NRAO Quarterly Status Update I FY 2013 October I - December 31, 2012 02/12/2013

| blue (early) |), green (on track), yellow (behind), red (critically behind) | QI Performance Assessment | | | |
|--------------|---|---------------------------|------|----------|-----------|
| POP | | QUARTERLY | | | |
| Milestone | TASK NAME | DEADLINE | COST | SCHEDULE | TECHNICAL |
| | NRAO All Funding | | | | |
| | Observatory Science Operations | | | | |
| | Scientific User Services | | | | |
| | Helpdesk/User Forums | | | | |
| 4 | Upgrade to ensure transfer of tickets and knowledgebase articles from Kayako v3.0 into | 12/31/2012 | | | |
| I | Kayako v4.0 complete | 12/31/2012 | | | |
| | User Documentation | | | | |
| 6 | Update of 'casaguides' for 6th CASA Release | 12/31/2012 | | | |
| | Data Processing | | | | |
| 10 | Automated calibration of standard VLA observations completed | 12/31/2012 | | | |
| | Science Software Development (CASA) | | | | |
| 15 | CASA upgrade 4.0: migration of Python Binding infrastructure, support for ALMA and EVLA | 12/31/2012 | | | |
| | Low Frequency Polarization, focus on system performance and parallelization | | | | |
| | ObsPrep Software | | | | |
| 18 | OPT release will include capabilities, resource set-ups, and documentation ready for VLA Full | 12/31/2012 | | | |
| | Software Research & Development | | | | |
| | Resolve the outstanding numerical issues in the combined MS-MES and Wide-band A- | | | | |
| 24 | Projection algorithm | 12/31/2012 | | | |
| | Data Management (See CIS) | | | | |
| | Observatory Telescope Operations | | | | |
| | ALMA Construction | | | | |
| 27 | Complete AAER | 12/31/2012 | | | |
| 28 | Complete AOS power and fiber optic connections to antenna stations | 12/31/2012 | | | |
| 29 | Deliver nutator unit l | 12/31/2012 | | | |
| 31 | | 12/31/2012 | | | |
| 37 | Deliver Band 10 WCAs to OSE | 12/31/2012 | | | |
| 34 | Deliver EE tost sot | 12/31/2012 | | | |
| 25 | | 12/31/2012 | | | |
| 33 | | 12/31/2012 | | | |
| 37 | Deliver BE AA Test Stand | 12/31/2012 | | | |
| 20 | | 12/21/2012 | | | |
| 30 | All handware delivered and under NPI Ops purview | 12/31/2012 | | | |
| 37 | | 12/31/2012 | | | |
| 40 | Risk Plan closed out | 12/31/2012 | | | |
| 46 | Final cryogenic system installed on antennas | 12/31/2012 | | | |
| 4/ | Final X-Band receiver installed on antennas | 12/31/2012 | | | |
| 48 | Final Ku-Band receiver installed on antennas | 12/31/2012 | | | |
| 49 | Computing hardware purchased | 12/31/2012 | | | |
| | VLA Commissioning and Support | | | | |
| 50 | Support semester 2012B Early Science observing | 12/31/2012 | | | |
| 51 | Complete commissioning of capabilities offered for 2013A | 12/31/2012 | | | |
| 53 | Define and document capabilities for semester 2013B CfP | 12/31/2012 | | | |
| | VLBA Infrastructure Modifications/Upgrade Projects | | | | |
| 64 | Narrow-bandwidth modes verified | 12/31/2012 | | | |
| | GBT Modifications/Upgrades Projects | | | | |
| | Digital Servo Replacement | | | | |
| 68 | Control kernel delivered into integration test lab | 12/31/2012 | | | |
| | 20m Telescope Modification/Upgrade Projects | | | | |
| | KadioSkyNet | | | | |
| /7 | L-Band receiver installed on 20m | 12/31/2012 | | | |
| 78 | I elescope returbishment complete | 12/31/2012 | | | |
| | Observatory Development Programs | | | | |
| | Central Development Laboratory | | | | |
| | Low Noise Amplifiers | | | | |
| 80 | Test 68-90 GHz LNA using cryo3 devices | 12/31/2012 | | | |
| | Millimeter/Sub-Millimeter Detectors | | | | |
| 86 | Measure 375-500 GHz balanced mixer | 12/31/2012 | | | |

NRAO Quarterly Status Update I FY 2013 October I - December 31, 2012 02/12/2013

| | Optics and Electromagnetic Components | | | |
|-----|---|------------|--|--|
| 90 | Test 33-50 GHz turnstile junction OMT | 12/31/2012 | | |
| | Phased Array Feeds | | | |
| 94 | Fiber installations complete | 12/31/2012 | | |
| | PAPER/HERA | | | |
| 107 | Shin first 25 elements of upgrade | 12/31/2012 | | |
| 107 | AI MA Development | 12/31/2012 | | |
| | Band 5 Local Oscillator | | | |
| 114 | "Kick off" mosting | 12/21/2012 | | |
| 117 | Nick-on meeting | 12/31/2012 | | |
| 115 | Band 5 pre-production LO built & test complete | 12/31/2012 | | |
| 116 | Frequency doublers procurement and test complete | 12/31/2012 | | |
| 117 | Integration and test with Band 5 cold cartridge complete | 12/31/2012 | | |
| | 2nd Generation Receiver for ALMA Band 6 | | | |
| 121 | "Kick-off" meeting | 12/31/2012 | | |
| | Design Study for Production of Band 2 Cartridges | | | |
| 127 | "Kick-off" meeting | 12/31/2012 | | |
| 128 | Draft specifications & ICD | 12/31/2012 | | |
| 129 | MMIC LNA delivered to ARO | 12/31/2012 | | |
| 130 | MIC LNA delivered to ARO | 12/31/2012 | | |
| 132 | Modifications to 12m receiver inserts complete | 6/28/2013 | | |
| | Ultra-Wideband Quantum Limited Amplifiers | | | |
| 147 | "Kick-off" meeting | 12/31/2012 | | |
| | Unleashing Large Dataset Science | | | |
| 151 | "Kick-off" meeting | 12/31/2012 | | |
| | VLA Development | | | |
| | VLA Low-Frequency Receivers | | | |
| 165 | First observations using 16 receivers with low-hand | 12/31/2012 | | |
| 105 | GBT Development | 12/31/2012 | | |
| | VEGAS Development | | | |
| 174 | Widehand spectrometer mode suscessfully tested on GPT | 12/21/2012 | | |
| 170 | APCUS (CPT 4/4 Compt Compta) | 12/31/2012 | | |
| 170 | | 10/01/0010 | | |
| 1/9 | Focal plane and cryostat Critical Design Review | 12/31/2012 | | |
| | GBT MUSTAINGT.5 | | | |
| 181 | Cryogenic parts delivered | 12/31/2012 | | |
| 182 | Initial cool down | 12/31/2012 | | |
| | Observatory Administrative Services | | | |
| | Administration | | | |
| | Business Services | | | |
| 191 | All business units aligned with the WBS in JD Edwards | 12/31/2012 | | |
| | MIS | | | |
| 195 | Implementation to Chart of Accounts complete | 9/30/2013 | | |
| | CAP | | | |
| 197 | Export Compliance Program implemented across NRAO | 12/31/2012 | | |
| | Human Resources | | | |
| | Compensation | | | |
| 198 | An assessment of NRAO's management structure complete. | 12/31/2012 | | |
| | Benefits | | | |
| 201 | Implementation of the revised HSA/HDHP Plan complete | 12/31/2012 | | |
| | Employee Relations | | | |
| 202 | Complete an assessment of NRAO's Ombudsman Program | 12/31/2012 | | |
| | CIS | | | |
| | CCE | | | |
| 204 | Power and carbon footprint review for Computing resources. | 12/31/2012 | | |
| | Data Management | | | |
| 208 | Implementation of ALMA Science Archive access from the NAASC Web Portal | 2/31/2012 | | |
| 211 | Web-based user interface for CASA nineline tasks | 12/31/2012 | | |
| 217 | Installation of a secure I/OGidabit/s Internet link for the CR site | 12/31/2012 | | |
| 213 | installation of a secure rootgables internet link for the GD site | 12/31/2012 | | |



| itle:Automated calibration or bservations completed | f standard VLA Schedule |
|--|---|
| COST:There is no change to the cost of the VLA pipeline. | TECHNICAL: Some technical issues were found when running CASA in an automated mode on the post- processing cluster. Work-arounds are being developed, but concentration on fixing this problem, along with higher priority heuristic development, delayed the implementation of the QA metrics during Q1. |
| SCHEDULE: Preliminary QA metrics were not implemented until January 21, three weeks behind original schedule. All other items associated with this milestone are complete. | RISK & MITIGATION: The risk associated with the delay in implementing the QA metrics during QI is low. They will be in place before the start of the D-configuration, January 25, 2013. |

COST: No impact.

SCHEDULE: This milestone comprises a number of components, all of which need to be complete in time for the pipeline to run on D-configuration scheduling blocks. Documentation for users was posted to tell them how to set up their SBs in order for the pipeline to run successfully. A mechanism for archiving pipeline-derived products was finalized. The VLA pipeline scripts have been integrated with other pipeline infrastructure software to control triggering of the pipeline upon completion of an observation, and the re-ingestion of pipeline derived products. A cluster scheduler was deployed. The only missing component originally scheduled for Q1 was the implementation of preliminary quality assurance (QA) metrics, which were finally implemented in the January 21, 2013, version of the pipeline.

TECHNICAL: The delay in the implementation of the QA metrics was caused by the need to focus on some technical issues relating to the automated running of CASA on the cluster nodes, and on heuristic development for S-Band in the presence of strong amplifier compression when observing close to the geostationary satellite belt.

RISK & MITIGATION: The remaining risk associated with the VLA pipeline is low. The pipeline will be ready to process continuum observations at the start of the D-configuration.

| ps, and documentation ready for VLA Full Science | | |
|--|--|--|
| COST: The cost of the OPT development remains unchanged by this delay. | TECHNICAL: There have been technical issues with the implementation of Doppler setting in the spectral line user interface. The new delivery date is January 25. | |
| SCHEDULE: Deployment of the full OPT functionality is delayed by three weeks. The delay is caused by the loss of a key user interface developer, and a delay in getting this position approved for re-hire. | RISK & MITIGATION: Potential risk: no scheduling blocks able to be submitted and the array sits idle. Mitigation: staged release of OPT, first with access to continuum and wideband spectroscopy set-ups, spectral line interface coming later. | |

COST: No impact.

SCHEDULE: Deployment of the full OPT functionality is delayed by three weeks. The delay is caused by the loss of a user interface developer, and a delay in getting this position approved for re-hire.

TECHNICAL: There have been technical issues with the implementation of Doppler setting in the spectral line user interface. The new delivery date is January 25.

RISK & MITIGATION: The risk of not delivering the OPT at all before the beginning of Dconfiguration is severe: no scheduling blocks submitted and the array sitting idle. To avoid this, we first notified users that as of December 21, they are able to set up their scans in their SBs, but have to use "dummy" resources. We then released a version of the OPT on January 8 that can support all standard continuum set-ups, as well as 3-bit set-ups needed for redshifted CO searches. As soon as the spectral line interface is ready, users will be notified that they can make SBs for spectroscopy.



COST: BCRs are still in process to cover the cost to complete activities for the AOS Utilities work.

SCHEDULE: The Contractor is delayed in finishing the work, but is on track to finish at the end of Q2 FY13. Procurement process to issue work on the Extended Array power disconnectors will proceed in Q2 FY13. There is no critical implication on the ALMA schedule and no risk for the Cycle I science program.

TECHNICAL: No issues.

RISK & MITIGATION: Not required.

| LMA Construction | Schedule Technical |
|---|--|
| COST: • Cost for Nutator delivery is on track | TECHNICAL: Nutator Unit #I Factory Acceptance testing conducted in Taiwan established satisfactory performance Technical Performance is noted here as "behind" because of need to confirm actual physical and power/communication interface with an ALMA Antenna |
| SCHEDULE: Delays in delivery of recoated subreflectors result in delay of Unit #I FAT until mid-December Unit #I arrived at OSF on 08 Jan Unit #I Site Acceptance Testing to begin on 21 Jan | RISK & MITIGATION: As noted, there is a minor Technical risk that Unit #1 could experience an Antenna Interface Issues To minimize the risk schedule delays, ASIAA and NAAIPT Lead Engineers will be on-site along with NRAO Control System Engineer |

RISK & MITIGATION: To minimize the risk of interface issues causing schedule delays, ASIAA and NAAIPT Lead Engineers will be on-site along with NRAO Control System Engineer to assist the Taiwanese Engineering Team (CoTech/RST).

| LMA Construction Title: Complete OPT acceptar | Schedule |
|---|---|
| COST: • Cost for OPT acceptances is on track | TECHNICAL: Given past performances, there is a minor possibility that one of the three remaining OPT Units will not perform satisfactorily |
| SCHEDULE: Acceptance Testing of Units #4 & #5 delayed by Pointing Acceptance Tests of DV25 FAT of Unit #6 delayed by NRAO availability until early January Site Acceptance of Unit #4 nearing completion | RISK & MITIGATION: On-site NA AIPT engineering support extended until end of February to conduct site acceptance testing |

SCHEDULE: Acceptance Testing of Units #4 & #5 delayed by Pointing Acceptance Tests of DV25 because it was determined that the version of ALMA Antenna Control Software being used by NA AIPT at the Vertex SEF does not allow execution of simultaneous pointing Scheduling Blocks; therefore completion of DV25 Pointing Acceptance was given scheduling preference.

| itle: Deliver B | and 10 | WCAs t | to OSF | |
|---|---|--------------------|--|--|
| COST: • No issues | | | TECHNICAL: • No issues | |
| SCHEDULE: Delay delivery due to delay procurement. Resolv <u>Milestone</u> Ship final band 10 WCA to the OSF | y of one mo ys in submo yed Schedule 03/31/13 | Actual 05/13/13 | RISK & MITIGATION: No risk to the ALMA schedule or ALMA Science; no mitigation is necessary | |

SCHEDULE: The delivery of Band 10 WCAs was delayed one month due to delay in submodule procurement. Issue is now resolved.

RISK & MITIGATION: Not required. The cold cartridge deliveries (with which the WCAs are used) from NAOJ will not be complete until 2014.



COST: Cost to produce four FEHV units exceeds allocated budget. No decision has been made to allocate additional required budget pending the NA Cost-to-Complete exercise.

SCHEDULE: Once decision is made to finalize design and start production, 12 months will elapse to fabricate the four units; this would go into FY2014.

TECHNICAL: Major design issues have been resolved and the design is mature to make a first unit. A Delta-CCR is required to start fabrication of a fisrt test unit that will be a proof of concept and free the fabrication of the additional units. Design is currently frozen until budget issues are resolved.

RISK & MITIGATION: JAO has an alternate FE exchange equipment that has been in use for many years. The new FEHV would increase efficiency and safety of the operation, but is not stopping the operation

| itle: Final cryogenic system ir ntennas | nstalled on Technical |
|--|---|
| COST: | TECHNICAL: |
| The total M&S budget of \$266.3k was realized per construction project schedule. | There are no technical risks associated with this task. |
| SCHEDULE: | RISK & MITIGATION: |
| Installation of the final cryo system was delayed due to a personnel issue in the Cryo Group resulting in its effectively being down I FTE during Q1. | The risk due to the delay is minimal. The final cryo system is being installed in early January, 2013, and all antennas will be fully EVLA compliant for the start of the D-configuration (1/25/2013). |

| POP MILESTONE #: 47 VLA Construction Title: Final X-Band receiver ins ntennas | Stalled on Cost Schedule |
|--|--|
| COST: | TECHNICAL: |
| The total M&S budget of \$376.1k was realized per construction project schedule. | There are no technical risks associated with this task. |
| SCHEDULE: | RISK & MITIGATION: |
| Installation of the final X-Band receiver was delayed due to the loss of a Front End technician during the RIF of July 2012, and the loss of a key Front End engineer early in Q1, along with a delay in getting approval to re-hire. | The risk due to the delay is minimal. The final X-Band is being installed in early January, 2013, and all antennas will be fully EVLA compliant for the start of the D-configuration (1/25/2013). |

| OP MILESTONE #: 48 VLA Construction Title: Final Ku-Band receiver in Intennas | estalled on Cost Schedule Technical |
|---|---|
| COST: | TECHNICAL: |
| The total M&S budget of \$481.4k was realized per construction project schedule. | There are no technical risks associated with this task. |
| SCHEDULE: | RISK & MITIGATION: |
| Installation of the final Ku-Band receiver was delayed due to the loss of a Front End technician during the RIF of July 2012, and the loss of a key Front End engineer early in QI, along with a delay in getting approval to re-hire. | The risk due to the delay is minimal. The final Ku-Band is being installed in early January, 2013, and all antennas will be fully EVLA compliant for the start of the D-configuration (1/25/2013). |

| POP MILESTONE #: 5 ICostVLA Commissioning and SupportScheduTitle: Complete commissioning of capabilitiesScheduoffered for 2013ATechni | | |
|---|--|--|
| COST: The one capability for which commissioning is not yet complete is the phased-VLA for VLBI. There is no change to the cost of VLA operations due to the delay. | TECHNICAL: Technical issues were found with the VLBA digital down- convertor (DDC), preventing commissioning of the end-to-end phased-VLA+VLBA mode. Focused, rapid media return testing solved the DDC issues and the final details are now being worked out to make the phased- VLA+VLBA mode ready for users. | |
| SCHEDULE: All promised 2 & 8 GHz bandwidth modes and sub-array modes of the VLA were commissioned on schedule. The phased-VLA+VLBA observing mode commissioning will be completed before 2 February, the date of the first observation using this mode. | RISK & MITIGATION: The risk associated with delayed commissioning of the phased-VLA+VLBA observing mode during QI is low. It will be commissioned by 2 February 2013, the start of the first science observations. | |

COST: No Impact.

SCHEDULE: This milestone included commissioning in four major areas: 1) 2 GHz BW (8-bit samplers) expanded correlator mode observations; 2) 8 GHz bandwidth (3-bit samplers) 'continuum' mode observations; 3) sub-array observations; and 4) phased VLA observations with the VLBA. Items 1, 2 & 3 were commissioned on schedule. Item 4, observing with the phased-VLA, was shown to work with the VLA-only by the end of QI but the full end-to-end commissioning with the VLBA was not completed. The first phased VLA observations are scheduled to occur on 2 February, 2013 and four projects have been approved for the phased-VLA+VLBA. It is expected that the phased-VLA+VLBA will be ready to support these science observations before 2 February.

TECHNICAL: This delay was due to issues with the digital down convertor (DDC) on the VLBA that is required to support phased-VLA+VLBA observations. With limited FTEs available to troubleshoot the issues with the DDC and the fact that the 3 and 8-bit sampler commissioning was considered higher priority, the development of this final capability was delayed. The DDC has now been commissioned and the final stages of commissioning and science verification of the end-to-end system are underway.

RISK & MITIGATION: The remaining risk associated with the phased-VLA is low. The system will be ready for the first phased-VLA+VLBA observations by 2 February. In the event that the observations fail, they can be re-scheduled.



COST: Costs are running behind budget due to lack of resources allocated to the project in Q1-FY2013.

SCHEDULE: The Q1-FY2013 deliverable of an Elevation Axis Control Kernel in the integration environment has been delayed due to resource availability. As noted in POP: "This is now being run as a background project and as such will have some variability in milestones as staff allocations vary for the project.".

TECHNICAL: A complete evaluation of work-to-date on the kernel by the replacement development team has determined there are areas where capability exceeds baseline requirements – these are being removed and the ICD reviewed for required adjustments.

RISK & MITIGATION: Resource availability

| Telescope refurbishment c | omplete Technical |
|---|--|
| COST: | TECHNICAL: |
| No impact with revised scope | L-Band receiver Most modifications completed for use on 20m telescope M&C details remain |
| SCHEDULE: | RISK: • No use of L-Band receiver |
| Telescope refurbishment completed L-Band receiver removed from scope | MITIGATION: • Users will utilize X-Band receiver |

SCHEDULE: The refurbishment was completed on schedule, but work was stopped on L-Band receiver reconfiguration due to insufficient funds to compete the work.

RISK & MITIGATION: L-Band observations will not be able to be conducted. Student observations will use the X-Band receiver installed on the telescope.

| nplete Technical |
|---|
| TECHNICAL: |
| No technical issues. |
| RISK & MITIGATION: |
| Task is nearly complete. Only one fiber connection (from receiver room to GBT |
| prime focus) remains to be installed. Risk of incompletion by 1/31/13 is minimal. |
| |

| LMA Develo itle: Integrat artridge com | opment: tion & te | Band 5 L st with E | ocal Oscillator Band 5 cold | r Cost Schedule |
|--|----------------------|-----------------------|--|---|
| COST: | | | TECHNICAL: | |
| No cost issues. | | | Excess noise and har testing with cold car investigating technic | rmonics indicated by tridge, currently al solutions. |
| | | | | |
| SCHEDULE: | | | RISK & MITIGATIO | DN: |
| SCHEDULE: Milestone | Schedule | Actual | RISK & MITIGATIO Risk | ON: Mitigation |
| SCHEDULE: Milestone Integration & Testing with Cold Cartridge Complete | Schedule 11/30/12 | Actual 01/31/13 | RISK & MITIGATIO Risk Continued noise and harmonic issues | DN: Mitigation Build 2 nd band 5 test LO for parallel debugging |

COST: No cost issues. Total expenditures through 11/30/12 = \$43K. Budget through 11/30/12 = \$82K. Total Project Budget (through 06/30/14) = \$2,842K.

SCHEDULE: Qualification testing with cold cartridge at GARD delayed by technical issues. CDMR and start of full production consequently delayed.

TECHNICAL: GARD is testing pre-production LO with their Band 5 mixer. Excess noise beyond specification seen, also evidence of harmonic pumping of SIS mixer. Already tried increasing power to multiplier input and adding isolator between WCA and multiplier. Current suspect is second harmonic output of WCA.

RISK & MITIGATION: (1) Continued noise issues would further delay CDMR and onset of full production. To mitigate, a second pre-production LO is being built for debugging noise problems at NRAO and at SRON in parallel with mixer testing at GARD. (2) Current Band 10 SOW requires LO group to complete Band 10 production by 3/31/13. Since ALMA project will not actually require all Band 10 WCAs complete until at least 12/31/13, we are requesting extension from NAOJ for this work, allowing us to concentrate more staff resource now on moving Band 5 into production.

| COST: TECHNICAL: No cost issues. No technical issues. SCHEDULE: RISK & MITIGATION: | and 2 Cartrid itle: Modificati | ges ons to 12 | m receive | er inserts complete | Schedule Technical |
|--|--|----------------------|-----------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| No cost issues. No technical issues. SCHEDULE: RISK & MITIGATION: | COST: | | | TECHNICAL: | |
| SCHEDULE: RISK & MITIGATION: | No cost issues. | | | No technical issues. | |
| | SCHEDULE: | | | RISK & MITIGATION: | |
| MilestoneScheduleActualModifications to 12m receiver insert06/28/1312/15/12 | Milestone Modifications to 12m receiver insert | Schedule 06/28/13 | Actual | No risk items. | |

SCHEDULE: ARO (Arizona Radio Observatory) completed these modifications early since they have schedule pressures on the Kitt Peak 12m telescope, where this receiver will be tested.

| itle:Wideband spectrometer uccessfully tested | mode Schedule |
|--|--|
| S250,000 \$200,000 \$150,000 \$150,000 \$150,000 \$50,000 \$50,000 \$- Oct Nov Dec Jan Feb Mar Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep | TECHNICAL: Environment Addressing minor "teething pains" of adding ROACH-2 cards Software Data acquisition interrupts Occasional missed start-up |
| SCHEDULE: skiane skiane skian | RISK: Key Project Risk : Delay in delivery of remaining modes (UC-B) Missed window for GC observation Mitigation: Consultation with UC-B on plan for balance of deliverables Additional effort applied to issue resolution |

COST: The costs are running higher than budget for QIFYI3 mainly due to the ROACH-2 integration issues. Once the Galactic center observations are complete, the work transfers back to UC-B (mode development) and NRAO costs are projected to drop back to budget.

SCHEDULE: The Project Team schedule, which finished ~one year before the grant is behind, but the schedule in the NSF grant is currently being met. Delivery of the final modes remains a schedule risk however.

TECHNICAL: The integration team is engaged in tuning system timings for the full compliment of ROACH 2 cards. Issues around scan starts and glitches in data collection are being researched and addressed in advance of the Galactic center observation

RISK & MITIGATION: With the delivery of all the ROACH-2, the Key Project Risk is delivery of the remaining VEGAS modes by UC-B.A much lower, near-term risk is completing the Galactic center observations. As noted in TECHNICAL, the last remaining obstacles are being addressed by temporary adjustments of NRAO and UC-B staff allocations so work on the remaining observing modes may resume.

| dministration: MIS itle: Implementation of Chart | t of Accounts |
|---|--|
| COST: | TECHNICAL: |
| No cost impact in 2013. We will consider using internal audit firm under contract to AUI. | None |
| SCHEDULE: The delay in hiring the AUI Controller and NRAO Senior Budget Analyst has delayed work on this matter. The AUI Controller is considering priorities as she becomes familiar with the organization, and will decide if the chart of accounts is to be modified. | RISK & MITIGATION: Current chart of accounts is working.WBS fields being integrated into existing chart functionality. Reconsider for 2014 POP. |

COST: No Impact. Work would be done by existing staff.

SCHEDULE: The delay in hiring the AUI Controller and NRAO Senior Budget Analyst has delayed work on this matter. The AUI Controller is considering priorities as she becomes familiar with the organization, and will decide if the chart of accounts is to be modified.

TECHNICAL: No Impact.

RISK & MITIGATION: Current chart of accounts is working. Reconsider for 2014 POP.

| CIS: Data Management CIS: Data Management Title: Implementation of ALMA S ccess from the NAASC Web Por | cience Archive Cost rtal Cost |
|---|---|
| COST: No cost impact (this is an ESO deliverable) | TECHNICAL: Compatibility issues with browser/Java versions identified in final user acceptance testing |
| SCHEDULE: Full release has been delayed until January for more robust implementation | RISK & MITIGATION: Workaround release of the few ALMA Cycle 0 projects is in place on the NAASC Science Portal |

COST: No Impact.

TECHNICAL: Browser/Java compatibility issues with Request Handler and Archive Query tools

SCHEDULE: Delayed until January 2013

RISK & MITIGATION: Cycle 0 public data has been released in the same way as Science Verification Data

| POP MILESTONE #: 213 CIS: Data Management Fitle: Installation of a secure 1 Internet link for the GB site | OGigabit/s Cost Technical |
|--|--|
| COST: No impact. In fact financial costs is less to send disks than to operate a 10 Gb/s link. | TECHNICAL: No technical issues |
| SCHEDULE: On Hold pending WV State and Frontier Communications Fiber construction. The delay can be in the order of several months. | RISK & MITIGATION: In spite of the big delay the risk is low. GBT pulsar data are sent by disk, which is the present method and the mitigation for not having the high bandwidth link. Future access to new GB instruments (VEGAS) will benefit from this bandwidth. |

COST: Cost of running link (\$5k/month) is avoided.

TECHNICAL: No Impact.

SCHEDULE: With WV State.

RISK & MITIGATION: The risk that BTOP Stimulus funds for WV State will expire.





| | Original POP | Revised | | | Status - % | | | Status - % |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|----------------|----------------|--------------|---------------|-------------|
| FY13 Revenues | Budget | Budget | Received | | Received | | | Received |
| New NSF CSA-1 Funding | \$41,000.0 | \$41,000.0 | \$10,250.0 | | 25.0% | | | Favorable |
| FY12 Carryover | \$2,800.0 | \$560.8 | \$560.8 | | 100.0% | | | Unfavorable |
| USNO DiffX Correlator | \$100.0 | \$100.0 | \$100.0 | | 100.0% | | | Favorable |
| USNO VLBA Support Contract | \$1,000.0 | \$1,000.0 | \$977.0 | | 97.7% | | | Favorable |
| Max Plank Support | \$200.0 | \$200.0 | \$200.0 | | 100.0% | | | Favorable |
| Shao VLBA Support | \$100.0 | \$100.0 | | | 0.0% | | | Watch |
| CASS/ICRAR | \$125.0 | \$125.0 | | | 0.0% | | | Watch |
| Common Cost Recovery | \$225.0 | \$375.0 | \$218.3 | | 58.2% | | | Favorable |
| GB Visitor Fees | \$200.0 | \$200.0 | \$11.3 | | 5.7% | | | Unfavorable |
| Other Revenue | \$0.0 | \$500.0 | | | | | | Watch |
| Prior Year Commitments | \$1,089.4 | \$1,089.4 | \$1,089.4 | | 100.0% | | | Favorable |
| Total Revenues Supporting CSA-1 Ops | \$46,839.4 | \$45,250.1 | \$12,317.3 | | 27.2% | | | |
| | | | | | | | | 0 |
| EV42 Even aditure a | | Revised | Evenenditure | Expenditures + | % Spent | % Expended & | Pri2 Expended | Status - % |
| | Budget | Budget | Expenditures | commitments | (expenditures) | Committed | Dec 2011 | Expended |
| | \$1,510.3 | \$1,325.8 | \$355.1 | \$355.1 | 26.8% | 26.8% | \$325.5 | Watch |
| Project Management Office | \$420.6 | \$382.9 | \$79.6 | \$79.6 | 20.8% | 20.8% | A (0, 7 | Favorable |
| Spectrum Management | \$69.4 | \$68.4 | \$26.0 | \$26.0 | 38.0% | 38.0% | \$13.7 | Unfavorable |
| New Initiatives | \$660.8 | \$608.3 | \$134.8 | \$134.8 | 22.2% | 22.2% | \$199.8 | Favorable |
| CDL-NTC | \$2,815.2 | \$2,444.9 | \$680.6 | \$719.1 | 27.8% | 29.4% | \$537.4 | Watch |
| Business Services | \$3,605.1 | \$3,407.2 | \$925.6 | \$938.8 | 27.2% | 27.6% | \$913.9 | Watch |
| COM | \$320.8 | \$316.8 | \$65.4 | \$66.9 | 20.6% | 21.1% | \$63.2 | Favorable |
| Environ, Safety & Security | \$462.5 | \$462.5 | \$88.6 | \$88.6 | 19.2% | 19.2% | \$92.6 | Underspent |
| Human Resources | \$1,070.4 | \$1,022.3 | \$279.9 | \$279.9 | 27.4% | 27.4% | \$265.0 | Watch |
| MIS | \$755.8 | \$748.8 | \$185.4 | \$266.1 | 24.8% | 35.5% | \$165.4 | Watch |
| Procurement | \$608.4 | \$602.4 | \$146.9 | \$146.9 | 24.4% | 24.4% | \$187.7 | Favorable |
| EPO | \$1,059.5 | \$1,042.5 | \$169.8 | \$224.3 | 16.3% | 21.5% | \$185.4 | Favorable |
| SSR - OSO | \$2,428.6 | \$2,314.7 | \$381.9 | \$797.4 | 16.5% | 34.5% | \$444.3 | Underspent |
| SSR - SAA | \$1,365.2 | \$1,315.2 | \$263.5 | \$281.2 | 20.0% | 21.4% | \$254.0 | Underspent |
| CIS | \$1,717.6 | \$1,715.6 | \$519.5 | \$531.4 | 30.3% | 31.0% | \$641.0 | Unfavorable |
| Data Management | \$185.4 | \$185.4 | \$56.2 | \$56.2 | 30.3% | 30.3% | | Unfavorable |
| Green Bank Telescope Ops | \$9,002.5 | \$8,896.7 | \$2,179.1 | \$2,447.2 | 24.5% | 27.5% | \$2,495.7 | Watch |
| GBT Structural Inspections | \$47.0 | \$0.0 | \$0.0 | \$0.0 | 0.0% | 0.0% | \$0.0 | Favorable |
| New Mexico Operations - CSA Supported | \$18,834.3 | \$18,586.1 | \$4,557.9 | \$4,714.9 | 24.5% | 25.4% | \$4,782.7 | Watch |
| New Mexico Operations - Other Support | \$1,525.0 | \$1,525.0 | \$299.1 | \$299.1 | 19.6% | 19.6% | \$0.0 | Favorable |
| AUI Fee | \$1,540.1 | \$1,540.1 | \$385.0 | \$385.0 | 25.0% | 25.0% | \$346.8 | Favorable |
| Fringe Benefits* | \$15.0 | \$15.0 | \$366.5 | \$366.5 | | | \$328.6 | Unfavorable |
| Cost Pool Recovery | (\$3,590.5) | (\$3,590.5) | (\$682.4) | (\$682.4) | 19.0% | 19.0% | (\$1,104.1) | Unfavorable |
| Critical Infrastructure | \$410.3 | \$313.9 | | | | | | |
| Total CSA-1 Expenditures to Date | \$46 839 4 | \$45 250 1 | \$11 464 0 | \$12 522 7 | 25.3% | 27.7% | \$11 138 5 | Watch |

| EVLA FY13 Budget/Expenditures | Original POP Budget | Revised Budget | Expenditures | Expenditures + Commitments | % Spent (expenditures) | % Expended & Commited | FY12 Expended Dec 2011 | Status - % Expended |
|--|------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|
| EVLA | \$1,263.9 | \$1,371.7 | \$702.8 | \$1,346.3 | 51.2% | 98.2% | \$11,703.0 | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | Original | Deviced | | Environ distance of the | 0/ 0 | | | |
| | Original | Revised | | Expenditures + | % Spent | % Expended & | FY12 Expended | Status - % |
| ALMA Ops FY13 Budget/Expenditures | Budget | Budget | Expenditures | Commitments | % Spent (expenditures) | % Expended & Commited | FY12 Expended Dec 2011 | Status - % Expended |
| ALMA Ops FY13 Budget/Expenditures CSA-2 ALMA Ops Planned Spending | Budget \$35,954.0 | Budget \$35,434.0 | Expenditures \$6,767.7 | Commitments \$11,276.4 | % Spent (expenditures) 19.1% | Commited 31.8% | FY12 Expended Dec 2011 \$2,026.5 | Status - % Expended Underspent |

Director's Office is ahead of linear spending due to more FTE hours expended than originally budgeted. Spectrum Management is ahead of projections due to more hours being charged in ETK to in November than originally budgeted and November/December Geneva travel. Business Services is ahead of projections due to payment of insurances in the first quarter and prepaid expenses from FY12. HR is ahead of projections due to a expenses for People Admin software. MIS is ahead of linear spending due to prepaid expenses from FY12. CIS is ahead of projections due to prepaid expenses rolling over to FY13. Data Management is ahead due to a higher number of FTEs moving to Data Mgmt than originally budgeted. Budget adjustments will be made shortly in conjunction with Data Management and CIS's reorganization. Green Bank and New Mexico Ops are ahead due to the recent budget reductions. Fringe Benefits is ahead due to increased medical claims and Cost Pool Recovery is behind due to increased CSA-I spending and lower than expected ALMA spending.

*Fringe Benefits expenses listed in this table are just the CSA-1 portion of total Observatory benefits expense.

Explanation of Status % Expended:

1

Favorable % actual spending within 0% to -5% as compared to percentage of year by working days elapsed.

Watch % actual spending falls between 0.1% to less than 5% as compared to percentage of year by working days elapsed.

Unfavorable % actual spending is greater than 5% than percentage of year by working days elapsed.

Underspent % actual spending is greater than -5% compared to percentage of year by working days elapsed.