant power stability (24 hours)
- Separate Laser Diode Supply input allows for fiexible compliance voltages up to +28 VDC typical
- Manually adjust

Setpoint \& Current Limit
Constant Current or Constant Power Operation Photodiode Sensitivity

- Remotely:

Adjust Setpoint Current with Aralog input Enable or Disable Output
Monitor Laser Diode Current, Photodiode Current. and Laser Diode Limit Current
Monitor Limit Status

- Supports all laser diode / photodiode pin configurations
- Safety is maximized:

Stow start circuitry
Mechanical relay protects even when power is removed
Active Current Limit

- Integral Heatsink (Fan Assembly included with PLD-5000)
- Two Year Warranty
___ Functional Diagram

$\qquad$ General Description
The MAX3667 is a complete, +3.3 V laser driver with automatic power control (APC), designed for SDH/ SONET applications up to 622 Mbps . It accepts differential PECL inputs, provides single-ended bias and modulation currents, and operates over a $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $+85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ temperature range.
A temperature-stabilized reference voltage simplifies laser current programming. It allows external programming of the modulation current between 5mAp-p and $60 \mathrm{mAp}-\mathrm{p}$, and of the bias current between 5 mA and 90 mA .
The APC function, which incorporates a monitor photodiode, an external resistor, and two external capacitors, maintains constant laser output power. Two current monitors provide high-speed signals that are directly proportional to the bias and modulation currents. Additional features include disable/enable control and a slow-start feature with a minimum turn-on time of $50 n s$. The MAX3667 is available in die form and in a 32-pin TQFP package.


## Applications

622Mbps SDH/SONET Access Nodes Laser Driver Transmitters
Section Repeaters

| Features |
| :--- |
| - Single +3.3 V or +5.0 V Operation |
| - Automatic Average Power Control |
| - Bias Current and Modulation Current Monitor |
| Outputs |
| - TTL-Compatible Disable Input |
| Temperature-Compensated Reference |

Ordering Information

| PART | TEMP. RANGE | PIN-PACKAGE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| MAX3667ECJ | $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $+85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $32^{\prime}$ TQFP |
| MAX $3667 \mathrm{E} / \mathrm{D}$ | $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $+85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Dice ${ }^{*}$ |

"Dice are designed to operate from $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $+85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ but are tested and guaranteed only at $T_{j}=+25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## Pin Configuration appears at end of data sheet.

The 3-port, 2-channel bandpass wavelength division multiplexer BWDM uses interference filter technology to separate and multiplex optical transmission signals. The wide, flat passband filter is used for bi-directional WDM networks. It is epoxy-free in the optical path.




## FEATURES

- Wide signal pass bandwidt
- High channel isolation
- High return loss
- Low insertion loss
- Low PDL
- Excellent stability and reliability
- Epoxy free optical path


## APPLICATIONS

- Optical transceiver
- Bi-directional WDM netw
- Optical fiber amplifiers - CATV
t Without connectors




## Dense Wavelength Division Multiplexer Filter

 (DWFI)E-TEK's dense ITU wavelength division multiplexer filter DWFI uses thinfilm interference coatings to achieve 400 GHz or 200 GHz narrow linewidth channel filtering at ITU wavelengths. Designed specifically for 8 -, 16- or 32-channel WDM systems, these 3-port filters have low insertion loss, excellent channel isolation and environmental performance. Completely bi-directional, they can be cascaded in any sequence for a combined multi-wavelength multiplexer or demultiplexer array.

| SPECIFICATIONS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parameter |  |  |  | Unit | 200GHz | 400GHz |
| ITU ChannelstI (see table) |  |  |  | ch | $\begin{aligned} & 21,23,25,27 \\ & 29,31,33,35 \\ & 45,47,49,51 \\ & 53,55,57,59 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21.25 .29 \\ & 33.35 \\ & \hline 1,45 \end{aligned}$ $\begin{array}{r} 41,55 \\ \hline 49,55 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Pass Channel Bandwidth ( -0.5 dB ) |  | (1) $\rightarrow$ (1) | Min. | nm | $\pm 0.25$ | $\pm 0.4$ |
| Reflect Channel Bandwidth ( -25 dB ) from $\lambda c$ |  | (1) $\leftrightarrow$ | Min. | nm | $\pm 1.3$ | $\pm 2.6$ |
| Insertion Loss ${ }^{\text {t }}$ | Pass Channel | (1)(3) | Typ. | dB | 1.6 | 0.8 |
|  |  |  | Max. | dB | 2.0 | 1.0 |
|  | Reflect Channel | (1) $\leftrightarrow$ | Typ. | dB | 0.6 | 0.6 |
|  |  |  | Max. | dB | 0.9 | 0.9 |
| Channel Isolation | Pass Wavelength | (1) $\rightarrow$ | Min. | dB | 9 | 10 |
|  | Reflect Wavelength | (1) $\rightarrow$ (3) | Min. | dB | 25 | 25 |
| Directivity |  | (2)(3) | Min. | dB | 45 | 45 |
| Optical Return Losst |  |  | Min. | dB | 45 | 45 |
| Polarization Dependent Loss (PDL) |  |  | Max. | dB | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Thermal Stability |  |  | Max. | dB/C | 0.005 | 0.005 |
| Thermal Wavelength Dritt |  |  | Typ. | $\mathrm{nm} / \mathrm{C}$ | 0.002 | 0.003 |
|  |  |  | Max. | nm/C | 0.003 | 0.004 |
| Optical Power |  |  | Max. | mW | 25 | 50 |
| Tensile Load |  |  | Max. | N | 5 |  |
| Operating Temperature |  |  |  | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 0 to | +65 |
| Storage Temperature |  |  |  | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | -40 to | +80 |

$\dagger$ Without connectors ${ }^{\dagger t}$ Standard, other ITU wavelengths are also available upon request

## ORDERING INFORMATION




## FEATURES

- Narrow filter bandwidth
- High wavelength isolation
- High return loss
- Low insertion loss
- Low PDL
- Excellent stability and reliabil
- Epoxy free optical path


## APPLICATIONS

- WDM networks
- Optical fiber amplifiers - CATV


## PACKAGE DIMENSIONS



Unit: m

## ORDERING EXAMPLE

200GHz Filter
Q191,500GHz (1,565.4961 nm) DWFI200015110

| CH | Frequency (GHz) | Wavelength (nm) | CH | Frequency (GHz) | Wavelength (nm) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15 | $191,500.0000$ | $1,565.4961$ | 44 | $194,400.0000$ | $1,542.1425$ |
| 16 | $191,600.0000$ | $1,564.6790$ | 45 | $194,500.0000$ | $1,541.3496$ |
| 17 | $191,700.0000$ | $1,563.8628$ | 46 | $194,600.0000$ | $1,540.5576$ |
| 18 | $191,800.0000$ | $1,563.0475$ | 47 | $194,700.0000$ | $1,539.7663$ |
| 19 | $191,900.0000$ | $1,562.2329$ | 48 | $194,800.0000$ | $1,538.9759$ |
| 20 | $192,000.0000$ | $1,561.4193$ | 49 | $194,900.0000$ | $1,538.1863$ |
| 21 | $192,100.0000$ | $1,560.6065$ | 50 | $195,000.0000$ | $1,537.3974$ |
| 22 | $192,200.0000$ | $1,559.7945$ | 51 | $195,100.0000$ | $1,536.6094$ |
| 23 | $192,300.0000$ | $1,558.9834$ | 52 | $195,200.0000$ | $1,535.8222$ |
| 24 | $192,400.0000$ | $1,558.1731$ | 53 | $195,300.0000$ | $1,535.0358$ |
| 25 | $192,500.0000$ | $1,557.3636$ | 54 | $195,400.0000$ | $1,534.2503$ |
| 26 | $192,600.0000$ | $1,556.5550$ | 55 | $195,500.0000$ | $1,533.4655$ |
| 27 | $192,700.0000$ | $1,555.7473$ | 56 | $195,600.0000$ | $1,532.6815$ |
| 28 | $192,800.0000$ | $1,554.9404$ | 57 | $195,700.0000$ | $1,531.8983$ |
| 29 | $192,900.0000$ | $1,554.1343$ | 58 | $195,800.0000$ | $1,531.1159$ |
| 30 | $193,000.0000$ | $1,553.3290$ | 59 | $195,900.0000$ | $1,530.3344$. |
| 31 | $193,100.0000$ | $1,552.5246$ | 60 | $196,000.0000$ | $1,529.5536$ |
| 32 | $193,200.0000$ | $1,551.7210$ | 61 | $196,100.0000$ | $1,528.7736$ |
| 33 | $193,300.0000$ | $1,550.9183$ | 62 | $196,200.0000$ | $1,527.9944$ |
| 34 | $193,400.0000$ | $1,550.1163$ | 63 | $196,300.0000$ | $1,527.2160$ |
| 35 | $193,500.0000$ | $1,549.3153$ | 64 | $196,400.0000$ | $1,526.4384$ |
| 36 | $193,600.0000$ | $1,548.5150$ | 65 | $196,500.0000$ | $1,525.6616$ |
| 37 | $193,700.0000$ | $1,547.7155$ | 66 | $196,600.0000$ | $1,524.8856$ |
| 38 | $193,800.0000$ | $1,546.9169$ | 67 | $196,700.0000$ | $1,524.1103$ |
| 39 | $193,900.0000$ | $1,546.1191$ | 68 | $196,800.0000$ | $1,523.3359$ |
| 40 | $194,000.0000$ | $1,545.3222$ | 69 | $196,900.0000$ | $1,522.5622$ |
| 41 | $194,100.0000$ | $1,544.5260$ | 70 | $197,000.0000$ | $1,521.7893$ |
| 42 | $194,200.0000$ | $1,543.7307$ | 71 | $197,100.0000$ | $1,521.0200$ |
| 43 | $194,300.0000$ | $1,542.9362$ | 72 | $197,200.0000$ | $1,520.2500$ |

Frequencies are accurate values, wavelengths are dependent on the media properties.

## Polarization Insensitive Fiber Isolator (PIFI) Super Series

The super series PIFI are two stage isolators with high and very stable isolation over a wide wavelength and temperature range. They are insensitive to the polarization state of the input light and have extremely low PMD.


## PERFORMANCE SPECIFICATIONS

| Parameter |  | Unit | Premium Grade | A Grade | B Grade |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Peak Isolation | Typ. | dB | 58 | 53 | 50 |
| Isolation ( $\lambda_{c} \pm 30 \mathrm{~mm}, 23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, SOP) | Min. | dB | 46 | 45 | 40 |
| Isolation ( $a_{c}, 0-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, SOP) | Min. | dB | 45 | 44 | 40 |
| Insertion Loss $a_{c} \pm 20 \mathrm{~mm}, 23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, SOP) ${ }^{\dagger}$ | Typ. | dB | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.9 |
| Insertion Loss $a_{c} \pm 20 \mathrm{~mm}, 23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, SOP) ${ }^{\text {t }}$ | Max. | dB | 0.6 | 0.9 | 1.5 |
| Return Loss (input/output) ${ }^{\text {t }}$ | Min. | dB | $65 / 60$ | $60 / 55$ | $60 / 50$ |
| Polarization Dependent Loss (PDL)tt | Max. | dB | 0.05 | 0.15 | 0.2 |
| Polarization Mode Dispersion (PMD) ${ }^{\text {+ }}$ | Max. | ps | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.15 |

SOP $=$ All States of Polarization $\lambda_{c}=$ Center wavelength

+ Without connectors $\dagger+$ Measured at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$


## OPERATING SPECIFICATIONS

| Optical Power | Max. | mW | 300 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tensile Load | Max. | N | 10 |
| Operating Temperature |  | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | -20 to +60 |
| Storage Temperature |  | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | -40 to $+85 t+\dagger$ |

${ }^{\mathrm{ttt}}-\mathbf{2 0}$ to $\mathbf{7 0}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 mm cable

| QUALIFICATION AND RELIABILITY TESTS |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| High Temperature Storage Test | $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5,000 hours |
| Low Temperature Storage Test | $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5,000 hours |
| Damp Heat Test | $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 90 \%$ RH for 2,500 hours |
| Temperature Cycling Test | $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 500$ cycles |
| Water Immersion Test | $43^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 500 hours |
| Vibration Test | $10 \sim 2,000 \mathrm{~Hz}$ sinusoidal, $20 \mathrm{~g}, 3$ axes |
| Impact Test | 8 drops, 1.8 meters high, 3 axes |
| Fiber Torsion Test | $180^{\circ}$ twist, both directions, 5 N force |
| Fiber Pulling Test | 10 N for $250 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 20 \mathrm{~N}$ for $900 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{~min}$. |

May be manufactured under the foliowing U.S. patents \#5,208,876 and 5,317,655

## FEATURES

- High Isolation
- Isolation stable over wid temperature/ wavelengtt range
- Low insertion loss
- High return loss
- PMD free
- Excellent stability \& reliz
- Optical path epoxy free
- Metal bonded package available


## APPLICATIONS

- Optical amplifiers
- CATV fiberoptic links
- Satellite communicatior antenna remoting
- Laser and optical transi testing
- Fiber lasers


## TYPICAL PERFORMANCE DATA



## FiberopicmsolatomTestuata

Sales Order \# 1007542

- Polarization Insensitive,

Type : 0 Single Polarization,
0 LD Interface
Grade : PIFI
A
Measurement Wavelength : 1550 nm @ Room Temp.

| Serial No | I.L <br> $(\mathbf{d B})$ | Iso. <br> $(\mathbf{d B})$ | P.D.L. <br> $(\mathbf{d B})$ | R.L.(I/O) <br> $(\mathbf{d B})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 62859738 | 0.38 | 38.0 | 0.01 | $65 / 65$ |
| 62861044 | 0.24 | 39.0 | 0.02 | $63 / 65$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Fiber

| Input | SMF |
| :---: | :---: |
| Output | SMF |

Fiber (Cable) OD : $250 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
Fiber Length (each end) : 1.0 m
Connector: NONE
Package Size (Body Only) :

$5.5 \pm 0.1 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathrm{OD}) \times 30 \pm 2 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathrm{~L})$

Tested By : $\qquad$ Date : $\qquad$
Checked By: $\qquad$ Date : $\qquad$

## INSPECTION REPORT

Date: 07/19/99

1. Order-No.: 1007542
2. Item: BWDM540H31010
3. E-TEK Spec Version: Catalog (1999)
4. Serial No.: 62900244
5. Fiber: Corning SMF-28 CPC6.250 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ fiber
6. Fiber Length (each end): 1 m
7. Schematic:

8. Performance (@ $23.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ):

| Insertion Loss (dB) |  | Isolation (dB) |  | Ripple (dB) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1547.46 \sim 1560.86 \mathrm{~nm}$ <br> $(\mathrm{C}) \Rightarrow(\mathrm{P})$ | $1529.30 \sim 1542.39 \mathrm{~nm}$ <br> $(\mathrm{C}) \Rightarrow(\mathrm{R})$ | $1529.30 \sim 1542.39 \mathrm{~nm}$ <br> $(\mathrm{C}) \Rightarrow(\mathrm{P})$ | $1547.46 \sim 1560.86 \mathrm{~nm}$ <br> $(\mathrm{C}) \Rightarrow(\mathrm{R})$ | $1529.30 \sim 1542.39 \mathrm{~nm}$ <br> $(\mathrm{C}) \Rightarrow(\mathrm{R})$ | $1547.46 \sim 1560.86 \mathrm{~nm}$ <br> $(\mathrm{C}) \Rightarrow(\mathrm{P})$ |
| $<0.74$ | $<0.19$ | $>30$ | $>10$ | $<0.1$ | $<0.8$ |


| PDL (dB) | Directivity (dB) | Return Loss (dB) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $<0.1$ | $>50$ | $>50$ |

9. Connectors: None
10. Spectrum Curve attached (1 page)

## E-Tek Dynamics, Inc.

 1885 Lundy Avenue, San Jose, CA 95131, U.S.A. Tel. (408) 432-6300 Fax (408) 432-8550Test by:
Check by:


BWDM Spectrum Performance Test Report

## Repwit Date: 07/17/1999

Test No: 1
Temperature: 23.0
Fail:
Warning: -

Operator: 1090
Station: WDMTEST52
Test Date: 07/17/1999
Test Time: 22:54


Bandpass Performance Test

Ceater Wavelength \& Bandwidth (down from PP -0.51dB)(nm)

| dB Down | WLLefi(nm) | WLRgt(nm) | BW(nm) | CW(nm) | Ripple(dB) |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Passband Loss \& Ripple (IL © NominalWL $1554.16 \mathrm{~mm}=-0.51 \mathrm{~dB})(\mathrm{dB})$

| ILLitub) | ILRStioin) | Li.jisxiub | JiLituras |  | PLLfam | W: $\mathrm{RL}(\mathrm{cmm}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -0.70 | -0.75 | -0.74 | -0.51 | 0.23 | 1547.46 | 1560.86 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |




Notch Performance Test

Center Wavelength \& Bandwidth (duwn from Peak -0.11 dB )(nrm)

| dB Down | WLLefl(nm) | WLRgt(nm) | BW(nm) | CW(nm) | Ripple(dB) |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Drop Channel Isolation (dB)


| -18.61 | -24.24 | -18.54 | 1547.44 | 1547.46 | 1560.86 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Adjacent Channel Insertion Loss And Ripple (dB)

| ILLft(dB) | ILRt(dB) | LLMax(dB) | ILMin(dB) | Rpl(dB) | WLLftumi | WLRL(nm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -0.13 | -0.18 | -0.19 | -0.11 | 0.08 | 1529.30 | 1542.39 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## INSPECTION REPORT

Date: 07/19/99

1. Order-No.: 1007542
2. Item:

BWDM540H31010
3. E-TEK Spec Version: Catalog (1999)
4. Serial No.: 62900241
5. Fiber: Coming SMF-28 CPC6. $250 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ fiber
6. Fiber Length (each end): lm
7. Schematic:

8. Performance (@ $23.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ):

| Insertion Loss (dB) |  | Isolation (dB) |  | Ripple (dB) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1547.46 \sim 1560.86 n m$ <br> $(C) \Rightarrow(P)$ | $1529.30 \sim 1542.39 n m$ <br> $(C) \Rightarrow(R)$ | $1529.30 \sim 1542.39 n m$ <br> $(C) \Rightarrow(P)$ | $1547.46 \sim 1560.86 \mathrm{~nm}$ <br> $(C) \Rightarrow(R)$ | $1529.30 \sim 1542.39 \mathrm{~nm}$ <br> $(\mathrm{C}) \Rightarrow(\mathrm{R})$ | $1547.46 \sim 1560.86 \mathrm{~nm}$ <br> $(\mathrm{C}) \Rightarrow(\mathrm{P})$ |
| $<0.61$ | $<0.42$ | $>30$ | $>10$ | $<0.1$ | $<0.8$ |


| PDL (dB) | Directivity (dB) | Return Loss (dB) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $<0.1$ | $>50$ | $>50$ |

9. Connectors: None
10. Spectrum Curve attached (1 page)

## E-Tek Dynamics, Inc.

1885 Lundy Avenue, San Jose, CA 95131, U.S.A. Tel. (408) 432-6300 Fax (408) 432-8550

Test by:
Check by:


Order No:
Model \#: BWDM540H31010
Travel Card \#: b261339
Serial \#: 62900241

## BWDM Spectrum Performance Test Report

Repor Dave: 07117/1999

Test No: 1
Temperature: 23.0
Fail:
Warning: -

Operator: 1090
Station: WDMTEST52
Test Date: 07/17/1999
Test Time: 23:08



Notch Performance Test

Center Wavelength \& Bandwidth (down from Peak -0.36dB)(nm)

| dB Down | WLLeft(nm) | WLRg(na) | BW(nm) | CW(nm) | Ripple(dB) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Drop Channel Isolation (dB)

| IsoLeft(dB) | IsoRigh(dB) | IsoMin(dB) | MinWL(dB) | WLLeft(nm) | WLRgt(nm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -19.90 | -20.79 | -18.31 | 1559.88 | 1547.46 | 1560.86 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Adjacent Channel Insertion Loss And Ripple (dB)

| ILLfi(dB) | ILRt(dB) | ILMax(dB) | ILMin(dB) | RpI(dB) | WLLft(nm) | WLRt(nm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -0.37 | -0.41 | -0.42 | -0.36 | 0.06 | 1529.30 | 1542.39 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Center Wavelength \& Bandwidth (down from PP -0.42dB)(nm)

| dB Down | WLLefi(nm) | WLRgt(nm) | BW(nm) | CW(nm) | Ripple(dB) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Passband Loss \& Ripple (IL © NominalWL $1554.16 \mathrm{~nm}=-0.42 \mathrm{~dB}$ ) (dB)

| HLLf(dB) | ILRgt(dB) | LLMax(dB) | ILMin(dB) | Rpl(dB) | WLLft(nm) | WLRt(nm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -0.52 | -0.62 | -0.61 | -0.42 | 0.19 | 1547.46 | 1560.86 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Adjacent Channel Isolation

| IsoLeft(dB) | IsoRighe(dB) | IsoMin(dB) | MinWL(nm) | WLLeft(nm) | WLRgt(nm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -46.89 | -46.25 | -46.25 | 1542.40 | 1529.30 | 1542.39 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

## INSPECTION REPORT

Date: 08/07/99

1. Order-No.: 1007542
2. Item: DWFI400059110
3. E-TEK Spec Version: Catalog(1999)
4. Serial No.: $\underline{\mathbf{6 2 7 6 5 6 3 9}}$
5. Fiber: Coming SMF-28 CPC6, $250 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ bare fiber.
6. Fiber Length (each end): 1 m
7. Schematic:
(C) $1529.93 \sim 1530.73 \mathrm{~nm} /$ $1500.00-1527.63 \mathrm{~nm}$ \& $1533.03 \sim 1600.00 \mathrm{~nm}$

(R) $1500.00 \sim 1527.63 \mathrm{~nm}$ \&
$1533.03 \sim 1600.00 \mathrm{~nm}$
8. . Performance (@ $23.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ )
(Center Wavelength: 1530.33 nm )

| Insertion Loss (dB) |  | Isolation (dB) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1529.93-1530.73 \mathrm{~nm}$ <br> (C) $\Rightarrow$ (P) | $1500.00 \sim 1527.63 \mathrm{~nm} \&$ <br> $1533.03-1600.00 \mathrm{~nm}$ <br> (C) $\Rightarrow$ ( R$)$ | $1529.93 \sim 1530.73 \mathrm{~nm}$ <br> (C) $\Rightarrow$ ( R$)$ | $1500.00 \sim 1527.63 \mathrm{~nm} \&$ <br> $1533.03-1600.00 \mathrm{~nm}$ <br> (C) $\Rightarrow$ ( P) |
| $<0.63$ | $<0.22$ | $>10$ | $>25$ |


| PDL (dB) | Directivity (dB) | Return Loss (dB) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $<0.1$ | $>45$ | $>45$ |

9. Connectors: None
10. Spectrum Curve attached (1 Page)

## E-TEK Dynamics, Inc.

Lundy Avenue, San Jose, CA 95131, U.S.A.
Tel. (408) 432-6300 Fax: (408) 432-8550

Check b


BWDM Spectrum Performance Test Report

Order No:
Model \#: DWFI400059110
Travel Card \#: B219081
Serial \#: 62765639

## Repurt Dake: 0809/1999

Test No: 1
Temperature: $\mathbf{2 3 . 0}$
Fail:
Warning: -

Operator: 1386
Station: WDMTEST41
Test Date: 08/09/1999
Test Time: 10:50


Bandpass Performance Test

Center Wavelengt \& Bandwidch (down from PP -0.43dB)(nm)

| dB Down | WLLef(nm) | WLRgt(nm) | BW(am) | CW(am) | Ripple(dB) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -0.30 | 1529.83 | 1530.77 | 0.95 | 1530.30 |  |
| -0.50 | 1529.78 | 1530.82 | 1.04 | 1530.30 |  |
| -1.00 | 1529.72 | 1530.89 | 1.17 | 1530.30 |  |
| -16.00 | 1529.28 | 1531.32 | 2.04 | 1530.30 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Passband Loes \& Ripple (IL @ NominaIWL $1530.33 \mathrm{~nm}=-0.47 \mathrm{~dB}$ )(dB)


| .0 .52 | .0 .53 | 0.53 | 0.43 | 0.20 | 1529.53 | .1530 .73 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Adjacent Chanoel Isolation

| IsoLefl(dB) | IsoRight(dB) | IsoMin(dB) | MinWL(nm) | WLLeft(nm) | WLRgt(nm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -49.63 | -50.69 | -50.69 | 1527.63 | 1524.93 | 1527.63 |
| -43.62 | -41.20 | -40.68 | 1533.27 | 1533.03 | 1535.73 |



Notch Performance Test

Center Wavelength \& Bandwidth (down from Peak -0.19 dB )(nm)

| dB Down | WLLef(nm) | WLRg(nm) | BW(nm) | CW(nm) | Ripple(dB) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Drop Channel lsolation (dB)

| IsoLefi(dB) | soRight(dB) | IsoMin(dB) | MinWL(dB) | WLLefi(nm) | WLRg4(nm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -16.41 | -15.13 | -15.13 | 1550.73 | $i 525.93$ | $i 550.73$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Adjacent Channel Insertion Loss And Ripple (dB)

| ILLft(dB) | ILRt(dB) | LLMax(dB) | LLMin(dB) | Rpl(dB) | WLLf(um) | WLRt(nm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -0.19 | -0.20 | -0.21 | -0.19 | 0.02 | 1524.93 | 1527.63 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -0.22 | -0.21 | -0.22 | -0.20 | 0.02 | 1533.03 | 1535.73 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Date: 07/19/99

1. Order-No.: $\underline{1007542}$
2. Item: DWFI400053110
3. E-TEK Spec Version: Catalog (1999)
4. Serial No.: $\underline{\mathbf{6 2 9 0 0 4 8 1}}$
5. Fiber: Corning SMF-28 CPC6, $250 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ bare fiber.
6. Fiber Length (each end): 1 m
7. Schematic:
(C) ) $1534.64 \sim 1535.44 \mathrm{~nm} /$
1500.00~1532.34nm \&
1537.74 ~1600.00nm

(R) $1500.00 \sim 1532.34 \mathrm{~nm}$ \&
1537.74 ~1600.00nm
8. Performance (@ $23.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ )
(Center Wavelength: 1535.04 nm )

| Insertion Loss (dB) |  | Isolation (dB) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1534.64 \sim 1535.44 \mathrm{~nm}$ | $1500.00 \sim 1532.34 \mathrm{~nm} \&$ <br> $(\mathrm{C}) \Rightarrow(\mathrm{P})$ | $1537.74 \sim 160.64 \sim 1535.44 \mathrm{~nm}$ <br> $(\mathrm{C}) \Rightarrow(\mathrm{R})$ | 1500 nm <br> (C) $\Rightarrow(\mathrm{R})$ |
| $<0.72$ | $<0.36$ | $>10$ | $1537.74 \sim 1632.34 \mathrm{~nm} \&$ <br> $(\mathrm{C}) \Rightarrow(\mathrm{P})$ |
|  |  | $>25$ |  |


| PDL (dB) | Directivity (dB) | Return Loss (dB) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $<0.1$ | $>45$ | $>45$ |

9. Connectors: None
10. Spectrum Curve attached (1 Page)

## E-TEK Dynamics, Inc.

Lundy Avenue, San Jose, CA 95131, U.S.A.
Tel. (408) 432-6300 Fax: (408) 432-8550

Test by:/PASS665
Check b Xinseection

## BWDM Spectrum Performance Test Report

Repuot Uate: 07/17/1999

Order No:
Model \#: DWFI400053110
Travel Card \#: b240129
Serial \#: 62900481

Test No: 1
Temperature: $\mathbf{2 3 . 0}$
Fail:
Warning:

Operator: 1665
Station: WDMTEST51
Test Date: 07/17/1999
Test Time: 12:50


Bandpass Performance Test

Center Wavelength \& Bandwidth (down from PP -0.42 dB )(nm)

| dB Down | WLLef(nm) | WLRg(nm) | BW(nm) | CW(nm) | Ripple(dB) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -0.30 | 1534.68 | 1535.80 | 1.11 | 1535.24 | 0.16 |
| -0.50 | 1534.29 | 1535.83 | 1.54 | 1535.06 | 0.30 |
| -1.00 | 1534.24 | 1535.89 | 1.66 | 1535.07 | 0.30 |
| -16.00 | 1533.51 | 1536.62 | 3.11 | 1535.07 | 0.30 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Passband Loss \& Ripple (IL @ NominalWL $1535.04 \mathrm{~nm}=-0.44 \mathrm{~dB}$ )(dB)

| ILLfi(dB) | (LRRg(dB) | LLMax(dB) | LLMin(dB) | Rpl(dB) | WLLf(nm) | WLR(nm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -0.71 | -0.58 | -0.72 | -0.42 | 0.30 | 1534.64 | 1535.44 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Adjacent Channel Isolation

| IsoLef(dB) | IsoRigtit(dB) | IsoMin(dB) | MinWL(nm) | WLLef(nm) | WLRgu(nm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -43.45 | -31.84 | -31.84 | 1532.34 | 1529.64 | 1532.34 |
| -31.31 | -43.79 | -31.08 | 1537.79 | 1537.74 | 1540.44 |



Notch Performance Test

Center Wavelength \& Bandwidth (down from Peak $-0.31 d B)(n m)$

| dB Down | WLLeft(nm) | WLRg((nm) | BW(nm) | CW(nm) | Ripple(dB) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Drop Channel Isolation (dB)

| IsoLeft(dB) | IsoRight(dB) | IsoMin(dB) | MinWL(dB) | WLLef(nm) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | WLRg(nmm) |  |  |  |


| -11.84 | -14.12 | -11.71 | 1534.68 | 1534.64 | 1535.44 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Adjacent Channel Insertion Loss And Ripple (dB)

| ILLff(dB) | ILRU(dB) | LIMax(dB) | ILMin(dB) | Rpl(dB) | WLLfitam) | WLRt(nm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -0.35 | -0.36 | -0.36 | -0.32 | 0.04 | 1529.64 | 1532.34 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -0.34 | -0.32 | -0.35 | -0.31 | 0.04 | 1537.74 | 1540.44 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## INSPECTION REPORT

Date: 07/19/99

1. Order-No.: 1007542
2. Item: DWFI400053110
3. E-TEK Spec Version: Catalog (1999)
4. Serial No.: $\underline{\mathbf{6 2 9 0 0 4 8 2}}$
5. Fiber: Corning SMF-28 CPC6, $250 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ bare fiber.
6. Fiber Length (each end): 1 m
7. Schematic:

8. Performance ( $@ 23.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ )
(Center Wavelength: 1535.04 nm )

| Insertion Loss (dB) |  | Isolation (dB) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} 1534.64-1535.44 \mathrm{~nm} \\ \text { (C) } \Rightarrow(\mathrm{P}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1500.00 \sim 1532.34 \mathrm{~nm} \& \\ 1537.74 \sim 1600.00 \mathrm{~nm} \\ (C) \Rightarrow(R) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1534.64-1535.44 \mathrm{~nm} \\ (\mathrm{C}) \Rightarrow(\mathrm{R}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1500.00 \sim 1532.34 \mathrm{~nm} \mathrm{\&} \\ 1537.74-1600.00 \mathrm{~nm} \\ (\mathrm{C}) \Rightarrow(\mathrm{P}) \end{gathered}$ |
| $<0.60$ | $<0.27$ | $>10$ | >25 |


| PDL (dB) | Directivity (dB) | Return Loss (dB) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $<0.1$ | $>45$ | $>45$ |

9. Connectors: None
10. Spectrum Curve attached (1 Page)

## E-TEK Dynamics, Inc.

Lundy Avenue, San Jose, CA 95131, U.S.A.
Tel. (408) 432-6300 Fax: (408) 432-8550

Test by: Check by:



Order No:
Model \#: DWFI400053110
Travel Card \#: b240123
Serial \#: 62900482

BWDM Spectrum Performance Test Report
Repxut Date: 07/17/1999

Test No: 1
Temperature: $\mathbf{2 3 . 0}$
Fail:
Warning: -

Operator: 1665
Station: WDMTEST51
Test Date: 07/17/1999
Test Time: 12:44


Bandpass Performance Test

Center Wavelength \& Bandwidth (down from PP -0.39dB)(nm)

| dB Down | WLLefynm) | WLRgt(nm) | BW(nm) | CW(nm) | Ripple(dB) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -0.30 | 1534.33 | 1535.75 | 1.42 | 1535.04 | 0.22 |
| -0.50 | 1534.28 | 1535.79 | 1.51 | 1535.04 | 0.22 |
| -1.00 | 1534.22 | 1535.85 | 1.64 | 1535.03 | 0.22 |
| -16.00 | 1533.46 | 1536.59 | 3.13 | 1535.02 | 0.22 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Passband Loss \& Ripple (IL. © NominalWL $1535.04 \mathrm{~nm}=-0.40 \mathrm{~dB}$ )(dB)

| ILLft(dB) | ILRgtdB) | LLMax(dB) | LLMin(dB) | Rpl(dB) | WLLf(am) | WLRt(nm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -0.59 | -0.59 | -0.60 | -0.39 | 0.21 | 1534.64 | 1535.44 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Adjacent Channel Isolation

| IsoLefi(dB) | IsoRight(dB) | IsoMin(dB) | MinWL(am) | WLLeff(nm) | WLRg(nm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -40.33 | -30.00 | -30.00 | 1532.34 | 1529.64 | 1532.34 |
| -30.71 | -43.82 | -30.69 | 1537.77 | 1537.74 | 1540.44 |



Notch Performance Test

Center Wavelength \& Bandwidth (down from Peak -0.21 dB )(nm)

| dB Down | WLLeff(nm) | WLRgt(nm) | BW(nm) | CW(nm) | Ripple(dB) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Drop Channel Isolation (dB)

| Isoleft(dB) | IsoRight(dB) | IsoMin(dB) | MinWL(dB) | WLLefi(nm) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| WLRgt(nm) |  |  |  |  |


| -13.22 | -13.32 | -13.18 | 1535.40 | 1534.64 | 1535.44 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Adjacent Channel Iaserion Loss And Ripple (dB)

| ILLftedB) | ILRt(dB) | 1LMax(dB) | ILMin(dB) | Rpl(dB) | WLLf(nm) | WLRt(nm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -0.25 | -0.23 | -0.27 | -0.21 | 0.06 | 1529.64 | 1532.34 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -0.25 | -0.25 | -0.27 | -0.23 | 0.04 | . 1537.74 | 1540.44 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## INSPECTION REPORT

Date: 08/07/99

1. Order-No.: 1007542
2. Item: DWFI400059110
3. E-TEK Spec Version: Catalog(1999)
4. Serial No.: $\mathbf{6 2 7 6 5 6 3 1}$
5. Fiber: Corning SMF-28 CPC6, 250 $\quad$ m bare fiber
6. Fiber Length (each end): 1 m
7. Schematic:
(C) $1529.93 \sim 1530.73 \mathrm{~nm} /$
1500.00-1527.63nm \& $1533.03 \sim 1600.00 \mathrm{~nm}$

(R) $1500.00 \sim 1527.63 \mathrm{~nm}$ \&
$1533.03 \sim 1600.00 \mathrm{~nm}$
8. Performance (@ $23.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ )
(Center Wavelength: 1530.33 nm )

| Insertion Loss (dB) |  | Isolation (dB) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1529.93 \sim 1530.73 \mathrm{~nm}$ <br> (C) $\Rightarrow$ (P) | $1500.00 \sim 1527.63 \mathrm{~nm} \mathrm{\&}$ <br> $1533.03 \sim 160.00 \mathrm{~nm}$ <br> (C) $\Rightarrow$ (R) | $1529.93 \sim 1530.73 \mathrm{~nm}$ <br> (C) $\Rightarrow$ (R) | $1500.00 \sim 1527.63 \mathrm{~nm} \&$ <br> $1533.03 \sim 1600.00 \mathrm{~nm}$ <br> (C) $\Rightarrow$ (P) |
| $<0.97$ | $<0.26$ | $>10$ | $>25$ |


| PDL (dB) | Directivity (dB) | Return Loss (dB) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $<0.1$ | $>45$ | $>45$ |

9. Connectors: None
10. Spectrum Curve attached ( 1 Page)

## E-TEK Dynamics, Inc.

Lundy Avenue, San Jose, CA 95131, U.S.A.
Tel. (408) 432-6300 Fax: (408) 432-8550

Test by:/'PASS 1386
Check byinspection

## BWDM Spectrum Performance Test Report

62765631

Order No:
Model \#: DWFI400059110
Travel Card \#: B219093
Serial \#: 62765631

Repror Dawe: 0809/1999
Test No: 1
Temperature: 23.0
Fail:
Warning: -

Operator: 1386
Station: WDMTEST41
Test Date: 08/09/1999
Test Time: 10:59


Bandpass Performance Test

Center Wavelength \& Bandwidth (down from PP -0.80dB)(nm)

| dB Down | WLLef(nm) | WLRg4(nm) | BW(nm) | CW(nm) | Ripple(dB) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -0.30 | 1529.82 | 1530.84 | 1.02 | 1530.33 | 0.17 |
| -0.50 | 1529.79 | 1530.87 | 1.09 | 1530.33 | 0.17 |
| -1.00 | 1529.74 | 1530.92 | 1.19 | 1530.33 | 0.17 |
| -16.00 | 1529.31 | 1531.33 | 2.02 | 1530.32 | 0.17 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Passband Loss \& Ripple (IL @ NominalWL 1530.33nm = -0.94 dB )(dB)

| ILLft(dB) | LLRg(dB) | LMax(dB | LLMin(dB) | Rpl(dB) | VLLf(nm) | WLRt(um) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -0.84 | -0.88 | -0.97 | -0.80 | 0.17 | 1529.93 | 1530.73 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Adjacent Channel Isolation

| IsoLefi(dB) | IsoRight(dB) | (soMin(dB) | MinWL(am) | WLLeft(nm) | WLRgt(am) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -48.35 | -50.32 | -50.32 | 1527.63 | 1524.93 | 1527.63 |
| -50.69 | -42.34 | -41.42 | 1533.67 | 1533.03 | 1535.73 |



Notch Performance Test

Center Wavelength \& Bandwidth (down from Peak -0.24dB)(am)

| dB Down | WLLef(nm) | WLRg(nm) | BW(nm) | CW(am) | Ripple(dB) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Drop Channel Isolation (dB)

| IsoLef(dB) | soRight(dB) | IsoMia(dB) | MinWL(dB) | WLLef(nm) | WLRgt(nm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -23.53 | -21.21 | -14.35 | 1530.45 | 1529.93 | 1530.73 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Adjacent Channel Insertion Loss And Ripple (dB)

| ILLf(dB) | ILRt(dB) | LLMax(dB) | ILMin(dB) | Rpl(dB) | WLLf(nm) | WLRu(nm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -0.25 | -0.25 | -0.26 | -0.24 | 0.02 | 1524.93 | 1527.63 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -0.26 | -0.25 | -0.26 | -0.24 | 0.02 | 1533.03 | 1535.73 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

# Low Cost Gigabit Rate Transmit/Receive Chip Set with TTL I/Os 

## Technical Data

## Features

- Virtual Ribbon Cable Replacement
- On-Chip Encode / Decode
- On-Chip State Machine for Fully Automatic Link Management
- On-Chip Tx/Rx PLL Provides Frame Synchronization
- High Speed Serial Rate 150-1500 MBaud (User Selectable)
- Standard TTL Interface $16,17,20$, or 21 Bits Wide
- Implemented in a Low Cost Aluminum M-Quad 80 Package


## Applications

- Backplane Serialization/ Bus Extender
- Video, Image Acquisition
- Point to Point Data Links
- Implement SCI-FI Standard
- Implement Serial HIPPI Specification


## Description

The HDMP-1022 transmitter and the HDMP-1024 receiver are used to build a high-speed data link for point-to-point communication. The monolithic silicon bipolar transmitter chip and receiver chip are each provided in a standard aluminum M-Quad 80 package.

From the user's viewpoint, these products can be thought of as providing a "virtual ribbon cable" interface for the transmission of data. Parallel data (a frame) loaded into the Tx (transmitter) chip is delivered to the Rx (receiver) chip over a serial channel, which can be either a coaxial copper cable or optical link, and is reconstructed into its original parallel form.

The chip set hides from the user all the complexity of encoding, multiplexing, clock extraction, demultiplexing and decoding. Unlike other links, the phase-locked-loop clock extraction circuit also transparently provides for frame synchronization-the user is not troubled with the periodic insertion of frame synchronization words. In addition, the DC balance of the line code is automatically maintained by the chip set. Thus, the user can transmit arbitrary data without restriction. The Rx chip also includes a state-machine controller (SMC) that provides a startup handshake protocol for the duplex link configuration.

The serial data rate of the $\mathbf{T x} / R x$ link is selectable in four ranges (see tables on page 5), and extends from $120 \mathrm{Mbits} / \mathrm{s}$ up to 1.25 Gbits/s. This translates into

HDMP-1022 Transmitter HDMP-1024 Receiver

an encoded serial rate of $150-1500$ MBaud. The parallel data interface is $\mathbf{1 6}$ or 20 bit TTL, pin selectable. A flag bit is available and can be used as an extra 17th or 21st bit under the user's control. The flag bit can also be used as an even or odd frame indicator for dual-frame transmission. If not used, the link performs expanded error detection.

The serial link is synchronous, and both frame synchronization and bit synchronization are maintained. When data is not available to send, the link maintains synchronization by transmitting fill frames. Two (training) fill frames are reserved for handshaking during link startup

User control space is also supported. If Control Available (CAV) i: asserted at the Tx chip, the least significant 14 or 18 bits of the data are sent and the Rx Control Available (CAV) line will indicate the data as a Control Word.


Figure 4. HDMP-1022 Transmitter Block Diagram.

## HDMP-1022 Tx Block Diagram

The HDMP-1022 was designed to accept 16 or 20 bit wide parallel data (frames) and transmit it over a high speed serial line. Many of the link management functions are integrated into the HDMP-1022, thereby greatly minimizing the design effort. The HDMP-1022 performs the following functions:

- Parallel Word Input
- High Speed Clock Multiplication
- Frame Encoding
- Parallel to Serial Multiplexing


## PLL/Clock Generator

The Phase Locked Loop and Clock Generator are responsible for generating all internal clocks needed by the transmitter to perform its functions. These clocks are based on a supplied frame clock (STRBIN) and control signals (M20SEL, MDFSEL, EHCLKSEL, DIV1, DIV0). In
single frame mode (MDFSEL=0), STRBIN is expected to be the incoming frame clock. The PLL/ Clock Generator locks on to this incoming rate and multiplies the clock up to the needed high speed serial clock. Based on M20SEL, which determines whether the incoming data frame is 16 or 20 bits wide, the PLL/Clock Generator multiplies the frame rate clock by 20 or 24 respectively (data bits +4 control bits). DVV1/ DIVO are set to inform the transmitter of the frequency range of the incoming data frames. The internal frame rate clock is accessible through STRBOUT Z'd the high speed serial clock is accessible through HCLK.

When MDFSEL is set high, the transmitter is in Double Frame Mode. Using this option, the user may send a 32 or 40 bit wide data frame in two segments while supplying the original 32 or 40 bit frame clock at STRBIN. Doubling
of the frame rate is performed by the transmitter. The clock generator section performs the clock multiplication to the necessary serial clock rate.

By setting EHCLKSEL high, the user may provide an external TTL serial clock at STRBIN. This clock replaces the internal VCO clock and is intended for diagnostic purposes only. This clock is used directly by the high speed serial circuitry to output the serial data at speeds that are not within the VCO range. This signal is not characterized.

## Control Logic and C-Field Encoder

The Control Logic is responsible for determining what information is serially sent to the output. If CAV* is low, it sends the data at D0..D8 and D9..D17 as control word information regardless of the state of DAV*. If CAV* is high and DAV* is low, it sends parallel


Figure 5. HDMP-1024 Receiver Block Diagram.

## HDMP-1024 Rx Block Diagram

The HDMP-1024 receiver was designed to convert a serial data signal sent from the HDMP-1022 into either 16,17, 20, or 21 bit wide parallel data. In doing this, it performs the functions of

- Clock Recovery
- Data Recovery
- Demultiplexing
- Frame Decoding
- Frame Synchronization
- Frame Error Detection
- Link State Control


## Input Select

The input select block determines which input line is used. In normal operation (LOOPEN $=0$ ), DIN is accepted as the input signal. For improved distance and BER using coax cable, an input equalizer may be used by asserting EQEN. By setting

LOOPEN high, the receiver accepts $L \mathbb{N}$ as the input signal. This feature allows for loop back testing exclusive of the transmission medium.

## Phase/Frequency Detect

This block compares either the phase or the frequency of the incoming signal to the internal serial clock generated from the Clock Select block. The frequency detect disable pin (FDIS) is set high to disable the frequency detector and enable the phase detector. See HDMP-1024 (Rx) Phase Locked Loop for more details. The output of this block, PH1, is used by the filter to determine the control signal for the VCO.

Filter
This is a loop filter that accepts the PH1 output from the Phase/ Frequency Detector and converts
it into a control signal for the VCO. This control signal tells the VCO whether to increase or decrease its frequency. The Filter uses the PH1 input to determine a proportional signal and an integral signal. The proportional signal determines whether the VCO should increase or decrease its frequency. The integral signal filters out the high frequency PHI signal and stores a historical PH1 output level. The two signals combined determine the magnitude of frequency change of the VCO.

VCO
This is the Voltage Controlled Oscillator that is controlled by the output of the Filter. It outputs a high speed digital signal to the Clock Select.

## Appendix II: Link Configuration Examples

This section shows some application examples using the HDMP-1022/1024 chipset. Refer to I/O Definition for detailed circuit-level interconnections.

This guide is intended to aid the user in designing G-LINK into a system. It provides the necessary details of getting the system up, without the detailed description of the inner circuitry of the chip set.

The first section is a description of the various configurations for duplex and simplex operation. The second section describes the interface to both single frame and double frame mode. Following that is a section on the integrating capacitor and power supply bypassing recommendations. Next is a guide to the various types of electrical 10 connections. Also included is a list of the
various options and their definitions.

## Duplex/Simplex Configurations

The following describes the common setups for the link. In all cases, the DIN and LIN are differential high speed lines, and unused leads should be terminated with $50 \Omega \mathrm{AC}$ coupled to ground. Since the data stream has no DC component, a coupling cap of $0.1 \mu \mathrm{~F}$ is recommended for the DIN and LIN inputs.

## Full Duplex

Figure 16 shows HDMP-1022/ 1024 in a full duplex configuration connecting two bidirectional (parallel) buses. Each end of the link has a Tx and Rx pair. The receiver's state machine outputs (STATO and STAT1) are used to control the status of the link. Various options such as $16 / 20$ bit mode (M20SEL) and speed selections (DIV0,DIV1) are
grouped together under the label 'options.' A power-on reset is available to the user to reset the link during startup.

When the Tx has acquired lock to the incoming STRBIN at the frame rate, the LOCKED pin is activated, which enables the Rx. At this state, both STATO and STAT1 are low, forcing the Tx to send FFO, which is a square wave pattern used by the remote Rx to acquire frame lock. When the local Rx has acquired frame lock, STAT1 is set high to first turn off its own frequency detector (FDIS), then self sets to active mode (ACTIVE), and tells the local Tx to send FFl to signal the remote Rx that the local pair is ready. Likewise, when the remote pair is ready, the local Rx will receive FF1, causing STAT0 to go high, which asserts the enable data (ED) pin on the Tx. The ED signal is retimed to signify to the host that the Tx is ready to send


Figure 16. Full Duplex Configuration.
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